

Docket No. 1.

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W

B.

Lain George W Page	57
Canaday A. J.	58
Chippman Jim	34
Correll John H	42

Fisher, John	77
Enos, Joe	79
Franklin, M.A.	80
Grey, W. C.	96

Deane Harry Page	106	E	D.
Joe John	15		

2 0.

6

E

F

G

H

I

J

K

L

M

N

O

P

Q

R

S

T

U

V

W

Goode J N Page 53
 the Gera Albino Page 61 62
 Gera Albino new complaint 63

Hearn Joseph Page 60
 Hearn Joseph under new complaint 63
 Hebron Ed 30
 Hearn an Gera by Reed 64

Hebern, Ed. 30
 Goode, Joseph N. 53
 Hearn, Joseph 60
 " " 61
 Gera, Albino 60
 " " 61
 " " 62
 " " 63
 Hearn, Joseph 63
 " " 64
 Gera, Albino 64
 Gentry, Martin 74
 Lower, Thomas R. 88

Jones ckd K R	Page	3
Jones ckd R R no 2		8
Jackson John Doe		14
Jefferson Tom		36
Jim and Indian		49

G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W

Koch Clement Page	59
Ketcham McPherson	65
Jones, J. R. J.	3
Jones, Med. J. R.	8
Jackson, J. H.	14
Jefferson Tom	36
Larsen James	48
Jim.	49
Larsen, James	50
Lowtoran, Frank	51
"	52
Koch, Clement	59
Ketcham, McPherson	65
Lorea, Sebastian	76
Kerslake, Wm	78
Latham, Peter	84
Lewis, Savage	85
Lopez, Leopoldo	91
Johnson, Ole	200

Lavarom ^{Wm} Page	2
Larsen ^{Frank} Page	50
Lowtoran Frank Page	51
Lowtoran Frank no 2	52
Larsen James	48
Larsen James	50

Page
2
50
51
2
8
0

Morgan Indian	Page	66
Morton John	"	9
Massell an Indian		19
McDonald John Doe		22
McDonald John Doe		23
Matilda an Indian		24
Marian an Indian		25
Mary an Indian		26
Mike an Indian		35
Mariana an Indian woman		39
Morton John		44
Morton John		45
Moore Jim		47

Laveroni	Will	Page 7	
Larsen	James	Page	50
Lawton	Frank		51
Lawton	Frank		52
Larsen	James		48

J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W

Criminal

O'Neal, Wm	Page
Ohl, Fred	4
Morton, John	9
Morley, Bill	11
Massilo, an Indian	19
McDonald, John	22
"	23
Matilda, an Indian	24
Marian, "	25
Mary, "	26
Mike	35
Mariana	39
Morton, John	44
"	45
Moor, Jim	47
Moss, an Indian	66
Mello, Frank,	89
McCabe, J. H.	86

Pablo Peratto	Page	56
Perament Frank		12

Norris, B.T.

Page
126

O'Neal William

8

Rogers Wm J

5-5

Romero Bartolo

5

Romero Bartolo mor

7

Reagan Cliff

18

Reagan Tom

27

Reagan Tom

31

Reagan Cliff

37

Russell Melvin

41

M

N

O

P

Q

R

S

T

U

V

W

Southerland, O
Puumont, Frank

46 Thomas Charles Page 54
12 Toward - Henry 17

54
17

	Page
Romero, Bart	5
Romero, Bartolo	7
Reagan, Cliff	18
Regan, Tom.	27
"	31
Reagan Cliff	37
Russell Melbourne	41
Rogers, Wm J.	55
Pablo, Peratta	56
Pinto an Indian	70
Punkin, John	82
Reardon, Patrick	83
Rosa, Ed.	92

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W

Wolf, Jone	Page	6
Worley, Bill		11
Wiseman, Frank		32
Walker, Bill		33
Wyott, Henry		17
Suberland, O		46
Thomas, Charles		54
Tripp, Jones + Henderson		71
Tzipera		81
Swalander, S. P. J.		87
Traver, Louis		93
Scott, Wirt		93
Tipton, J. S.		94
Tipton, Fay		94
Spangler, Walter		97
Wetmore, Frank H.		98

Civil Docket	Page
John W Gilmore vs Yebra Mining Co	99
J. L. Patterson vs Chas Estes & Mr Postigan	100
J W Gilmore vs Yebra Mining Co no 2	101
George Bradford vs Yebra Mining Co concluded on page 103	102
J M Hicks vs C R Krogs	104
J W Gilmore vs Yebra Mining Co continued	105
and 106	
John Beck and Sarah Beck vs John Do Ventura and 108	107
F B Hallum vs John Do chinamen and J M Tellis	109
Robert Rustkill vs John Do mestie and Frank Serafin	110
Chris Larsen vs Yebra Mining Co	111
Wm Spangler vs Yebra Mining Co	112
Ed Hebron vs H E Seddes	113
W. W. Counts vs H E Seddes	114

J J McDonald vs George Dimmons	115
George Teaford vs North Fork Lumber Co	116
Chas Estes & Chapin vs Dustheimer and Sons	117
J I Eggers vs Johnathon Lewis	118
Murphy & Brassil vs W S Gibbons	119
Charles O'Neal vs Brown & Portram	120
J H Brewit vs J D Watts	121
Charles O'Neal vs H D Colson & Charles L Morrill	122
First National Bank of Treno vs J. E. Chapin & H S Williams	123
Chris Larden vs McDonald Bros	124
V. H. Adams vs the County of Madras	125
B. J. Norris vs Frank Hallock	126
Geo. O. Simmons vs F. C. Emerson	127
Bank of Madras vs J. E. Chapin	128

S

T

U

V

W

Civil blocket	Page
V. J. Schwap vs Wm Hale	129
H. W. Titecomb vs Mark Anderson	130
Samuel Crary vs James Crary	131
James Gooden vs Steve Skonsur & Steve Barisch	132
Thomas Rhodes vs W. R. McFrees	133
Maniel Lavelle vs Hoffman	134
J. R. White & Wilson Weakley vs John Blue, Richard Roe et al	135
B. T. Arnold vs Wm Green	136
A. J. Cardoza vs W. Weakley & A. F. Lucio	137
B. W. Brodrick vs L. A. Ellis	138
Wilson Weakley vs Padro Triberry	139
J. R. White & J. S. James vs Padro Triberry	140
A. F. Lucio vs A. J. Cardoza	141
H. P. Anderson vs Elias Ommichen	142

	Page
F. E. Smith vs Geo. W. Smiley	143
W. W. Fowler vs J. W. Gilmore	144
Arnold, B. T. vs E. N. Peckinpah	164
B. B. Butin, J. L. vs Charles Scoville	154
Bradley, A. M. vs H. J. Henderson	158
Barkley, S. T. vs A. M. Bradley	160
Bollinger, Adam vs John Doe	161
Bittern, John, vs Reed and Harris	167
Gutte, D. M. vs H. J. Henderson and John Manshant	148
Correll, J. H. vs D. M. Gutte	166
Bochran, H. A. vs J. W. Sayles	171
Leah, L. M. vs Fourteen horses et al.	180

Criminal

Page

Page

Walker, Ed.

69

Walsh, Jane

6

Wiseman, Frank

32

Walker, Bill

33

Wilson, W.

84

Westmore, Frank H.

98

D. Duncan, A. J. vs H. A. Ellis. 152

Downey, J. H. et al. vs Frank Simmons 170

E. E. nos, Joseph, vs H. M. Cole 178

F

G

H. Hamilton, H. M. vs James W. Oakley 149

I

J

V

W

X

Y

K

Keating, John, vs W. S. Peters et al. 168
 Keating, John, vs W. S. Peters et al. 169
 Kennedy, H. G. vs Geo. M. Gibson 175

P

Pearson, J. H. vs Al F Semerson 146

Q

E

R.

R. Rigby Jr vs F. G. Dumont and
 Robert Ruskell. 145
 Ruskell, Robert, vs H. M. Hamilton 156
 Renfro, D. B. vs Anna Gleason 1592

L

Lopez, Trofelo, vs H. J. Brewett 163

S. Strombeck, Charles, vs G. M. Gibson 177

M.

Miller, H. L. vs James H. Breakley 150
 Morris, J. H. vs H. R. DeFrees 157
 Murphy & Brassil vs Geo M. Gibson 179
 Miller, Franklin, et al. vs James A. Harris et al. 181

T.

Titcomb, H. D. vs H. R. DeFrees 158
 Titcomb, H. D. vs H. R. Lamb et al 174

N

Norris, B. F. vs F. H. Driver. 147

W

Ward, H. S. vs H. F. Aram 155
 Walworth, J. R. vs H. Henderson 162
 Ward, H. S. vs H. H. Williams 165
 Ward, D. H. vs Geo. M. Gibson. 172
 Wagner, Amanda vs Geo. M. Gibson. 173
 Ward, Mrs. D. H. vs Geo. M. Gibson 176

Q. Mundeen, Elias, vs, James Breakley, 151
 Q. Mundeen, Elias vs James A. Harris et al. 182

No. 2 3

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People

Plaintiff

vs.
William Laveroni

Defendant

Action Threat to take life

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 19 Wm. H. Tibbets complains that Wm Laveroni threatened to kill him + that he is afraid he will carry out his threat.

Warrant issued for arrest of said Wm Laveroni - Laveroni could not be found. He has left the country.

Nov 17 Dolores Black Hawk swore to a complaint charging that Ned J. R. Jones, an Indian, did on Nov. 6. 1893. at her ranch, make threats to kill her and compelled her to leave her home by hostile threats.

Nov 27 Warrant of arrest issued for the said Ned J. R. Jones -

Warrant returned and action closed

So ordered + decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

No. 1
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People

vs.

Plaintiff

William O'Neal

Defendant

Action

assault with deadly weapon

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1893

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 17 Magleno Bureno swore to a complaint of "assault with deadly weapon" against William O'Neal. on 15 of June 1893 at Armstrongs ranch in this county. Issued warrant of arrest. was brought into Court on 20th of June and a hearing placed for June 26th.

June 26 Magleno Bureno, being duly sworn - says I met O'Neal on county road on June 15. We were both driving wagons. O'Neal stopped his wagon + we had some talk about the pole of a wagon - He was under the influence of liquor - He took hold of one of the uprights of his wagon + struck me a blow in the head with it. Albert Peter testified as to washing blood off of Bureno but saw nothing of the trouble.

Millwood Russell, said about the afternoon O'Neal came to his place + said "I killed a man down the road, he came after me with a hatchet".

J. Kengel gave similar evidence as last witness.

A. J. Canaday testified as to seeing O'Neal on the road on 15 of June -

Juan Espanoza + Ben Castro testified as to seeing Bureno cut on the head.

Wm. O'Neal, Defendant, being sworn, says.

That he met Bureno on said date + stopped to have a talk about a pole of a wagon - Bureno called him ^{me} bad names and drew a hatchet threatening to strike him ^{me} with it. I then struck him a blow on the head with my whip. Not sufficient evidence to hold for superior court, the prisoner was discharged.

J. M. Murphy

Justice of Peace

No. 3
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Ned J. R. J. Jones
(an Indian)

Defendant

Action Criminal

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov 7 Dolores Black Hawk swears to a complaint charging that Ned J. R. J. Jones did on Nov 6, 1893 at his ranch make threats to kill her and compelled her to leave her home by hostile threats -
- Nov 7 Warrant of arrest issued for the said Ned J. R. J. Jones
- Nov 15 The defendant brought into court and it appearing to the court that no violence was feared and the complainant having returned to her home, the court allowed the defendant his freedom on promising good behavior for the future.
 The defendant was discharged -
 So ordered and decreed by

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township

No. 4
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

assault

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Fred Ohl vs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1884 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Feb 23 Caroline Ohl swears to a complaint that Fred. Ohl committed assault on her on the 21st day of Feb. at Beloue, Madera Co. State of California - Warrant of arrest issued.
- Feb. 25 Defend. brought into Court and pleaded not guilty - trial set for Feb 27. 1894 at 11 O.C. a.m. Subpoenas issued to John Castro, Jubal Canaday. as witnesses for prosecution, and to Mrs. Tom Lewis. John House + Char. Holman for the defendant.
- Feb 27 Trial called - jury trial waived - John Castro, Jobe Canaday, Caroline Ohl were examined as witnesses for the prosecution. John House, Mrs. Tom Lewis, Char. Holman were examined as witnesses for the defendant. The Court found the Defendant "Guilty" and sentenced him to pay a fine of \$5.00 or five days in the County jail. So decreed and adjudged by

Frank M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township -

No. 5
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California
Plaintiff
vs.
Bart Romero
Defendant

Action.....
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 27 Bart Romero was arrested and brought before the Court charged with being a vagrant and dissolute fellow - The Court after a careful investigation gave the prisoner two weeks time to procure work and he promised to mend his ^{im}moral doings

It is so decreed & adjudged by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Jane Wolfe

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- March 26 Augustine Durant made affidavit for Search Warrant for Jane Wolfe's House. Search Warrant issued.
- " " Constable returns Search Warrant and brings into Court a Coffee pot, Bread pan & frying pan which A. Durant claims to be his property and stolen from his house.
- " " A. Durant swears to a complaint against Jane Wolfe charging her with petty Larceny. Warrant issued to arrest the said Jane Wolfe.
- " " Jane Wolfe brought into Court and pleads "not guilty". The Court set the trial for Wednesday March 28th at 10 A.M. at O. Neale's.
- " 27 Subpoena issued by Defendant for Chas. Wolfe
- " " Subpoena issued by Plaintiff for Pierre Bastinich
- " 28 Subpoenas returned as served on Wolfe & Bastinich
- " " Jury trial demanded. J. W. McCabe, John Johnston, Chas. Scoville, J. H. Mussel, Philip Alivso. John Keating were sworn to try it. A. Durant & Pierre Bastinich gave evidence for the prosecution & Jane Wolfe, Nancy Lewis & Neale Lewis gave evidence for Defendant.
- " " The jury found the Defendant not guilty. Wherefore the Court ordered the Def. discharged.

So decreed & ordered by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of Peace

No. 7
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California
 vs.
Bartolo Romero
 Plaintiff
 Defendant

Action
 Demand \$
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

March 26 A. Durant swears to a complaint against Bartolo Romero and charges him with the crime of petty larceny. Warrant of arrest issued against for the said Bartolo Romero -
 " " Bartolo Romero brought into Court and pleaded "not guilty" The Court set the trial for Wednesday March 28th. at 10.00 P. M. at O'Neal's.
 March 27 Subpoena issued by defend. for Charles Wolfe.
 " " Subpoena issued by complt. for Pierre Bastinich.
 " 28 Subpoenas returned as served on Wolfe & Bastinich
 " 28 Jury trial demanded and J. W. McCabe, J. H. Mussel, John Johnston, Chas. Scoville, John Keating & Philip Strison were sworn in as a jury to try it.
 A. Durant & P. Bastinich were examined for the prosecution & Jane Wolfe, Chas. Wolfe, Bealy Lewis & Nancy Lewis for the defense.
 The jury found the Defendant "not guilty" wherefore the Court ordered the prisoner discharged - so ordered and decreed by

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of Peace.

No. 8
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Ned J R Jones
(an Indian)

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 5 Dolores Blackhawk swears to complaint that Ned J R Jones (an Indian) committed an assault on her on the 30 of April 1894. Warrant issued for the arrest of said Ned J R Jones -

April 10 Prisoner brought into Court. He pleaded "not guilty" and demanded jury trial. Venue issued and Char. C. Neal, C. B. Watts, J. Watts - J. Rogers, D. Hebron R Wright were impanelled to try the cause. Dolores Blackhawk the complaining witness was sworn but forgot all about the matter and the Court after giving ^{her} a warning as to her future conduct in such matters discharged the jury - The prisoner was discharged -

So ordered & decreed by
Frank M. Murphy

Justice of said Township

No. 9
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of Calif

Action

vs. Plaintiff

Demand \$

John Morton
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 19	Davis Sec swears to a complaint that John Morton committed an assault with a deadly weapon on Charles Wolfe on the 13 of April 1894. Warrant issued for the arrest of said John Morton.
April 23	Morton brought into Court, Complaint read to him. Pleads not guilty - Is admitted to bail in sureties for \$200. Trial set for Monday, May 7, 1894 at 1, o'c. P. M.
May 2	Subpoenas issued for J. J. McDonald, John Young, Mark Anderson, Davis Sec, the Specterman and Charles Wolfe.
May 4	Venue ordered for 12 jurors.
" 7	Venue filed.
" "	The following jurors were sworn to try the case: E. J. Beck, John Bugg, George Hildreth, Wm. Gerries - L. B. Crawford, J. H. Coryall, John Keating, J. L. Baker, Harmon Bigelow, Jesse Solomon, W. F. Rodgers, J. Falconer, Charles Wolfe, Jane Wolfe, Davis Sec testified for prosecution and Deft. Harry Deane for the defense - the jury retired - The jury returned a verdict of not guilty - The Defendant ordered discharged.

So ordered & decreed by
J. M. Murphy
Justice of Peace

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madera

Township,

State of California.

The People of the State of Calif

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Harry Deane

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 17 A L Specterman swears to a Complaint that Harry Deane did commit an assault with a deadly weapon on the person of Char. Wolfe on the 14th of April 1894 at Pine Gold Madera Co.

Warrant issued for the arrest of said Harry Deane.

April 23 Deane brought into court. Complaint read to him. He pleads not guilty. Is admitted to bail by giving sureties in \$500 - Examination set for Monday April May 7 at 10.00 P. M.

May 2 subpoenas issued for Mark Audusson. J. J. McDonald. John Young the Specterman. Davis Sec. Char. Wolfe. Jane Wolfe.

May 7 trial called - Defendant being present - Char. Wolfe the Specterman, Jane Wolfe - John Young being examined for the prosecution and the deft. and John Morton for the Defense - After argument by attorney for defendant The Court ruled there was not sufficient evidence to hold the defendant. The Court ordered the prisoner discharged -

So ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy

Justice of Peace

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of Sealth Township,
County of Madison State of California

The People of the State of Calif

Plaintiff

vs.

Bill Morley

Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894
DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 19

J. W. Moore swears to a complaint that Bill Morley committed the crime of petty larceny at North Fork Madison Co. on or about April 17. 1894.

Warrant for arrest of said Bill Morley issued.

Warrant returned as prisoner had left the County - case dismissed so ordered

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

X

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Fifth
Madera

Township,

State of California.

The People of the S of Calif

Plaintiff

vs.
Frank Perinich

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 2 Mary (an Indian woman) swears to a complaint charging Frank Perinich with the crime of battery on apr 30. 94

May 9 Warrant of arrest issued.

June 16 Defendant brought into Court and allowed out on his own recognizance to appear for trial on Monday June 18 at 12 P.M.

June 18 Trial called & Defendant in Court - The prosecuting witness not appearing and there being no testimony against the Defendant - he was ordered discharged - by the Court.

So ordered and decreed by
Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

No. 13
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the S of Calif

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

C. D. Armstrong

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 4 S. L. Chapman swears to a complaint charging
 C D Armstrong with making threats to kill -
 " " Warrant issued for arrest of C D Armstrong
 6 Trial set for Sat. May 12. at 1.05. P.M.
 May " Subpoenas issued for Bonner and Mrs
 S L Chapman
 May 11 Subpoenas returned as served by Constable Adams.
 May 12 This being the day for trial. The case was
 called and the prosecuting witness not appearing
 and there being no evidence against the defendant
 the case was ordered dismissed and the deft.
 discharged
 So ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Wadera State of California.

The People of the State of Calif.

Action

Plaintiff

John Doe Jackson

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 4 S. L. Chapman swears to a complaint charging the defendant Jackson with the crime of malicious mischief

May 4 Warrant issued for the arrest of J D Jackson

May 4 Trial set for Sat. May 12. 1894 at 10 o'clock PM

May 12 The case being called and no evidence appearing on behalf of the people; the case was ordered dismissed and defendant discharged.

So ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy

Justice of said Township

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe

Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 19 Margt. Douglass swears to a complaint that
John Doe committed a breach of the peace by
using vulgar and profane language
" Warrant issued for said John Doe.
The defendant brought into Court and pleads
not guilty - Trial set for 2 o'clock P.M. May 19, 1894
Margt. Douglass. Belle Castro testified
for prosecution and John Doe for defense
The Court after carefully examining
the testimony acquitted the defendant -
The defendant was discharged.

So ordered and decreed, by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of Peace

In the Justice's Court of

J

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of S of Calif.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Buckskin

An Indian,

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30

R. L. Lewis swears to a complaint charging
"Buckskin" an Indian with the crime
of battery -
warrant issued for the arrest of
said "Buckskin" -

June 20

Buck-skin could not be found and
the court closed the case - fees paid -

So ordered

J. M. Murphy
Justice of the Peace,

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of San Jose Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of S. J. Calif.
Plaintiff
vs.

Henry Swott Indian
Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30

R. L. Lewis swears to a complaint charging Henry Swott (an Indian), with the crime of Battery.

Warrant issued for arrest of said Henry Swott

June 1

Defendant brought into Court - Pleads not guilty and trial set immediately

Bill Wyatt was sworn for the prosecution and the Defendant on his own behalf -

The Court found the defendant guilty of fighting and sentenced him to pay a fine of \$10⁰⁰ or 10 days in jail - fine paid on June 7. 1894.

So ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

Cliff Regan

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 2 J. D. Brown swears to a complaint charging
Cliff Regan with the crime of Grand Larceny

" " Warrant issued for the arrest of said
Cliff Regan.

The Defendant can't be found -
The case is dismissed

So ordered by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township -

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Massilo
(an Indian)

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8 Complaint filed charging Defendant with
the crime of assault with a deadly weapon
with intent to commit murder - a felony
Warrant of arrest issued -

June 11 Warrant of arrest returned, and Defendant in
Court and answered that his name is "Massilo"

" " Defendant arraigned on said charge, and
by the Court duly informed of his rights.

" " Examination held and J. Polisko - Sallie
Polisko - Mandy Lewis, Savage Lewis & R. L.
Lewis were examined on behalf of Plaintiff.
The Defendant declined to say anything.

The Court held the Defendant to answer
before the Superior Court of Madera Co.,
and fixed bail for Defendant at one
thousand dollars.

So ordered and decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of the Peace of said
Township -

In the Justice's Court of

5-

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Baker

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18 Complaint filed charging defendant
with the crime of malicious mischief
a misdemeanor

" " Warrant of arrest issued.

Warrant of arrest returned and deft.
in Court who pleads not guilty and
demands a jury trial. Trial set for
Tuesday June 19. at 1.00 P. M.

June 19 Defendant in Court and a jury impaneled -
Defendant tried on said charge by the jury and found
not guilty as charged

Wherefore it is ordered and decreed by
this Court that Defendant be discharged

J. M. Murphy

Justice of Said Township

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Baker

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18 Complaint filed charging Defendant
with the crime of petit larceny

June 18 Warrant of arrest issued
Warrant of arrest returned and Defendant
in Court who pleads not guilty and demands
a jury trial - Trial set for Tuesday June 19
at 1 o'clock P.M.

June 19 A jury was impaneled to try Defendant on
said charge - Defendant tried by the
jury and found not guilty of the charge
wherefore it is ordered the Defendant be
discharged -

So ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Ship

In the Justice's Court of

5

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe McDonald

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18 Complaint filed charging Defendant with the crime of Malicious mischief a misdemeanor

June 18 Warrant of arrest issued
Warrant of arrest returned and Defendant in Court, and being by the Court questioned as to his true name answered that his true name is Neil McDonald

June 19 Defendant pleads not guilty and demands a new trial - Trial set for Tuesday June 19 at 10 o'clock P.M.
A jury was duly impaneled to try Defendant on said charge
Defendant tried on said charge by the jury and found not guilty

Wherefore it is ordered and decreed that Defendant be discharged -

So ordered and decreed by

J. M. Murphy

Justice of said Township

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of J Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe McDonald

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18 Complaint filed charging Defendant
with the crime of petit larceny
June 18 Warrant of arrest issued
Warrant of arrest returned and Defendant in
Court, and being by the Court questioned as to his
true name answered that it was Neil McDonald
Defendant pleads not guilty and demands
a jury trial - Trial set for 10.00 P.M. on
Tuesday June 19, 1894.
June 19 A jury was duly impaneled to try the charge
against Defendant - By the jury
Defendant tried on said charge and
found not guilty

Wherefore it is ordered the Defendant
be discharged

So ordered and decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Matilda
(an Indian)

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 18 Louisa Neal swears to a Complaint charging
Matilda (an Indian) with an assault & on
complaining witness. Warrant issued and
prisoner pleaded not guilty.

July 20 The Court after hearing the evidence - discharged
the defendant -

So ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

No. 25-

In the Justice's Court of San Bernardino Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Marian -
(an Indian)

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 20 Louisa O'Neal swears to complaint charging
"Marian" with an assault on Jack Neal.
The prisoner brought into Court and pleads
not Guilty - The Court after hearing testimony
discharged the Defendant.
So ordered & decreed by

A. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California
Plaintiff
vs.
Mary
an Indian
Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 20 Louise Neal swears to complaint charging
'Mary' an Indian with an assault on
Jack Neal. Warrant issued and Deft.
brought into Court - Deft. pleads not guilty
The Court after hearing the testimony ordered
the Defendant discharged -

So ordered and decreed by
Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township,

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California
vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

Tom Regan

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 11 Chas O'Neal swears to a complaint charging
the crime of grand larceny committed by
Tom Regan -
Warrant issued -

Oct 1 The Defend^t, skipped the Country -
The case is discharged - fees paid -
so ordered

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Tp

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

"Bill"

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Augt 14 Victor St Adam swears to a complaint
charging "Bill" an Indian, with the crime
of murder - to wit

" Subpoenas issued for Maggie Beale - Mrs
Beale - Mary & Mono Jack - Susie
Jeff -

The defendant brought into Court and
states his name is Bill Williams -

After examining the witnesses Maggie
Maggie's mother - Mary - Mono Jack - Susie -
and Joe Smith the Court found that
the Defendant was justified in firing
at the deceased Jeff -

The Defendant was discharged
so ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

20 folios
#4-10

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California
vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

Fred Bowman

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 14 John Smith swears to a complaint
charging Fred Bowman with the crime
of assault on Ed. Stebner.
Warrant issued.

" 15 Subpoena issued for Martin Lewis
The Defendant pleaded not Guilty and declared
he was ready for trial - The Defendant and
Martin Lewis gave evidence and the
Court acquitted the Defendant

The Defendant was discharged
so ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Hebern

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Augt 15 Fred Bowman swears to a complaint
charging Ed Hebern with assault with a deadly
weapon on said Fred Bowman.
" " Warrant issued.

The Defendant discharged -

J. M. Murphy
Justice of the Peace

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of 5 Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Tom Regan

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Augt 16 Jose Reores swears to a complaint charging
Tom Regan with the crime of Grand
Larceny - Warrant issued

Dec 19 The defendant cannot be found and the
supposition being he has left the country
the case is dismissed

So ordered by

J M Murphy
Justice of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Augt 18 Complaint filed charging defendant with
the crime of burglary, a felony

" " Warrant of arrest issued -

Augt. 22 Warrant of arrest returned, and Defendant
in court, and being by the Court questioned
as to his true name answered that his true
name is Frank Wiseman -

" " Defendant arraigned on said charge and by
the Court informed of all his rights -

J. L. Baker was examined and the Deft.
made a voluntary statement

The Court held him to appear before the
superior Court on the charge of burglary
and admits him to bail in the sum of
\$1000 -

So ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township.

G. J. J.

H-20

No. 33
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California
 Plaintiff

vs.

Bill Walker

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct-20 Complaint filed charging defendant with the
 crime of murder, a felony -
 " " Warrant of arrest issued -
 " 23 Subpoenas issued for Jose and Cassone
 to appear on Wednesday Oct 24 - 1894 -
 " 24 Defendant brought into Court and says that
 his name is Bill Walker. The Defendant
 after being instructed of his rights by the Court
 made a voluntary statement - witnesses not
 being present the defendant was remanded
 for further examination until Tuesday at 30 -
 at 1.0 P. M.

Oct 30, Subpoenas returned as served on Jose and
 Cassone - Sally -

Oct 30

After hearing the evidence of the several
 witnesses the Court decided that there was
 not sufficient evidence to hold the defendant.
 The Court orders the Defendant discharged.
 So ordered & decreed.

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of said Ck

No. 344

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Susana
Jim Chippan

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 20 Complaint filed charging the Defendant
with the crime of arson.

" " Warrant of arrest issued.

" 24 Defendant brought into Court and says his
name is Jim Chippan - Examination
set for Monday Oct 29, 1894

" 27 Subpoenas issued for - Johnny Thraps -
and Willie Chippan for Defense -
Susana and - Driver for Prosecution.

Oct 30 This being the day set for trial and the District
Attorney being present to represent the People
The Defendant being present and represented
by W. St. Larew Esq. The following witnesses
were examined Susana - Driver for the
prosecution and Willie Chippan - J. Thraps
and Judge Smiley for the defense -

The Court decided there was not sufficient
evidence to hold over for Superior Court
and ordered the Defendant discharged
so ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of the Peace.

No. 35
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the
State of California

vs.

John Doe

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 8 Complaint filed charging the Defendant
 with malicious mischief -

" " Warrant issued -

Nov 20 Defendant brought into Court and says
 his name is Mike - and pleads not Guilty.
 The prosecuting witnesses failed to appear
 and there being no evidence against the Defendant
 the Court ordered his discharge -

So ordered and decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Tom Jefferson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 8 Complaint sworn to charging the Deft.
with the crime of murder —
" " Warrant of arrest issued —

Dec 22 Warrant of arrest returned as Deft.
cannot be found and has quit the
Country

So ordered by

J. M. Murphy

No. 37
 In the Justice's Court of Hyatt Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of California

Action.....

Plaintiff

Demand \$.....

Cliff Regan

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1895-DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 13 Complaint sworn to charging the Defendant
 with the crime of Burglary
 " 17 Warrant of arrest issued
 " 17 Complaint refiled by H E Bigelow
 Dec 1 H E Bigelow requests that a warrant of arrest be
 issued ^{of arrest} warrant issued
 Dec 1 Complaint filed by H E Bigelow Charging the
 defendant with the crime of Burglary committed on
 Nov 13 1894

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 17

Calvin Bigelow makes affidavit that he has a suspicion that goods stolen from his store on the 13th Inst. are hidden on the premises of John Doe McDonald, John Mc Mann, Chris Larsen, John Doe Larsen - Jim Legan - and requesting a search warrant be issued to search the premises of the above persons.
Search Warrant issued -

No. 35
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Mariana

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 18 Ned swears to a complaint charging 'Marian' with malicious mischief -

Nov 24 The defendant came into Court and pleaded not Guilty -

After examination and it appearing to the Court that there was no evidence against the defendant, the Court ordered his discharge

So decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township

✓

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Cal.

Plaintiff

vs.
Calvin Bejelow

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 24 Complaint filed charging defendant with
selling liquor without license —

" " Warrant of arrest issued.

" 26 Defendant brought into Court on Warrant
of arrest and being instructed by the Court
as to his rights — He pleads not Guilty.
Trial set for Sat Nov 25, Dec 1 at 10.00 A.M.
Jury trial demanded — venire issued for jurors.
Subpoenas issued for Altek Rattray & John Doe Remick.

Dec 1 Affidavit of change of venue filed.
Change of venue granted — The case
is transferred to First Township to
Justice J. E. Elmore's Court at Borden.

So ordered

J. M. Murphy

Justice of said Township

✓

No. 41
 In the Justice's Court of Truck Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Milburn Russell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 24 Complaint filed charging deft with selling
 liquors without a County license

" 24 Warrant of arrest issued.

" 26 Defendant brought into Court on Warrant of
 arrest and being instructed by the Court
 as to his rights - He pleads not Guilty.
 Trial set for Sat Dec. 1 at 2.00 P.M.
 Jury trial demanded - Venue issued for jurors
 Subpoenas issued for Jas Baker, John Doe - J. M. Davis.

Dec 1 Affidavit for change of Venue filed.
 allowed. Case transferred to 1st Township
 So ordered
 J M Murphy
 Justice of said Tp.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Cal.

Plaintiff

vs.
John H Correll

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov 24 Complaint filed charging deft. with selling
liquors without a County license.
- " 24 Warrant of arrest issued.
- " 26 Defendant brought into Court under Warrant
of arrest and being instructed by the Court
as to his rights - He pleads ~~not guilty~~
- " - Trial set for Mon. Dec 3 at 10.00 A.M.
Jury trial demanded - Venue issued for jurors.
Subpoenas issued for W. H. Walsh.
- Dec 1 Affidavit for change of venue filed.
- " allowed - transferred to 1st Township - Justice Elmore.

So ordered.
J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

No. 43
 In the Justice's Court of Fitch Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action.....

Plaintiff

Demand \$.....

vs.

Fred. Bowman

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 24 Complaint filed charging Defendant with
 selling liquor without a license
 " 24 Warrant of arrest issued -

" 28 Defendant brought into Court on Warrant.
 He states that he is not the owner of the
 saloon - it is his sister's property -
 The court ordered his discharge -
 So ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township.

No. 44
 In the Justice's Court of Fitch Township,
 County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Calif

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.
John Morton

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 28 Complaint filed charging Deft with the crime
 of simple assault -

Warrant of arrest issued

Dec 8 Defendant brought into Court and pleads not
 guilty. Trial set for Sat. Dec 15 at 10 A.M.
~~Subpoenas issued for Levi Graham, Tom~~
~~Swayne. Stephen Barranet.~~

Dec 15 Trial postponed until Friday Dec 21-1894
 at 10 A.M.

Dec 21

This being the day of trial and Defendant
 and his attorney being present in Court
 the complaining witness was examined
 and after hearing the evidence the Court
 adjudged the Defendant guilty -

Time for passing sentence to be at
 5 P.M.

The Defendant was sentenced by the Court
 to pay a fine of \$5⁰⁰/₁₀₀

So ordered & decreed by

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of Township

No. 48

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of Calif.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

vs.
John Morton

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 28 Complaint filed charging defendant with the
crime of Grand larceny -
" " Warrant of arrest issued.
Dec 10 Defendant brought into Court & pleads not Guilty
Trial set for Saturday Dec 15, 1894 at 1.00 P.M.
Subpoenas issued to John Doe, Tom. Swayne and
Levi Graham for the prosecution -
Dec 15 Trial postponed until Friday Dec. 21, 1894
at 1.00 P. M. witnesses notified -
Dec 21 This being the day of trial and defendant
and his attorney being present - Tom
Swayne and Levi Graham were examined
for the prosecution - The Court after hearing
the evidence - discharged the defendant.

So ordered by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of the said Co.

40/5

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Calif.

Plaintiff

vs.

O. Suberland

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 30 Complaint filed charging defendant with
selling liquors without a County license
" Warrant of arrest issued.

Dec 3 Defendant arrested and pleads -
not guilty -
Change of venue allowed and
case sent for trial to Justice's
Court Fifth Township -

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Tp

No. 47
 In the Justice's Court of Leitch Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim Moor

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 19 Complaint filed charging defendant with
 the crime of battery
 " " Warrant of arrest issued.
 " " Subpoenas issued for Indians Cap and Ned
 " " The defendant pleaded Guilty and after hearing
 the testimony of Cap and Ned - The court sentenced
 the defendant to 30 days in the Co. jail.

So ordered by

J. M. Murphy

Justice of said Tp.

No. 48
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Alameda State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

James Larsen

Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 23 Complaint filed charging the defendant
 with assault to commit murder.
 Warrant of arrest issued.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of Sefton Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of Cal.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Jim

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 29 Charlie swears to a complaint charging Jim
with malicious mischief -

Defendant came into court and pleaded
not guilty -

The court after investigating the matter
discharged the defendant with a caution.

So ordered by

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Sp.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Calif

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

James Larsen

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 31

A. B. Canada swears to a Complaint charging
James Larsen with an assault to kill.

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Frank Lanteron

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

January 21

Henry Kamps Swears to a complaint charging Frank Lanteron with an assault to inflict bodily punishment on the person of the said Henry Kamps
Warrant issued for the arrest of said Frank Lanteron

Jan 22

Warrant returned as served by Constable Frost

" " 23

Defendant brought into court and pleads not guilty
trial set for 10 o'clock January 23rd
case called at 10 o'clock as above
case postponed til three o'clock to be held
at Fine Gold case called as above as above
the court after hearing the testimony ordered the
defendant discharged so ordered and decreed

So ordered J. L. Baker
Justice of the Peace

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Frank Lanterem

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

January 21 Henry Kamps swears to a complaint charging Frank Lanterem with making threats against the life of the said Henry Kamps

Warrant issued for the arrest of said Frank Lanterem

Jan 22 Warrant returned served by constable Frost and defendant brought into court and pleads not guilty

Jan 25 trial set for 10 o'clock case called at 10 as above case postponed till three o'clock as above called at 3 o'clock at time held as above the court after hearing the testimony ordered the defendant discharged

So ordered

J. L. Baker
Justice of the Peace

No. 53

In the Justice's Court of fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff
vs.

Demand \$

Joseph N. Goode

Defendant

Miles Wallace
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. E. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 16 Complaint filed charging Defendant with the crime
Grand Larceny of one 3 year old Steer the ^{personal} property of
Murphy & Brassil

" warrant of arrest issued

" 20 Warrant returned & served on Defendant

Defendant brought into court and ^{pleads not guilty} asks that the examination
be set for hearing on Tuesday Feb 24. 1895 - at one
o'clock granted and Defendant released on his
word of honor

21 Subpoenas issued for Charles C. Beckinpath and Samuel
Polkingharn as witnesses for the People

23 Summons issued for Andrew Goode & Elmer Seddes
as witnesses for Defendant and for Charles F. Walker for witness
for the People Mr Beckinpath, not found

26 Summons returned as served by Constable Frost
case called at one o'clock Defendant in court and ^{with his witnesses}
asked for continuance for two days to give his Attorney
an opportunity to be present. granted and hearing set down
for Thursday Feb 28 at one o'clock P.M.

28 Case called at day and hour above named defendant in court
Feb 28 The Court after hearing testimony in behalf of
the People, and upon the defense, offering no
evidence in behalf of defendant had reason to believe
that an offense had been committed and also
believing the defendant guilty thereof adjudged and
ordered the said defendant be bound over to appear
in the Superior Court in the sum of \$1000.00
So ordered by the court bond given and
approved with J. E. Bugg & Mr. Petrie O'Neal
as sureties J. L. Boker

Mrs. Finley appointed to report the case and J. O. J.
allowed \$10.00 therefor by the court

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Charles Thomas

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 18

1111

James H Arnold Swears to a complaint
charging Defendant with the crime of Burglary
Warrant issued for the arrest of the Defendant

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.
Wm J Rogers

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1895 - DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

March 2nd

complaint filed charging Defendant with the crime of unlawfully and burglariously breaking into the store of Calvin Bigelow at Ockals in the county of Madera in the night-time of Feb 17 1895 - or thereabouts - Warrant of arrest issued

4th

Warrant of arrest returned as served on Defendant in court and being by the Court questioned as to his true name ^{answered that his true name is} Wm J Rogers

Defendant arraigned on on said charge and by the Court duly informed of all his rights and thereafter on March fourth 1895 pleads not guilty examination set down for Friday March 8 1895 at one O'clock

Subpoenas issued for John Lewis Charles Ripperton John Doe Morrissey Calvin Bigelow George Russell George Martin and Fred Smith as witnesses for the People and for R. L. Lewis and James O. Lewis as witnesses for the Defense

March 5

issued Summons for Jas Baker Robert Ruskell L. W. Krohn John House as witnesses for the People

March 8

Subpoenas returned as served except as to R Ruskell & John Doe Morrissey & L. W. Krohn by constable Frost on motion of Distric atty the complaint filed March 2nd was set aside and new examination held as above the Defendant ^{being} in court with his witnesses the District atty being also present the court after hearing the testimony in the case adjudged the Defendant guilty and ordered him bound over to appear in the Superior Court in the sum of one thousand dollars so ordered and decreed by the court

above case reported in short hand by Miss Kate Finlay she being appointed for that purpose by the court at a per diem of five dollars for two days consumed

J. L. Baker
Justice of Peace

In the Justice's Court of Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Peralta Pablo

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

March 12

John Ospital makes affidavit that on or about March 10 two certain hogs were stolen from him and that he suspects one Peralta Pablo ^{with} the theft thereof and is suspicious of that the said hogs are butchered and hidden away on the premises of the said Pablo he therefore requests that a search warrant be issued to search the house of said Pablo

Search warrants issued

March 14

Search warrant returned and no part of the hogs found as served by constable J. J. J.

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madura State of California

The People of the State of Calif.

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

George W. Bain Jr

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

March 16 1891

Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of wilfully unlawfully Feloniously and of his Malice aforethought of Killing and Murdering one Maria a human being

16
23rd

Warrant of arrest issued
Warrant returned as served on defendant in Court and said defendant committed to Constable of Fifth Township for examination after being questioned as to his true name he answered that his true and full name is George Washington Bain Jr and being by the Court informed of his rights decided to say nothing until he gets Counsel which he asks shall be provided for him by the County pleads not guilty

27

Summons issued for David Lee Mr Russell & Mrs Russell A L Speckaman J. H. Perry John Moor John Meade Wm Kerslake V. H. Adam Louisa Bills wife Mary Pumpkin Mrs Pumpkin Lee Chung and See See Chinamen as witnesses for the People except as to Russell and wife who are summoned for the defense and ~~J. L. Speckaman~~ Charles Lewis Walker as Interpreter Dan Divids Henry Triatt as witnesses for the people and Samuel Waters for the defense

examination set down for 9 o'clock at April 2nd 1891
Summons returned as served on Mr Russell Mr Russell John Moor V. H. Adam J. H. Perry Lee Chung See See Mary Pumpkin Mrs Pumpkin Louisa Samuel Waters by J. H. Frost and on David Lee A L Speckaman John Meade William Kerslake Dan Divids Henry Triatt R L Lewis by H. E. Bigelow Deputy

April 2nd

examination held as above set down the court after testimony for the People ordered the defendant bound over to the Superior Court in \$5000.00 dollars bounds not given defendant committed to Sheriff of Madura County case reported in shorthand by J. J. Bond at a cost of \$10.00 J. L. Baker Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The people of the State of California

Action Criminal

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Andrew J. Canaday

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- April 18 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Rape committed on or about the 20 day of July 1894
- June 20 Warrant of arrest issued for defendant
Affidavit of Mrs. R. A. Foreman filed, asking that certain children of the defendant be taken in charge by the authorities, as witnesses, pending the examination and trial of the defendant.
- Order issued to the constable to bring forthwith before this court George Canaday Jennie Canaday & Arlene Canaday there to await the further order of the court.
- July 24 Warrant of arrest returned, and defendant in court being questioned as to his true name, answered that his true name is Andrew J. Canaday. Defendant arraigned on said charge, and by the court informed of all his rights. Thereafter defendant pleads not guilty, and is committed to the Sheriff of Madera County until he can be examined on said charge, Bail fixed at \$2000⁰⁰ and he stands committed ^{until he give} such bail
- July 25 Examination set for Friday July 31st 1894
- July 31 Defendant and his Attorneys in court, and upon examination of said case by the court it appearing that there is no evidence sufficient to obtain a conviction it is ordered that the defendant be discharged from custody, and the said action be dismissed.

A. H. C.

Justice of the Peace

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of Calif

Action Criminal

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Clement Koch

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 22

complaint filed charging the defendant with
the crime of Misdemeanor committed on or
about the 18th day of April 1895
warrant of arrest issued

Summons issued for Gilbert George Harvey Bugg
and Gayles

Warrant returned as Served on defendant
in court and being questioned as to his true
name answers that his name is Clement
Koch pleads guilty the court after hearing
all the circumstances of the matter
orders that the defendant pay a fine of
five dollars \$5.00 Do ordered by the court

23

fine paid in full and recorded
paid \$3.75 to H. E. Bigelow for services
of deputy constable in case
\$1.50 on hand cash bal

J L Baker
J P

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The people of the State of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Joseph Hearn and

Albino Bera

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 19 Clement Koch Swears that he has a suspicion or reason to believe that property stolen from his dwelling house on or about Jan 25 1895 are hidden on the premises of Joe Hearn and one Charlie a Mexican and requesting that Search Warrant be issued to search the premises of the above named Joe Hearn and Mexican Charlie or Albino Bera
Search warrant issued

Search warrant returned as served and the following property found open faced silver watch black coat and vest two porcelain dishes property claimed by Koch and found in the possession of Joseph Hearn and Albino Bera

Complaint filed charging the said Joseph Hearn and Albino Bera with the crime of Burglary
Warrant of arrest issued

April 30 Warrant returned as served on defendants by H. E. Bigelow and defendants in court ask for faint examination examination set down for Monday May Sixth at one o'clock P.M. committed to constable Frost for examination
Summons issued for Joaquin Mantego and Mrs Joseph Hearn as witnesses for defendant on the part Albino Bera

Summons issued for Clement Koch Phillip Alvise The Ruiz John H. Addephard H. T. Hansen John von Felton Harvey Bugg and Joseph Hearn as witnesses for the people

May 6 Case dismissed and new complaint filed on motion district attorney and by consent of R. R. Fowler atty for the defendants case so ordered by
and new complaint filed

J. L. Baker
Justice

No. 41

Township,

In the Justice's Court of Fifth
County of Madera State of California

The people of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Joseph Hearn and
Albino Gera

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 20 John H Addehardt swears that he has good reason to believe that certain property stolen from his dwelling house on or about the 24 day of January 1895 and consisting of one suit of grey clothes worth about \$20.00 and one pair of shoes worth \$4.00 also one brace and bit worth \$1.50 are hidden and concealed on the premises and in the houses of the above named defendants he requests that a search warrant be issued

36 Search warrant issued and returned as served by H. E. Bigelow and the following property found on the premises of Joseph Hearn 1 pair of grey pants, grey vest and one pair of black pants, razor and on the premises of Albino Gera 1 brace and bit, 1 pair of pruning shears Geneva make and properly identified as property stolen from the premises of John H Addehardt as above stated

Summons issued for Clement Koch Phillip Alvise
The Ruiz John Addehardt - H. T. Hansen John Bonfeto
Harvey Bugg

In the Justice's Court of *Fifth*

Township,

County of *Madera*

State of California.

*The People of the State of Calif.*Action *Criminal*

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Albino Gera

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 29

Element Koch Swears to a complaint charging the above defendant with the crime of burglary committed on or about Jan 25 1895
warrant of arrest issued for defendant
warrant returned & served on the defendant ^{he} being brought into court by constable Frost and by the court questioned as to his true name answers that his true name is Albino Gera and is held for examination on the above charge
May 6 case dismissed on motion of District Atty and by consent of R R Fowler counsel for defendant
So ordered

J L Baker
Justice

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph Hearn and
Albina Gera
Defendant

Action Criminal
Demand \$
Miles Wallace
Attorney for Plaintiff
R R Fowler
Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 6 ^{new} Complaint filed charging the defendants with the crime of Telony to wit Burglary.
Warrant of arrest issued ^{dependants arraigned} returned as served on defendants in court and being questioned by the Court as to their true names answers that their true names are Joseph Hearn and Albina Gera and being by the Court informed of all their rights are committed to the Constable of Fifth Township for examination said examination to be held on Saturday May 11 1895.
Supenas issued for W. H. Tibbits Ed. Daniels Mrs Joseph Hearn as witnesses for the people except Mrs Hearn. Supenas returned as served on H Tibbits and Mrs Joseph Hearn by deputy Constable Bigelow.
May 11 Examination held as above and the Court after hearing the evidence on behalf of the prosecution the defendants offering no testimony and it appearing to the court that the offense complained of has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the said named defendants Joseph Hearn and Albina Gera guilty order that they be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera and that they be admitted to Bail in the sum of one Thousand dollars each and they are committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera until they give such Bail. So ordered by the Court on the 11 day of May 1895 as above.
The above case reported in short hand by Miss Kate Finley Justice of the Peace she being appointed for that purpose by the Court.

Motion by defendant Counsel for dismissal overruled and the defendant committed

J L Baker

In the Justice's Court of Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

The people of the State of California

Action *Crim*

Plaintiff

Demand \$.

vs.

Joseph Hearn and
Albino Gera

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 6 Wm Reed & C Fitzgerald Swears that
they have reason to believe that certain property
stolen from their premises is hidden and concealed
on the premises of the above defendants and ^{Search Warrant} requests a
to be issued immediately to search for the following
property one bed tick or mattress made of white
ducking mad by a bockelon the one monkey wrench
medium size the property of C Fitzgerald and one
Spence Carbine length of Barrel 24 in 56 Calibre
bone site black varnished one large Meerschaum pipe
one feather pillow and case and six overshirts five
cotton and one woolen two pairs of underclothing
brown the property of Wm Reed
may 6 Search warrant issued

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

McPherson Kecham

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May

15

John W. Mallock Swears to a complaint charging
the defendant with the crime of assault with a
deadly weapon to wit a hand hammer miners
hammer

Warrant of arrest issued for said defendant

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Chase an Indian

Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895- DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8

Complaint filed charging the defendant
 with the crime of Grand Larceny

..

Warrant of arrest issued

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of Zip Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of Calif

Action.....

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$.....

Mrs Maggie Douglas

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 26 George E Douglas answers to a complaint
charging Mrs Maggie Douglas his wife
with with being dangerously Insane
Warrant of arrest issued

In the Justice's Court of
County of Madera

Fifth

Township,

State of California.

People of the State of California

Action

Petit Larceny

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Charlie Best

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 18 Complaint filed charging the defendant with
" " the crime of Petit Larceny.
" " Warrant of arrest issued
" 19 Defendant brought into court and pleads not
" " guilty and demands a jury trial. Trial set for
" " Oct 24th at 10 o'clock a.m. Subpoena issued for
" " Mrs House, Mrs. Mary Lewis, Anna Lewis, Neal Lewis
" " and Harry Peaters John House and John Canaday
" 22 Venue for jury issued
" 24 The time for trial having arrived and owing
" " to the absence of some of the witnesses trial
" " was postponed untill 2 o'clock P.M.
" " Venue returned twelve jurors having been summoned.
" " On agreement in open court the case was tried with
" " ten jurors. Trial beginning at 3 o'clock. The following
" " witnesses were examined. John House, Mrs. J. House,
" " Mrs. Mary Lewis, Harry Peaters, John Canaday and the
" " defendant. After hearing the evidence the jury
" " rendered a verdict of not guilty.
" " Wherefore the prisoner was discharged.
" " So ordered and decreed
" " Clerk. Justice of the Peace

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Walker

Defendant

Action

Battery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 13 Complaint filed charging the defendant
" " with the crime of Battery
" " Warrant of arrest issued
" 21 Warrant of Arrest returned by Deputy Constable
" " H. E. Bigelow, and defendant in Court Pleads
" " guilty as charged in complaint, after hearing
" " a statement of the case by the defendant
" " A fine of (\$10.00) ten dollars is imposed
" " Fine paid in full.

So ordered.

J. H. C., Justice of the Peace

Paid Constable \$5.20 fees
Justice 3.00 "
8.20

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madera

Fifth

Township,

State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Pinté, an Indian

Defendant

Action

Grand Larceny

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 28 Complaint filed charging the defendant
" " with Grand Larceny. Warrant of Arrest
" " issued

Jan. 3 Defendant brought into court by Deputy Constable
H. C. Bigelow. Defendant not being able to speak
English. Savage Lewis was sworn in as in-
terpreter. Defendant states that his true name
Pinté. on being informed of his rights he
pleads not guilty, and is committed to the
Sheriff for examination.

Examination set for Jan. 9th at 11 o'clock a.m.

Jan 9 The day and hour for trial having arrived
the People being represented by the District
Attorney and the defendant by Chas. Wallace.
Several witnesses were examined and after
hearing the evidence the defendant was
discharged, the evidence not appearing
sufficient to secure a conviction.

So ordered

[Signature]

Justice of the Peace

No. 71
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

People of the State of
California
 Plaintiff

Action Petit Larceny

Demand \$.....

Tripp Jones and Henderson
 Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1894
 Jan 14

Affidavit of Henry Berry, charging the said
 defendants with stealing a rubber belt, and
 praying that a search warrant be issued, filed.
 Search warrant issued.

17

Search warrant returned by Hlpt. Constable Bigelow
 he having found the property for which he had
 been directed to search, said property having
 been brought into Court
 Complaint filed charging the defendants
 with Petit Larceny. Warrant of arrest
 issued

22

Warrant of arrest returned, and defendants in
 court; and being by the court questioned as to their
 true names, they answer that their true names
 are George A. Tripp and Ed. Jones. Defendants
 arraigned on said charge and informed of their
 rights. Defendants plead not guilty and demand
 a jury trial, by agreement case to be tried by
 five jurors. Trial set for Jan 25th 1894 at 1
 o'clock P.M. Defendants are let go on their own
 recognizance

25

A jury was duly impaneled to try defendants
 on said charge. Defendants in court and de-
 mand a separate trial which is granted.
 Ed. Jones tried on said charge and after hearing the
 evidence the charge against him is dismissed with-
 out it going to the jury. Geo. A. Tripp tried on
 said charge by the jury and found not
 guilty and is therefore discharged from
 custody

Officer, Justice of Peace
 of said Township

In the Justice's Court of

No. 72

County of

Madera

State of California.

Township,

Action

Malicious Mischief

People of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Crane & Wallace

Defendant

John Brown

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Defendant

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Jan. 25 Complaint filed charging the defendants with the crime of Malicious Mischief
- " " Warrant of Arrest issued
- " 27 Warrant of arrest returned, with the certificate of Arch W. Donald Justice of the Peace of 3rd Township that the defendants had been brought before him and had given their true names as Geo. J. Crane and W. W. Wallis, that on their motion he had admitted them to bail in the sum of Two hundred dollars each, to appear before the court issuing the warrant or any court in which this action may be prosecuted, that they were discharged from custody on furnishing said bonds approved by him.
- Feb. 21 By agreement of W. H. Larew, Attorney for defendants and the District Attorney, Case set for trial Mar. 2nd at 11 o'clock A.M. and the Attorneys are so notified by mail
- Mar. 2 The above entitled cause came regularly on for trial in the above entitled court, and the defendants tried on said charge by the court and found not guilty.
- " " Wherefore, it is by this court ordered that the above named defendants be discharged from custody and their bondsmen be released from further liability.

O. H. C.

Justice of the Peace
of said Township

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State
of California

Action Petit larceny

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

John Roe

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Apr. 3	Complaint filed charging the defendant
" "	with the crime of Petit larceny
" "	Affidavit for Search Warrant filed
" "	Search Warrant issued, Warrant of arrest issued

In the Justice's Court of No. 74 Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Martin Gentry

Defendant

Action

Obtaining Goods
under false pretense

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Apr. 13	Complaint filed charging the Defendant with
" "	the crime of obtaining Property or Goods under
" "	false pretense.
" "	Warrant of Arrest issued

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Action Petit Larceny

Demand \$

Born Charlie, an Indian

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

July 11 Affidavit for search warrant, Made by one Joe
Smith, charging one Born Charlie with Petit
Larceny, filed. Search warrant issued
12 Search warrant returned together with the following
articles found on the person of one Born Charlie,
1 small brass watch, 1 silk handkerchief, 1 Pipe
1 Pocket mirror
Complaint filed charging the defendant with
the crime of Petit Larceny - Warrant of arrest
issued. Warrant of arrest returned and defendant
in court. ^{after being questioned as to his true name, answered must true name is Born Charlie} After being informed of her rights
the defendant pleads guilty as charged in said
complaint. Time for rendering judgment was duly
fixed for 2 o'clock P.M. July 12th 1896. On
the 12th day of July 1896 said defendant ap-
peared for judgment, and thereupon the court
rendered judgment as follows, to wit: Wherefore
it is by this court ordered and adjudged as a
punishment for the offense committed near North
Fork Madera County July 9th 1896, as charged
in the complaint afore said, that you, the
said defendant, Born Charlie be imprisoned
in the County Jail of the County of Madera for
the term of thirty days

Attest Justice of the Peace,
of said Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth
County of Madera

Township,
State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, and Richard Roe

Defendants

Action Assault with a deadly
weapon with intent to murder

Demand \$

Walter Wallace
Attorney for Plaintiff
G. G. Goucher
Attorney for Defendants

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 13 Complaint filed charging the defendants with
" " the crime of assault with a deadly weapon with
" " intent to murder. Warrants of arrest issued for the
" " said John Doe and Richard Roe.
" 14 Warrant of arrest for Richard Roe returned, and
" " defendant in court; and being questioned as to
" " his true name, answered that his true name is
" " Sebastian Lorea. Defendant arraigned on said charge,
" " and duly informed of all his rights. Thereafter,
" " on August 14, 1896, defendant pleads not guilty.
" 24 Bail bond of Sebastian Lorea filed
Nov. 2 Examination set for 10 o'clock A.M. Nov. 6th 1896
" 9 11 o'clock A.M. the defendants and their Attorney
being in court on proceeding with the ex-
amination the following witnesses testified
for the People, Ed. Hebern, Eugene Tully,
after hearing the evidence and finding it insufficient
to justify an action, the charge against the
defendants Sebastian Lorea and Juan Belva
is dismissed and their Bondsmen are released
So ordered

W. H. C.

Justice of the Peace
5th Township

No. 77

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State
of California
Plaintiff

Action Battery

Demand \$

John Lee Fisher
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 4 Complaint filed charging the defendant with
" " the crime of Battery. Warrant of Arrest issued
" 12 Warrant of arrest returned, and defendant in
" " court; and being by the court questioned as to
" " his true name, answered that his true name is
" " John Fisher. & Thereafter
" 12 defendant arraigned on said charge, and by the
" " court duly informed of all his rights.
" " Thereafter, on October 12, 1896, defendant pleads
" " guilty as charged in said complaint; Time for
" " rendering judgment was duly waived by defendant.
Wherefore, it is by this court ordered and adjudged
as a punishment for the offense committed at and
in the County of Madera, State of California on the 4th
day of October 1896, in willfully and unlawfully
striking and beating one Arthur Stephens, as
charged in the complaint aforesaid, that you the
said defendant, John Fisher, do pay a fine of
thirty five dollars. And in case said fine be not
paid by the 12th day of November, 1896, at 12 o'clock
M., that you, the said John Fisher, defendant, be im-
prisoned in the County Jail of the said County of
Madera, until the fine be duly satisfied, in the pro-
portion of one day's imprisonment for every dollar
of the fine; and on the payment of such portion of
such fine as shall not have been satisfied by imprison-
ment at the rate above prescribed that you be dis-
charged from custody.

J. L. C.

Justice of the Peace of said Township.
Done in open court, this 12th day of October, 1896

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

William Herslake

Defendant

Action

Burglary

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov. 2 Complaint filed charging the defendant
" " with the crime of Burglary. Warrant of
" " arrest issued.
Nov. 5 Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in
" " court, on the explanation of the defendant,
" " and the complaining witness, Frank Wetmore,
" " and Constable H. C. Bigelow it is found
" " that no crime has been committed.
" " On motion of the District Attorney the
" " charge against the above named defendant
" " is dismissed.

So ordered
O. H. C.

Justice of the Peace

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Action

Petit Larceny

Demand \$

Joe Enos

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

F. A. Free

Attorney for Defendant

Jas

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

- Dec. 26 Complaint filed charging the defendant
" " with the crime of Petit Larceny.
Dec. 26 Warrant of Arrest issued.
Dec. 29 Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in
" " court, is by the court questioned as to his true name,
" " and stated in reply, my true name is Joe Enos.
Dec. 29 Defendant arraigned on said charge, and by the
" " court duly informed of all his rights; and thereafter
" " on the 29th day of December 1894, defendant pleads not
" " guilty. Being asked if he wants a jury trial he
" " answers that he does not know.
Mar. 11¹⁸⁹⁴ Case set for trial March 20th 1894 at 10 o'clock a.m. Defendant
" " so notified by mail. ^{Mar. 20th En} Case postponed indefinitely.
Mar. 30 Case set for trial at 11 o'clock a.m. April 3rd 1894.
Mar. 31 Affidavit of defendant, Joe Enos for change of venue filed.
April 1 Change of venue denied.
April 3 Order denying change of venue is hereby rescinded, and
it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby
transferred to the Third Judicial Township, of the County
of Madera, State of California, before W. F. Fowler
Justice of the Peace
O. H. Co, Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

M. A. Franklin

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 8 H. C. Bigelow makes complaint charging
" " the defendant with being insane
" " Warrant of arrest issued

In the Justice's Court of

County of

No. 81

Fifth

Township,

State of California

People of the State of California

Action

Petit Larceny

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

John hloe

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Mar. 23 Complaint filed charging the defendant with crime
Petit Larceny.
- Mar. 30 Warrant of Arrest issued. Warrant of Arrest returned
and defendant in court. is by the court questioned as to
his true name, and stated in reply, my true name is Joe
Teixeira ~~hlocharon~~. Defendant arranged on said charge, and by
the court duly informed of all his rights; and thereafter on the
30th day of March 1897 defendant pleads not guilty.
a jury was duly waived waived by defendant
- Apr. 7 Trial set for 10 o'clock a.m. April 13th 1897 and
defendant so notified.
- Apr. 13 Defendant appears by himself and his Attorney
E. S. Van Meter, and waives a jury trial. Defendant
tried on said charge by the court and found
guilty as charged. Defendant moves to set aside the
verdict on grounds of insufficiency of evidence,
Motion denied, Exception; Defendant moves for a new
trial on same grounds, denied, Exception; Time for
rendering judgment was duly waived by defendant.
The court thereupon rendered judgment as follows,
to wit: - Wherefore, it is by this court ordered and
adjudged as a punishment for the offense committed
near Bellview, Madera County, California, on the 16th day
of March 1897, in willfully unlawfully and feloniously
committing the crime of Petit Larceny, by stealing and
carrying away one load of wood as charged in afore-
said complaint, that you the said defendant, Joe Teixeira, do
pay a fine of eight dollars, and in case said fine be not
paid by the 3rd day of May 1897 at 12 o'clock M., that you the said
Joe Teixeira, defendant, be imprisoned in the County Jail of the said County
of Madera, until the fine be duly satisfied, in the proportion
of one day imprisonment for every dollar of the fine, and on
the payment of such portion of such fine as shall not have
been satisfied by imprisonment at the rate above prescribed
that you be discharged from custody.
- Done in open court, this 13th day of April 1897
Attest, J. J. [Signature] Justice of the Peace
of said Township

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

Township,

County of Madera,

State of California.

People of the State of California

Action Battery

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Joe Punkin and
Henry Bob.

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Mar 30 Complaint filed charging the defendant with
the crime of Battery. Warrant of arrest issued.
- April 3 Warrant of arrest returned and defendants in
court are by the court questioned as to their
true names, stated in reply respectively, my true
is Joe Punkin and my true name is Henry Bob.
defendants arraigned on said charge, and by the
court duly informed of all their rights: and
thereafter on the 3rd day of April 1897, defendants
plead not guilty, a jury was duly waived by
defendants, case set for trial April 10th at 10
o'clock a.m.
- Apr. 10 Case dismissed.

So ordered

C.H. Ch.

Continued from Page 83

- August 11 until he be legally discharged.
- " " And it is ordered that the said Patrick Rearden be
- " " admitted to bail in the sum of ten thousand dollars.

C.H. Ch. Justice of the Peace
of said Township.Done in open court this 11th day of August, 1897

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of California

Action

Murder

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Patrick Reardon

Defendant

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert Fowler

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- August 7 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Murder.
- August 7 Warrant of Arrest issued.
- August 9 J. W. Green, constable, announces that he has lost Warrant of Arrest whereupon another Warrant of Arrest was issued and placed in the hands of said constable. Warrant of Arrest returned, and defendant in court; and being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered that his true name is Patrick Reardon. Complaint on file is read to defendant. The defendant is by the court duly informed of all his rights. Defendant demands a speedy hearing. By consent of defendant and District Attorney, the case is set for examination August 11th, 1897, at 10 o'clock A.M. Defendant is committed for examination to the constable of The Third Township, County of Madera, State of California.
- August 11 The case came on regularly for examination, the defendant being present and represented by his Attorney Robert Fowler. The District Attorney appearing for the People. J. F. Conley was duly sworn in take down the evidence in report hand. The following witnesses were sworn and examined Linnie West, Ella Payne, J. H. Bethel, Jas. Arnold, Hese Morton, Mrs. Payne, Addie Blazer, Rose Morton, Lorena Wofford, Pearl Allen, J. S. Wofford.
- The case was submitted without argument.
- Wherefore, it is ordered that the defendant Patrick Reardon be held to answer upon the charge of Murder, in willfully unlawfully, feloniously, and of his malice aforethought killing and murdering one Lewis Allen Wofford, a human being, committed near North Fork, in said county, on the 5th day of August, 1897.
- It is ordered that the Sheriff of said county take and keep the said Patrick Reardon in custody and detain him

(Continued on Page 82)

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Crawford, W.
Wilson & Peter Latham

Defendants

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Bills allowed in Feb. 1898
PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 24 Complaint filed charging the defendants
" " with the crime of Tearing down fences.
Nov. 24 Warrant of Arrest issued.
Nov. 25 Warrant of Arrest returned and defendants in court,
are by the court questioned as to their true names, and
stated in reply, our true names are Charles Crawford,
W. H. Wilson & Peter Latham, defendants arraigned on said
charge, and by the court duly informed of all their rights;
and thereafter on the 25th day of November 1897, defendants
plead not guilty. A jury trial was duly waived by
said defendants and each of them.
Nov. 25, 1898, On Motion of the District Attorney
the charge against the above named
defendants is dismissed.

A. H. O. J. of P.

No. 85

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Savage Lewis

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Plaintiff

G. B. Boucher & Robert Fowler

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Paid \$3.00

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec.

21

Complaint filed charging the defendant with
the crime of Murder.

Dec.

21

Warrant of Arrest issued.

Dec.

21

Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in court; and
being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered
that his true name is Savage Lewis. Complaint on file is
read to defendant. The defendant is by the court duly in-
formed of all his rights.

Dec.

27

Cause set for examination Dec. 29th at 10 o'clock a.m.

Dec.

30

The case came on regularly for examination, The
defendant being present and represented by his attor-
neys G. B. Boucher and Robert Fowler, the District Attorney
for the People. J. T. Conley was duly sworn to take down
the evidence in short hand. The following witnesses
were sworn and examined, For the People: Harmon
Bigelow, Calvin Bigelow, Pedro (an Indian) Mrs. Chas. O'Neal,
Chas. O'Neal, F. M. Murphy, Jonah (Polisco woman), John Hoxie,
Mandy (defendant's woman), Sarah Lewis, Mary Lewis, John
Fisher, W. H. Larew, Tom Lewis, Chap. Lewis, Mrs. Wolfe, Mrs. O'Neil,
Annie Lewis, For the defendant: J. E. Bugg, W. R. Hampton, Smith
Norris, James Bethel, Henry Berry, C. Bigelow, James Kennedy,
Mrs. Lorentzon, Joe Baker, Bartolo Romero, and James Savage Lewis.
After hearing the argument of counsel for the People and defendant
It is ordered that the defendant Savage Lewis, be held to answer
upon the charge of Murder, in wilfully unlawfully, feloniously,
and of his malice aforethought killing and murdering one
Frank Polisco, a human being, in said county of Madera,
on or about the 20th day of December, 1897. It is further ordered
that the Sheriff of said county take and keep the said Savage Lewis
in custody and detain him until he be legally discharged. It is
ordered that the said Savage Lewis be admitted to bail in the sum of Two
Thousand dollars.

Attest Justice of the Peace, of said
Township. Done in open court this 30th day of Dec. 1897.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

George Canfield

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1897
Dec.

30

Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder. Warrant of Arrest issued.

Nov.

25th

1898 On motion of the District Attorney the charge against the above named defendant is dismissed.

W. H. C.

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California
The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. McCabe
Defendant

Proceedings.

1899
Feb.

9

Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of forcible entry and detainer. Warrant of arrest issued.

Feb.

10.

Warrant of arrest returned. Defendant in Court, and when asked his name said that his name was John Whidden McCabe. After reading the Complaint to him and informing said defendant of all his rights, said defendant entered a plea of "not guilty". Defendant allowed to go on his own recognizance.

March

20

Case set for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. on Monday April 3rd 1899. Defendant and his attorney notified by mail.

March

25

On motion of District Attorney this case is postponed till 2 o'clock P.M. on April 4th 1899.

April

4

On motion of the District Attorney, this case is ordered dismissed, it appearing that there is not sufficient evidence to secure a conviction.

Ernest K. Little
Justice of the Peace.

No. 87

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of California
vs.
S. P. J. Swalander

Plaintiff
Defendant

Action
Demand \$
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1897
Dec. 30 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime
of selling intoxicating liquors to Indians. Warrant
of Arrest issued.
Nov. 25, 1898, On motion of the District Attorney
the charge against the above named
defendant is dismissed.
O. H. Cole
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Charles Crawford and
Fred Crawford

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 27 Complaint filed charging the defendants with the crime of Malicious Mischief.

Dec. 28 It is ordered that the above matter be dismissed the defendants having left the county, and there being a lack of effort on the part of the injured party.

W. H. Hobb

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the No. 10th Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California.
The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas P. Gower

Defendant.

Proceedings.

Jan. 1899 27 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Malicious Mischief. Warrant of arrest issued.

Jan. 27 Defendant personally appeared in court and asked to be arraigned upon said charge, and was by the court thereupon arraigned. When asked his name, defendant said that his name was Thomas P. Gower. After the court informed the defendant of all his rights and read the complaint to him, said defendant entered a plea of "not guilty" and was thereupon allowed to go on his own recognizance.

March 20 Case set for trial at 10 o'clock A.M. April 4th, 1899. Defendant notified by mail.

March 25 On motion of District Attorney this case is postponed till 10 A.M. on April 5th 1899.

April 4 There being a lack of effort to prosecute this case, on the part of the complaining witness this case is ordered dismissed.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Frank Mello

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 14 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the
crime of Battery. Warrant of Arrest issued.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

John Doe

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 21 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Misdemeanor, to wit: putting and leaving carcass of dead animal in public highway.

May 21 Warrant of Arrest issued:

~~May~~ June 1st Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in court, and being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered that his true name is John Ashurst; Complaint on file is read to defendant. The defendant is by the court duly informed as to all his rights. Defendant pleads not guilty.

July 2 On Motion of District Attorney the charge against the above named defendant is dismissed.

A. H. Cole

Justice of the Peace

No. 91

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Teofilo Lopez

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1898

June

28

Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of assault with deadly weapon with intent to murder. Warrant of arrest issued.

June

30

Warrant of arrest returned and defendant in court, and being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered that his true name is Teofilo Lopez. Complaint on file is read to defendant. The defendant is by the court duly informed as to all his rights. Defendant demands three days time to get counsel, and requests that a message be sent to his counsel F. F. Ballard. Defendant is committed for examination to the Sheriff of Madera County, State of California, with bail fixed at \$1000.00.

July

2

On Motion of the District Attorney the charge against the above named defendant is dismissed.

A. H. Cole,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

E. d. Rosa

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19 Affidavit for Search Warrant filed. Search Warrant issued.

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California,

The People of the State
of California,

Plaintiff.

vs.

John Roe & Richard Roe
Defendants

1898

Proceedings.

Dec. 17 Affidavit for Search Warrant filed. Search Warrant issued.

No. 93

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Traver and
Wirt Scott

Defendants

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 22 Complaint filed charging the defendants with the
" " crime disturbing the Peace.
Aug. 24 Warrant of arrest returned and defendants in court, and
being by the court questioned as to their true names, answered
that their true names are Lewis Traver and W.H. Scott,
defendants arraigned on said charge and by the court duly
informed of all their rights: thereafter defendants plead
guilty to said charge.

Wherefore, it is by the court ordered that the
said defendants do pay a fine of \$5.00.

Fine paid and defendants discharged from cus-
tody.

Done this 24th day of August 1898

A. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace

No. 99

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California.
The People of the State of
California.

Plaintiff.

vs.

John Hove & Richard Roe

Defendants

1898

Proceedings

Dec.

17

Affidavit for Search Warrant filed, Search War-
rant issued.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Tipton

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Sept 3 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of assault with a deadly weapon.
- Sept 3 Warrant of Arrest issued.
- Sept 18 Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in court, and being by the court questioned as to his true name answered that his true name is J. S. Tipton. The complaint on file is read to the defendant; The defendant is by the court duly informed of all his rights; Defendant is committed for examination to the constable of said Fifth Township, County of Madera, with bail fixed at \$1000.00
- Sept 20 Case is set for examination Sept 24th at 11 o'clock A.M.
- Sept 24 This case came on regularly for examination, the defendant being present and represented by his counsel R. R. Fowler, the District Attorney appearing for the People, the following witnesses were sworn and examined in behalf of the People, Mrs S. J. Brewett, Elsie Brewett, Helmas Brewett, H. J. Brewett and Alie Ward. Attachment issued for Walter Spangler, defaulting witness. On motion of the District Attorney the case is dismissed and the defendant discharged from custody it appearing from the evidence that it would be improbable that a conviction could be obtained. Walter Spangler ~~the~~ defaulting witness brought into court, after hearing his excuse which appears probable and reasonable he is reprimanded by the court and discharged from custody.

O. H. Cole,

Justice of the Peace

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Hay Tipton

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Th Sept 3 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Malicious Mischief. Warrant of Arrest issued;
- Sept 18 Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in court, and being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered that his true name is Hay Tipton, defendant arraigned on said charge and by the court duly informed of all his rights; thereafter defendant pleads not guilty to said charge.
- Sept 20 Case set for trial Sept. 24th 1898 at 10 o'clock A.M. Venue issued for six jurors.
- Sept 24 This case came on regularly for trial, the defendant being present, and being represented by F. M. Murphy, W. H. Larew Dist. Atty appearing for the People. The following jurors were sworn to try the case Wm Henry Tibbets, F. M. Smith, M. B. Cummings, J. Olson, Geo. E. Houghlass, E. R. Price, Calvin Bigelow and E. L. Williams. Defendant tried on said charge the following witnesses being sworn and examined for the People, H. J. Brewett, Theo. Aloiso, Melanar Brewett, J. S. Tipton, L. E. Strivers and J. W. Green, for the defendant Hay Tipton, Mrs. Tipton and Ike Ward. After hearing the evidence the case is submitted to the jury and an Officer sworn to take the jury in charge. The jury brings in a verdict of not guilty, whereupon the defendant is by the court discharged from custody and the jurors dismissed from further service.

O. H. C.

Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Frey

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Oct 10 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Malicious Mischief.
- Oct 10 Warrant of Arrest issued.
- " " Warrant of Arrest returned and defendant in court, and
- " " being by the court questioned as to his true name, answered
- " " that his true name is W. L. Frey, defendant arraigned
- " " on said charge and by the court duly informed of all his
- " " rights; thereafter defendant pleads not guilty to said
- " " charge; amount of bail fixed at one hundred dollars. Bail
- " " bond filed with T. W. Bayles and E. H. Lechman as sureties.
- " 24 Defendant demands a jury trial, jury to consist of at
- " " least six jurors.
- " " Case set for trial at 10 o'clock A.M. on the 29th day
- " " of October 1898, Venue issued for 12 jurors.
- " 29 This case came on regularly for trial, the defendant being present and being represented by T. W. Bayles, W. H. Larue District Attorney appearing for the People. The following jurors were sworn to try the case, H. Clark, H. Berry, H. Marty, M. B. Cummings, J. W. Mc Cabe, James Richardson, John & Keating, Joe Barnes and James Morris. Defendant tried on said charge by the jury and after hearing the testimony of the following witnesses, for the People John Schaefer, E. H. Lechman, J. A. Lechman, W. A. Lechman and T. W. Bayles. for the defendant Wm Green, J. H. Boss, W. R. Hill, W. L. Frey, was found guilty as charged, and recommended to the mercy of the court. Time for rendering judgment was duly waived by defendant, who requested that sentence be passed upon him immediately. On the 29th day of October 1898, said defendant W. L. Frey appeared for judgment, and thereupon the court rendered judgment as follows to-wit:-
- Wherefore, it is, by this court ordered and adjudged as a punishment for the offense of willfully and maliciously shooting and wounding two cows the property of W. A. Lechman, committed near Bellview County of Madera, State of California, on October 9th, 1898 as charged in the complaint aforesaid, that upon the said defendant, W. L. Frey, do pay a fine of twenty five dollars.
- And in case said fine be not paid forthwith, that you, the said W. L. Frey, defendant, be imprisoned in the county jail of the said County of Madera until the fine be duly satisfied, in the proportion of one day in prison for every dollar of the fine; and on the payment of such portion of such fine as shall not have been satisfied by imprisonment at the rate above prescribed that you be discharged from custody.
- W. L. Frey, Justice of the Peace of said Township
- Nov 4 Defendant pays fine in full and is ~~ordered~~ discharged
- " " from custody, commitment filed;

No. 97

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Walter Spangler & Henry Beck
Defendants

Action
Demand \$
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1898	
Oct 27	Complaint filed charging the defendants with the crime of Malicious Mischief, Warrant of Arrest issued.
" 28	Warrant of Arrest returned and defendants in court, and being by the court questioned as to their true names, answered respectively that their true names were Walter Spangler, and Henry Beck, defendants arraigned on said charge and by the court duly informed of all their rights; thereafter defendants plead not guilty to said charge; bail is fixed at one hundred dollars, bail bond filed, defendants demand a jury trial.
Nov. 26	Case set for trial at 10 o'clock A.M. Dec. 10 th 1898.
Dec. 2	On motion of defendant Spangler trial postponed until Dec. 17 th 1898 at 10 o'clock.
" 10	Verdict issued for 18 jurors.
" 17	This case came on regularly for trial, the defendants being present and represented by R. R. Fowler, Dist. Atty absent, The following jurors were sworn to try the case, Lehar, Scoville, H. Berry, S. Barkley, Tom Sivels, Frank Skellquist, Tom Baker, Wm Maffett, C. Myers, G. W. Scott, H. Marty. Defendant tried on said charge by the jury and after hearing the testimony of the following witnesses, for the People, J. W. Soyler, W. L. Frey, Dan Cullen, Geo. W. Gibson, for the defendant Walter Spangler, The court then instructed the jury, The jury then retired for deliberation, The jury finds defendants not guilty. Wherefore it is ordered that the defendants be discharged from custody and their bondsmen released from further liability.
	Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Frank H. Wetmore

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

F. A. Fee

Attorney for Defendant

1899 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

JURY

- Jan. 25 Complaint filed charging the defendant with the crime of Forcible Entry
" " and Detainer. Warrant of Arrest issued.
- Jan. 26 Return made on Warrant. Defendant in Court, and gave his name as
" " Frank H. Wetmore. Defendant arraigned on said charge, and after the
" " Court informed him of all his rights and read the Complaint to him, said de-
" " fendant entered a plea of "Not Guilty". Defendant allowed to go on his
" " own recognizance.
- Feb. 8 Demurrer to Complaint filed ^{and arguments submitted}. Demurrer taken under ad-
" " visement by the Court.
- Feb. 14 Demurrer overruled.
- March 20 Case set for trial at 10 o'clock A.M. on Monday April 3rd
" " 1899. Defendant and his attorney notified by mail.
- March 25 On motion of District Attorney this case is postponed till 10 o'clock A.M.
" " on April 4th 1899.
- April 4 This case came on regularly for trial, defendant being present and
being represented by F. A. Fee, the District Attorney, appearing in
behalf of the People. A jury trial was waived and the case was
tried by the Court. Thomas P. Fowler and H. E. Bigelow were
sworn and testified in behalf of the prosecution, and Frank
H. Wetmore, J. H. McCabe, and A. M. McDonald testified in be-
half of the defendant. After hearing arguments of counsel
both for the prosecution and defence the Court finds the
defendant "not guilty" and orders that he be discharged from
custody.

Ernest Kletter
Justice of the Peace.

No. 1

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

John W. Gilmore

Plaintiff

vs.
The Zebra Mining Co

Defendant

Action To recover a debt

Demand \$ 248-25

E. E. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & O'Connor

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

Nov 9

The Plaintiff alleges in his complaint that the Defendant is indebted to him in the sum of two hundred + forty eight dollars and twenty five cents as per following account. August 2 As to hauling 35 $\frac{3}{4}$ tons of ore at \$3⁰⁰ per ton. = \$105.75

Aug. 3. Hire for 1 mule from May 29 to date 67 dys. 33.50

"

"

July 18.

"

17 "

8.50

27

"

1 horse

June 13 ..

Aug 27.75.

37.50

Aug. Hauling hoisting machinery of White Rock to Berryman 60.00
To 40 ore sacks. 3.00

total \$ 248.25

Nov 9

Plaintiff's attorney E. E. Calhoun.

Summons issued to Defendant with copy of complaint.

Dec 1

Summons returned with certificate of Sheriff of Santa Clara Co. certifying the service of summons + complaint on Nov. 14. 1893.

Dec 1

Defendant answers complaint through attorneys Wallace + O'Connor. and moves that the Court quash, set aside and annul the summons + service thereof. For grounds - 1st. That the Zebra Mining + milling Co. (incorporated) assumed is a misnomer. to wit - Zebra mining Co. 2nd. That the summons nor the copy thereof as served, does not contain the name of attorney for Plaintiff. 3rd - That the summons returned as served upon the president of said Co. was not certified to by the Co. clerk of Madera Co. as required by law.

Dec 2

Copy of defendant's motion served on Plaintiff's attorney.

The hearing of Argument is set for Wednesday Dec 6. at 2 P.M.

Dec 6

On motion of Plaintiff's attorney this action is hereby dismissed and leave given to withdraw the papers costs of Court \$2.75 are allowed -

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of Peace
of said Township.

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

J. L. Patterson

Plaintiff

Action to recover a debtDemand \$ 210⁰⁰M. Estes + J. McCortigan

Defendants

J. H. Clark

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

Nov. 14

The plaintiff alleges in complaint, that the Defs are indebted to him in the sum of two hundred and ten dollars for the threshing of grain during the year 1893.

Nov 14

Summons issued with copy of the complaint to Defs and writ of attachment issued.

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of *J. H.* Township,
County of *Madera* State of California

J. W. Gilmore

Plaintiff

vs.

*Zebra Mining and
Milling Company*

Defendant

Action *To recover a debt*Demand \$ *248.25*
E. E. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & O'Connor
Attorneys for DefendantDATE
1893

PROCEEDINGS.

- Dec 12 Complaint filed, summons issued and papers given to Plaintiff's attorney.
- Dec 22 Defendant answers denying everything contained therein. by his attorneys Messrs. Wallace & O'Connor.
- Dec 25 Original summons returned as served on J. H. Rucker on Dec 16 in Santa Clara County.
- " 1894 trial set for Jan 15th 1894 at 10 o'clock P.M. Subpoena issued by Plaintiff to Wm. Farrington.
- Jan. 29 Motion for continuance by Plaintiff on as witness Farrington was not present. Motion granted and time for trial set March 15th (Thursday) 1894.. at 10 o'clock P.M.
- March 10 Subpoena issued to Wm. Farrington, Santa Clara Co. to appear as witness on behalf of Plaintiff.
- March 15 subpoena issued to Henry Berry on behalf of Deft.
- March 15 Subpoena returned as served on H. Berry in this town by citizen Timothy Brassil.
- March 15 The Plaintiff asks for continuance as W. Farrington his witness is not present. The court grants a continuance for 24 hrs. Jury trial demanded by Plaintiff. A venire of 12 citizens ordered. issued for twelve jurors.
- March 16 Attorneys and parties present. Venire returned served on John Oester, J. W. McCabe, John House, A. T. Kanaday, Philip Abriso, J. H. Mussel, M. Russell, John Bugg, W. A. Cochran, Geo. Douglass, C. B. Watts, S. T. Allen, who upon being called answered to their names, and being sworn to answer questions as to their competency were examined by Counsel and passed - whereupon on agreement of Counsel it was agreed to try the case by a jury of 12. Plff's attorney excused. C. B. Watts. Defnt's attorney excused. J. W. McCabe - John Bugg, J. H. Mussel.

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

George Burford

Plaintiff

vs.

Zebra Mining and Milling
Company (a Corporation)

Defendant

Action To recover a judgment

Demand \$ 49.95

W. J. A. Fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & Connor

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1894 Jan 5	Complaint filed - summons issued & paper given to J. A. Fee, Plaintiff's Attorney.
Jan 24	Defendant through his Attorney, Wallace & Connor files a demand for bill of particulars on Complaint ^{Plaintiff's} Attorney. & on Plaintiff
Jan 31	Summons returned as served on Defendant J. H. Rucker, President of Zebra Mining and Milling Co. on January 18, 1894 in the 3rd Township - Madera County.
Feb 5	Motion for order for further particulars of bill made by Defendant's Attorney on Plaintiff. Hearing of motion on Feb 22 nd 1894 at 10 o'clock A. M.
Feb 9	Motion of Defendant withdrawn and Answer to Complaint filed - Defendant denies every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint prays the action be dismissed & asks for his costs.
Feb 12	Plaintiff's Attorney files a demand for judgment by default for the reason that Defendant did not answer within the time allowed by law.
Feb 14	Demand for judgment denied and Trial set for 10 o'clock P. M. Thursday March 15, 1894.
March 15	Subpoena issued to Henry Berry on demand of Defendant
" "	Subpoena returned as served on H. Berry in 5 Township by citizen Timothy Brassil.
March 15	This being the day set for the trial of the above case and the hour of 10 o'clock P. M. having arrived at which the said case was set down for hearing and the Defendant by its President, J. H. Rucker and Attorney Miles Wallace being present and the case having been called and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorney J. A. Fee being present the Court waits for one hour to wit until two o'clock P. M. of said day and the said

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California

_____ _____ _____ vs. _____ _____ Defendant	{	Action _____ Demand \$ _____ Attorney for Plaintiff _____ Attorney for Defendant
---	---	--

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Plaintiff and his attorney having been called at the door three times and neither answering nor appearing at and after said hour of two o'clock, on motion of Myles Wallace made, therefore the Court ordered, adjudged and decrees and it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the said action be dismissed and that the said defendant have judgment against the defendant plaintiff for costs in the sum of \$5⁰⁰ Court costs and \$1⁰⁰ Constables costs making in the aggregate the sum of \$6⁰⁰ as costs.

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

J. M. Hicks

Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Ross

Defendant

Action

To recover a judgment promissory note.

Demand \$ 124-77

R. L. Hargrove

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 19 Complaint filed + summons issued

Feb 22 Summons returned as served by J. Reed
on C R Ross on Feb 22 in this Township
Co of Madera State of California

Feb 27 Defendant files Answer denying the allegation
in Plaintiffs Complaint -

March 25 Trial set for April 30, 1894 on Monday
at 10 A.M. Parties notified.

April 30 On account of sickness of Defendant the
case is continued to May 25, 1894.

May 25 On motion of Plaintiff the case is indefinitely
postponed.

June 1 On motion of Plaintiff the case is dismissed -
The costs to be paid by plaintiff -

Judgment for costs allowed by the Court

Court fees \$4⁵⁰

Constables fees 8⁰⁰ making a total of \$12⁵⁰
as costs

So ordered & decreed by

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Cp

\$7⁵⁰ fees paid -

No. 6 5th Township,
In the Justice's Court of Madura County of Madura State of California

J. W. Gilmore

Plaintiff

vs.

Zebra Mining and
Milling Co.

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

E. E. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & Connor

Attorneys for Defendant

DATE.

(Continued)

PROCEEDINGS.

Thereupon J. H. Oester, John House, A. T. Kanaday, Philip Horison, Millwood Russell, W. A. Cochran, and George Douglass, were sworn to try the case who after hearing the testimony of J. W. Gilmore the Plaintiff and Henry Berry, Cal. Bigelow and J. H. Rucker for the Defendant who were sworn and testified - and the argument of Counsel - after consulting returned into Court the following verdict "In the Justice's Court of the 5th Township Madura County State of California - We the jury impanelled to try the cause between John W. Gilmore & the Zebra Mining and Milling Co. find a verdict for the Plaintiff in the sum of \$188 - J. H. Oester, Foreman of Jury. On request of Defendants attorney, the jury were polled and all answered 'yes'.

Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the Defendant in the sum of one hundred and eighty eight dollars damages together with the costs of this suit in the sum of Justice's fees \$7⁰⁰ Constables fees 2⁰⁰ Defendants costs \$16. ⁸⁰/₁₀₀ Plaintiff making in the aggregate the sum of \$25 ⁸⁰/₁₀₀ as Costs.

On motion of Defendants attorney it is ordered that a stay of execution be and is hereby granted for ten days.

Justice's fees paid -

Frank M. Murphy
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

Madura

State of California.

J. W. Gilmore

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Zebra Mining & Milling
Company

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

(Continued)

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

March 26 The Defendant thro' his Attorneys files notice of appeal
on questions both of law and fact.

" " Bill of costs filed with plaintiffs request.

March 31 Undertaking on appeal filed with B.D. Murphy
and Catherine Duane of Santa Clara Co
as sureties.

Bonds approved by the Court.

J. M. Murphy
J. P.

In the Justice's Court of

No. 8

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California

C. John Beck and
Sarah Beck

Plaintiffs

vs.

John Joe Ventura

Defendant

Action To recover

Demand \$150⁰⁰

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 25 complaint filed and summons issued
 " " Summons returned as served in this Township by
 constable Adam.

April 28 answer filed - trial set for Friday Apr
 May 4, 1894 at 10:00 P. M.

April 30 Subpoenas issued for the following
 witnesses on behalf of Plaintiff -
 Ike Ward, Mrs. Ward, Jesse Solomon.

To the following for Defendant.

Geo. Hildreth, Frank Russell, Joe
 Ventura, Henry Prewett, John Beck

May 1 Subpoenas returned as served by Constable
 Adam.

May 4 All parties being present. H. J. Prewett was allowed
 to serve as attorney for Defendant. Plaintiffs + Defendant
 waives the right of jury and the Court on motion allowed
 Defendant to amend answer to complaint. Amended answer
 being filed. The trial went on. The Court after hearing the
 testimony of C. J. Beck, Sarah Beck, Ike Ward - Jesse Solomon
 for Plaintiffs and Defendant Geo. Hildreth, Frank Russell
 John Beck & Joe Ventura for Defendant gave judgment
 for Plaintiffs in the sum of \$20⁰⁰ U. S. Gold Coin
 and costs of suit.

Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs
 have and recover judgment against the Defendant in
 the sum of \$20⁰⁰ damages together with the costs
 of this suit in the sum of \$8⁰⁰ Court costs and
 \$10⁷⁰ Constable costs, witnesses fees 6⁴⁰ total costs \$25¹⁰
 and that they have execution
 therefor

On motion of Defendant's attorney it is ordered
 that a stay of execution be and is hereby granted for
 ten days.

A. M. Murphy
 Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of

No. *11*

Township,

County of

State of California.

*C. J. Beck &
Sarah Beck*

Plaintiff

vs.

J doe Ventura

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

Continued

PROCEEDINGS.

*May 16 notice of appeal filed by Defendants'
attorney W. H. Larew**" 16 Undertaking on appeal filed with N Rosenthal
and J L Larew as sureties -**May 18 fees paid and papers forwarded to C. Clerk**Frank M. Murphy
Justice of said Township*

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California

F. G. Mallum

Action damages

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 299 ²/₁₀

vs.

John Doe chisaman

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. M. Tellis

Defendants

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 19 Complaint filed and summons issued.

" 20 Summons returned as served on J. M. Tellis.

May 22 Answer by J. M. Tellis filed -

Trial set for Tuesday June 5 - at 10 a.m.

May 23 Subpoena issued by Defendant Tellis for

Jas H Bethel and J W Bowen

June 5 This being the day for trial of the above case - all parties the Plaintiff and Defendant Tellis being present - Papers were not served on John Doe chisaman.

F. G. Mallum Peti Bortone and John

Bartram gave testimony for Plaintiff

J. M. Tellis - Joe Bowman and John Machy for the Defendant.

The Court after carefully examining the testimony gave judgment for the defendant in costs of suit.

Justice's fees . 5.20 -

Constable's fees . 17.10

Witness fees 4 - total \$ 26.30

Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that defendant have judgment against plaintiff in the sum of \$26.30 costs of suit.

So ordered & decreed

Court fees \$5.00 paid -

Frank M. Murphy

Justice of Peace,

Jan 5 Execution filed -

No. 11
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California.

Robert Ruskell

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

John Doe Meisters and
Frank Seregin

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 4 Complaint filed and summons
 issued to Defendants.

On motion of plaintiff the case is dismissed

so ordered by

Frank M. Murphy

Justice of said Township.

No. 12
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

Chris. Larsen

Plaintiff

Action

To recover

vs.

Zebra Mining & Milling
C. (a Corporation)

Defendant

Demand \$ 52.75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & Connor

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16 Complaint filed and summons issued for defendant.
 " 18 Summons returned as served on J. H. Rucker President by Constable Adam at Madera on July 16. 1894.
 July 23 answer filed -
 Aug. 9 Trial set for Augt 17 at 1. O. C. P. M. with jury
 " 15 Subpoenas issued for Emma Larsen, Manuel Contreras - Wm. Spangler. Henry Berry.
 Augt 17 This being the day for the trial of the above case. The defendant and attorneys absent. The court examined under oath the following witnesses - Chris Larsen, Mrs. Larsen, Manuel Contreras, Henry Berry - Wm Spangler - John Young.
 Whereupon it is ordered and decreed that the plaintiff have ^{recover} judgment against the defendant in the sum of \$5⁷⁰ damages together with the costs of this suit ⁱⁿ the sum of \$31.25 and that he have execution therefor.

Justice's Costs \$5.25 and \$2⁵⁰/₁₀₀ additional costs

Constable's Costs ~~\$9.00~~

Witnesses Costs 17.00 which are allowed by this court

J. M. Murphy

Justice of said Township

1894

Sept 17

Notice of appeal filed -

" "

Undertaking on appeal filed -

" 20

Bonds approved

" 24

All papers forwarded to C. Clark

J. M. Murphy

In the Justice's Court of Seventh Township,
County of Madera State of California.

William Spangler

Plaintiff

vs.

Zebra Mining & Milling Co.
(a corporation)

Defendant

Action To recover

Demand \$ 224 -

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wallace & O'Connor

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- July 16 Complaint filed and summons issued to defendant.
- " 18 Summons returned as served on J. H. Rucker President - by Constable Adam at Madera - on July 16, 1894.
- July 23 Defendant files demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
- " " Defendant files for bill of particulars on complaint.
- " 26 Demurrer is over-ruled.
- " " Plaintiff files bill of items - items forwarded to defendant's attorney.
- Aug 9 Answer filed and trial set for Aug 17, 1894 (Friday) at 10 o'clock P. M. - attorney notified.
- " 11 Subpoenas issued for Geo. Simmons, Chris Larsen, Henry Berry - De la Hida - Wm Spangler - John Young - Green Hitchcock.
- Subpoena returned as served by con. Adam on Young, Larsen, Berry, Spangler & Green Hitchcock.
- Aug 17 This being the day for the trial of the above case - the defendant and attorneys being absent the following witnesses were sworn Wm Spangler, Wm Spangler Jr, Henry Berry, Chris Larsen, ~~Geo Simmons~~ Green Hitchcock, John Young.

Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant in the sum of \$128.⁰⁰ together with the costs of this suit in the sum of \$42.³⁰ and that he have execution therefor.

Court fees 7.00
Constable fees 15.³⁰
Witnesses fees 20.00

which are allowed by this court

J. M. Murphy
Justice of said Township

No. 14
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

E. H. Hebern
 Plaintiff
 vs.
H. E. Geades
 Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$ 2.95

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16 Complaint filed and summons issued to defendant -

Suit stopped at the request of Plaintiff

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of said Court

Spangher plff

v.s.

Zebra Mining & Milling Co.

Sept. 17 Notice of appeal filed -

" " Affidavit on appeal filed -

" " Bonds approved

" 24 All papers forwarded to clerk \$2 $\frac{50}{100}$ -
 additional Court costs which make \$9 $\frac{50}{100}$ total Court costs which
 are allowed by

J. M. Murphy
 Justice of said Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>W. W. Counts</u>	} Action	
Plaintiff		
vs.		
<u>H. E. Gaddes</u>	} Demand \$ <u>204 53</u> <u>100</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 1 complaint filed and summons issued
 " " Writ of attachment filed -
 " " Undertaking filed -
 " 3 Summons returned as served on Deft H. E. Gaddes
 by Constable Adam on Aug 2 in this Township -
 Aug 6 Answer filed
 Trial set for Wednesday Aug. 15 - 1894 at
 10 o'clock P. M.
 " 11 Subpoenas issued for Geo. W. Smiley, Ed Hebern
 W. W. Obert - on behalf of plaintiff.
 " 14 Subpoenas returned as served on Smiley, Hebern
 & Obert by Con Adam on Aug 14 in this Tp -
 " 15 At the request of plaintiff the Court
 ordered the case dismissed - The plaintiff
 to pay costs. Judgment entered for costs against Plaintiff
 J. M. Murphy
 Justice of Peace
 Costs of Court \$5.00
 Constables " 27.40
 Keeper - 30.00
\$ 62.40
 Oct 17 Writ of execution issued to Con. Adam for
 his fees - J. M. Murphy
 J. P.

No. 17
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

J. J. McDonald

Plaintiff

vs.

George Simmons

Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$ 121 $\frac{12}{100}$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 20 Complaint filed and summons issued -
 " " Writ of attachment filed -
 " " Surety bonds filed -
 " 25 Answer filed.

No. 17
In the Justice's Court of Fitch Township,
County of Madera State of California.

George Peaford

Action To recover

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 64 45

vs.

North Fork Lumber Co.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 22 Complaint filed -

No. 18
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

A. Estes & E. H. Chapin

Action To recover

Plaintiffs

Demand \$

70.00

vs.

S. W. Dusthimer & Sons

Defendants

Geo. Hood
 Attorney for Plaintiffs

R. E. Rhodes
 Attorney for Defendants

1894

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Oct 20 Complaint filed & summons issued -
 " 29 Summons returned as served by M. Haney on
 S. W. Dusthimer in this Township -
 " " Demurrer to Complaint filed -
 Nov 3 Demurrer over-ruled -
 " " Answer filed - Trial set for Friday Nov.
 9 at 10 A.M. Postponed indefinitely
 " 9 Subpoena issued for Alck Dusthimer to appear
 as a witness on behalf of plaintiffs -
 Dec 4 Subpoena issued for Dan Lavelle and
 John W. McCabe as witnesses on behalf of plff.
 " Trial set for Thursday Dec 13 at 10 A.M.
 Dec 6 Attorney for Defendants notified
 " 13 This being the day of trial and plaintiff with his attorney
 and witnesses being present and defendants making no
 appearance - On motion of Plaintiff's attorney the case was
 postponed without date - the costs to date to be charged to
 the defendants - The costs viz - Court costs \$5.00 D. Lavelle
 \$3.40 making a total of \$8.40 is hereby entered against
 the defendants.
 March 29 ¹⁸⁹⁵ Case set down for April 17 ¹⁸⁹⁵ at one o'clock counsel notified
 April 11 on motion of Plaintiff this case is postponed indefinitely
 May 14 by stipulation of counsel this case is continued
 May 24 until May 24 ¹⁸⁹⁵ at 2 o'clock case on motion
 of plaintiff is postponed indefinitely

No. 15
In the Justice's Court of Fitch Township,
County of Madera State of California.

John L Eggers

Action To recover

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 102

05
100 J M Johnson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Weserve

Attorney for Defendant

Jonathan Lewis

Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 5	Complaint filed & summons issued -
Dec 8	Summons served on deft. in Justice Court S Township -
Dec 8	Answer to Complaint filed -
Dec 8	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff for John May
"	Trial set for Monday, Dec 17 at 10c. PM Plaintiff's attorney notified -
" 17	Trial commenced and on motion of Plaintiff's attorney an adjournment for 20 min. granted.
" 17	After hearing the evidence of J. L. Eggers, - Grayson for the Plaintiff and Jonathan Lewis - J May for deft. the Court awarded a verdict for Plaintiff for \$64. ⁵³ / ₁₀₀ damages. Wherefore it is ordered that the said Plaintiff have judgment against said Defendant in the sum of \$64. ⁵³ / ₁₀₀ damages and \$4.75 Court costs making a total of \$68. ⁷⁸ / ₁₀₀ which is allowed by Court on motion of attorney a stay of proceedings was granted for ten days - so ordered by

J. M. Murphy
J.P.

1895
Jan 5 Execution filed

No. 20
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

Murphy & Brassil
 Plaintiffs

vs.

W. S. Gibbons
 Defendant

Action To recover
balance of account
 Demand \$ 20 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 6 Complaint filed wherein Plaintiffs allege
 Defendant is indebted to Plaintiffs in the
 sum of \$20⁰⁰ balance of a/c on hand within
 two years past.

1881

No. 25
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madura State of California.

Charles W. Neal

Action To recover

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 220.00

Brown & Bartram

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

RECORDED

Dec 31 Complaint filed wherein Plaintiff alleges that defendants are indebted to him in the sum of \$220.00 balance of account within the past two years -

No. 22

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

H J Rewit

Action To recover

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 16 00

J S Watts

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 21 Complaint filed wherein Plaintiff alleges that
Defendant is indebted to him in the sum of \$16 00
on a note with interest at one per cent per month ^{said note was} given on
May 11 1891
not paid

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Charles O'Neal

Plaintiff

Action To recover

Demand \$ 243 00

vs.

H D Colson &

Charles L Morrill

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 21

Complaint filed wherein Plaintiff alleges that Defendants are indebted to him in the sum of \$243.00 for hauling lumber within the last two years past from the mills of the North Fork Lumber Co's mills in Madera County.

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

First National Bank of Fresno
a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Chapin & H. S. Williams
Defendant

Action to recoverDemand \$ 100⁰⁰ with interest and costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 15th comes now the Plaintiff and for cause of action
against the said defendants complains and alleges

1st

That the plaintiff now is and was at all times
hereinafter mentioned a corporation organized and
existing and doing business under and by virtue of
the laws of the United States within the State
of California

.... 16 Complaint filed and summons issued for
J. E. Chapin & H. S. Williams defendants

Summons returned as served on J. E. Chapin by constable Frost

.... 17 Case dismissed by request of plaintiff and at the
expense of plaintiff Court costs \$15.00

J. L. Baker

Defendant - allowed two days
in which to dig up the five dollars Justice

In the Justice's Court of Fifer Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Christ Larden }
Plaintiff }
vs. }
A M McDonald }
& D J McDonald }
Defendants }

Action to recover
Demand \$ 25 84
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

1855 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 30 Complaint filed wherein Plaintiff alleges that
the said defendants are indebted to him in the sum
of \$25 84 at balance on account for labor performed
on the Lillie Mine in Madera County Calif.
at their special instance and request.

No. 26

In the Justice's Court of Madera Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

1855-DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 2nd Complaint filed wherein the plaintiff alleges that
the said defendant is indebted to him in the sum
of \$148.50 for services rendered as Constable of Fifth
Township of Madera County for the month of
December 1854

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera,

State of California.

B. J. Norris

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Hallock

Defendant

Action

To recover on two
promisary notesDemand \$230.²⁵ with interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

Dec 14th Plaintiff Paid \$4.00 Justice fees

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 7 Complaint filed and summons issued
 " " Writ of Attachment filed
 " " Undertaking on writ of attachment filed
 " 13 Summons returned as served on Frank Hallock
 " " Defendant Sept 10th in this Township by Dep.
 " " Constable Bigelow
 " 16 The defendant in default by not having appeared
 " " or answered. It is ordered that the Plaintiff
 " " have judgment against said defendant for
 " " the sum of \$170.⁵⁰ with \$45.⁷⁵ interest. and
 " " the sum of \$60.⁵⁰ with \$4.⁰⁰ interest
 " " Total less \$5.⁰⁰ paid. \$275.⁵⁰ with costs as follows
 " " Justice fees 4.⁰⁰
 " " Constables fees 11.⁵⁰ not paid Mar. 2, 1896
 " " Making a total of (\$291.⁰⁰) two hundred and
 " " ninety one dollars.
 " " Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises
 " " aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed,
 " " that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and
 " " from said defendant, the above named sum,
 Judgment rendered Sept. 16th. 1896
 J. B. Justice of the Peace
 5th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California

George O. Simmons

Action To recover

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 182.00 with interest

E. E. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

F. C. Emerson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE. Sept 14, Pltff. Paid \$2.00 fee PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 14 Complaint filed and summons issued.
" " Writ of Attachment filed. issued.
" " Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment
" " filed.
Sept. 16 Summons returned as served on defendant
" " by Deputy Constable H. E. Bigelow in this Township ^{Sept 16.}
" " ~~Writ of Attachment returned and filed, Dep. Constable~~
~~Bigelow's statement of having attached all of defendant's~~
~~interest in the Lucky Jim Quartz mine.~~
Sept. 23 Denumer filed and overruled and owing to my
" " absence during the time in which defendant was allowed
" " to answer, he is given an extension of time in which
" " to answer, to wit: Until and including Sept. 26, 1895
" " and is so notified to day by letter mail
Sept. 26 Defendant takes exception to overruling his de-
" " numer, without setting a time for and hearing
" " argument on same, without his consent.
" " Answer filed.
" 28 Trial set for Oct. 10th 1895; at 1 o'clock P.M. Defendant
" " and Atty for Plaintiff notified by mail
Oct. 2 Subpoenas issued for David Pray, George Matlock and
" " John Matlock in behalf of Pltff.
" 7 Subpoena returned as served on David Pray & John Matlock ^{by H. E. Bigelow Constable}
" 10 ~~The day and hour for which the trial was set having arrived and the Plaintiff~~
~~and his Attorney, and the defendant being present, also witnesses David~~
~~Pray and John Matlock being present for the Plaintiff. The trial was begun.~~
~~at the request of of the attorney for the Plaintiff with no objection from the Pltff.~~
~~a correction in one of the initials of the defendant's name was ^{made} after~~
~~swearing and hearing the testimony of the following ^{named} witnesses the court takes~~
~~the case under advisement for one week~~
Oct. 17 Judgment of nonsuit is entered as moved for by defendant
" " The following costs were incurred Justice fees \$5.00
" " Constable fees \$12.00 Witness fees, John Matlock \$2.75
" " David Pray \$3.40. Total \$23.15

So ordered

[Signature]

Justice of the Peace

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

Bank of Madera
(A. Corporation)

Plaintiff

vs.

Action

To recover

Demand \$ 66.50 with interest

R. L. Hargrove

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Chapin

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

Oct. 17th 95 Pltff. Paid \$2.00 Justice fee + 76¢ for service of summonsNov. 6th 1895 Recd. \$2.76 of Pltff. as payment in full in this case

PROCEEDINGS

Sept. 23
Oct 12

Complaint filed and summons issued

Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon Defendant on the 12th day of October 1895, within the said 5th Township by E. T. Allen a person of lawful age and citizen of said Township, County and State, competent and qualified who has made the proper affidavit of service

" 19

In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint filed herein, and the legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurer having been filed, the default of said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law.

Now, on application of R. L. Hargrove attorney for said plaintiff it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant the sum of One hundred and two dollars (\$102.00) lawful money of the United States with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum from the date hereof until paid, together with plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of (\$4.75) Four dollars and seventy five cents all in lawful money of the United States.

C. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace,
5th Township

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action To recover

V. J. Schwab

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 219.30

Wm Hale

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898

Paid \$2.00

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 22 Complaint filed and summons issued
 " " Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed
 " " Writ of Attachment issued
 " 24 Summons returned as served, in the county of
 " " Madera, on the defendant on the 23rd day of October
 " " Summons filed.
 Case settled out of court and action
 dismissed.

Offl Justice of the Peace
5th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

H. H. Titcomb
Ex. Est. of J. M. Donald, decd
Plaintiff

vs.

Mark Anderson
Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 119.39 and Interest

F. L. Emerson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

4th Justice Peace Panel Feb. 1st 1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 29 Complaint filed.
Dec. 11 Summons issued. Affidavit and Undertaking
" " for Attachment filed. Writ of Attachment
" " issued
" 19 Summons returned and filed as having been
" " served upon defendant on the 16th day of
" " Dec. 1895 within the said 5th Township H.C.
" " Bigelow kept constable. Writ of Attachment re-
" " turned and filed.

" 23

In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint filed herein, and the legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, the default of said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law:

Now, on application of F. L. Emerson attorney for said plaintiff it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises afore-said, it is ordered, that the plaintiff do have and recover from said defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty two and 35/100 dollars Gold coin of the U. S. with interest thereon, at the rate of ten per cent per annum from the date hereof until paid; together with plaintiffs costs in said action amounting to the sum of

No. 32

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

<u>Samuel Crary</u> vs. <u>James Crary</u>		Action _____ Demand \$ <u>60 00</u> Attorney for Plaintiff _____ Attorney for Defendant _____
Plaintiff	Defendant	

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 11	Complaint filed and summons issued.
" 13	Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment
" "	filed, Writ of Attachment issued.
Dec. 13	Defendant personally appears and answers com-
" "	plaint and waives the ^{issuance} of summons
" "	Trial set for Dec. 23rd at 2 o'clock Pm.
" 23	Plaintiff and defendant in court and announce
	that they are ready to proceed. Affidavit of
	defendant asking for a change of venue, for
	cause stating that he cannot get a fair and
	impartial trial in the above court because
	he believes the justice is prejudiced against
	him Affidavit filed and change granted.
	Case transferred to Justice M. A. Hammonds court
	3rd township.
	W. H. Justice of the Peace
	5th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Debt

James Gooden & Hannah Gooden
Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 17 50

Steve Skowar & Steve Barisch
Defendants

John Brown
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. A. Free
Attorney for Defendant

DATE
1896

Received of James Gooden \$ 5.00 further fees Apr, 24 - 1896
PROCEEDINGS.

- Apr 9 Complaint filed; Summons issued; Summons returned as served Apr. 9th 1896 - by J. W. Green, Constable in the third township, county of Madera, State of California.
- Apr. 13 Notice of motion, affidavit and demurrer filed by defendants. Time fixed for hearing motion Apr. 20th 1896. at the hour of 2 o'clock P.M.
- Apr. 20 John Brown Esq. appeared for Plaintiff, F. A. Free Esq. appeared for defendant, Motion for change of venue granted. The Court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby transferred to the 5th Judicial Township of the county of Madera, State of California, before O. H. Cook Justice of the Peace. Court costs paid to the extent of \$ 1.00
- Signed
- Apr. 23 Certified and signed by W. F. Fowler J. of P. 3rd township
- " " Received of W. F. Fowler Justice of the Peace, of 3rd township, County of Madera, State of California, transcript and papers in case of James Gooden Plaintiff, vs. Skowar and Barisch defendants. ^{demurrer to complaint withdrawn, answer filed}
- " " Time of trial fixed for 10 o'clock A.M. The parties and their attorneys in court and trial proceeded with. Plaintiff rests. Defendant moves for nonsuit on the grounds that the real party in interest is not a party to the action; Motion denied; Trial concluded and taken under advisement until 1 o'clock P.M. On the opening of court at 1 o'clock, Plaintiff moves that the case be reopened; Granted; Plaintiff asks permission to amend his complaint; Granted It is agreed that defendants answer is amended to conform with the amended complaint and the following witnesses testified, for Plaintiff Jas. Gooden, J. H. Hathaway, Defendants, Steve Skowar and Steve Barisch.
- Apr 24 Case tried and concluded it is ordered that Plaintiff have judgment against defendants for the sum of 17.50 and costs of suit. Plaintiffs bill of costs filed. May 5th Notice of appeal filed Undertaking on appeal filed.

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action Writ

Thomas Rhodes

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 102 40

W. R. McFrees

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896 DATE. # 4 00 fee paid July 25th 1896 PROCEEDINGS.

- Apr 27 Complaint filed. Summons issued. Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed. Writ of Attachment issued
- May 16 Writ of Attachment returned and filed
- June 5 Summons returned and filed as having been served on the defendant in the County of Alameda State of California ^{on the 28th day of May 1896} by L. J. Ward a person who is competent and qualified and who has made the proper Affidavit of service.
- June 26 The defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the legal time for answering having expired, and no answer or demurer having been filed, the default of said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law:
- Now on application of Thomas Rhodes, The Plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered that the Plaintiff do have and recover from said defendant the sum of One hundred and two dollars and forty cents (\$102 40) with interest thereon, at the legal rate of California until paid, together with Plaintiffs costs in said action
- July 10 Writ of Attachment discharged on motion of Plaintiff it appearing that the same was not served by an officer of the law and therefore is null and void
- July 11 Writ of execution issued
- " 23 Execution returned and filed as having been satisfied to the extent of \$67 50

O. A. Mc

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Damages

Clamel Lavelle

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 45⁰⁰

Hoffman

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1896
May 14 Complaint filed, Summons issued
Case settled out of Court \$ 2⁰⁰ Justice
fess paid

Atty. Gen. Justice of the Peace

Continued from page 136

1897

Apr. 6

It is ordered that the examination of E. N. Peckinpah
be and the same is hereby postponed to the 15th
day of April 1897, at 11 o'clock a.m.

Apr. 15

E. N. Peckinpah appears by himself and J. E.
Peckinpah his Attorney. B. T. Arnold appears by
himself H. C. Emerson his Attorney. The following
witnesses were sworn and examined E. N. Peckinpah,
B. T. Arnold, Jas. Chamberlain, and H. C. Bigelow.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises
aforesaid it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
The Plaintiff do have and recover of and from
said E. N. Peckinpah the sum of thirty eight dollars
and ninety seven cents, together with Plaintiffs costs
and disbursements incurred in these proceedings
amounting to the further sum of \$16.80

Judgment rendered April 16th 1897

Ten days stay of Proceedings granted

Atty. Gen. Justice of the Peace

May 10

10

Notice of Appeal filed,

May 13

13

Undertaking on Appeal filed.

No. 36

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

J. R. White, and
Wilson Weakley

Action *Trespass*

Demand \$.

Johns Hae, Richard Roe, and others
True names unknown
Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1894. DATE.

June 18th Received of Wilson Mackley \$225 in part-payment of fees
" 18 " " " J. R. Wooten \$240 " " " " " Paid in full

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30 Complaint filed, Summons issued
 " " Summons returned as served on the defendants
 on the 30th of May 1899 in the County of Fresno, by
 C. T. Allen a person of lawful age and a citizen of Madera
 County California, competent and qualified who has
 made the proper affidavit of service

June 13 The defendant not having appeared or answered,
and the Plaintiffs having paid all costs, the
above action is dismissed without prejudice, on
the motion of the Plaintiffs
So ordered.

Q. A. A Justice of the Peace

So ordered

Q. A. A Justice of the Peace

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

B. T. Arnold

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 17 72

Wm Green

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank Taft

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 21 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
" " Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment
" " filed, Attachment issued.
Dec. 5 Writ of Attachment returned and filed
" 8 Summons returned and filed as having been
" " served upon the defendant on the 7th day of Dec,
" " 1897, in the County of Madera.
Dec. 10 Answer filed.
Jan 4th 1898 Trial set down for Jan 11th 1898, and
1 o'clock P.M.
Jan 11 The day and hour of trial having arrived, and neither
" " the defendant nor his Attorney appearing, at two
" " o'clock B. T. Arnold Plaintiff announcing that he is
" " ready to proceed, is duly sworn, after hearing the
" " testimony of said B. T. Arnold Plaintiff, it is ordered
" " adjudged and decreed that he do have and recover
" " of and from said defendant, the sum of Seventeen
" " dollars and Seventy two cents, together with Plaintiffs
" " costs and disbursements incurred in said action,
" " amounting to the sum of \$ 21 25, and it is further
" " ordered that said plaintiff do have execution against
" " the separate property of the defendant.
Judgment rendered Jan. 11th 1898.

H. C. Justice of the Peace

Jan 18 Execution issued
Feb. 2 Execution returned unsatisfied
" 12 Affidavit for order of examination of Judgment Debtor
" " or Bailee of Judgment Debtor filed. Mar. 23rd Order of
examination issued wherein E. N. Peckinpaugh is
ordered to appear for examination on the 9th day of Apr. 1898 at
10 o'clock a.m.
Mar. 26 Order of examination returned as having been served on E. N.
Peckinpaugh on Mar 26, 1898, by H. C. Bigelow Constable, and filed

Continued on page 134

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action

Antonio J. Cardoza

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 299.00

Wilson Weakley and
Antonio F. Lucio

Defendants

E. S. Van Meter

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896

Feb. 25th 1897 Received of A. J. Cardoza \$5.00 Court fees

PROCEEDINGS.

- Oct. 10 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
 " 12 Summons returned, and filed as having been
 " " served upon the defendants on the 12th day
 " " of October, 1896 in the County of Madera
 " 17 Returner filed by Wilson Weakley kept.
 " 13 Antonio F. Lucio answers orally on Oct. 18th 1896
 and makes general denial of allegations in complaint.
 Mar. 11 Returner overruled. Answer filed.
 " " Case set for trial on the 20th day of March 1897
 " " at 10 o'clock a.m. and all the parties and their
 " " attorneys so notified by mail.
 " 15 Plaintiff demands jury trial. Venue issued
 " " for eighteen months.
 " 20 The day and hour for trial having arrived, and counsel for none
 " " of the parties appearing, after waiting for them until 3 o'clock p.m. it
 " " is then stipulated by all the parties to the action that the venue be dis-
 " " missed, with the privilege of afterwards demanding a jury being retained.
 " " It is so ordered. By agreement of all the parties to the action the
 " " case is postponed indefinitely.
 " 24 Stipulation of counsel for A. J. Cardoza and W. Weakley
 filed, wherein it is stipulated that the case be dismissed as
 to the defendant Weakley. Case set for trial at 9
 o'clock a.m. April 2nd 1897
 Mar. 30 In accordance with stipulation it is ordered that the
 case be dismissed as to Wilson Weakley.
 April 3 This action having come regularly on for trial the Plaintiff
 being represented by E. S. Van Meter, Defendant by J. R. Kittrell
 as counsel it is then stipulated that the defendant shall be allowed
 to prove justification under his pleading of a general denial. The following
 witnesses were then sworn and examined for the Plaintiff A. J. Cardoza, Daniel Lathelle,
 Marian Lamer and H. J. Prewett. for the defendant Wilson Weakley, Joe Enos,
 A. F. Lucio, Charles Weakley and James Weakley. The case is then argued
 by counsel and submitted to the court.

Continued on page 143...

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

George W. Brodrick, a minor
by his Guardian, ad litem
H. M. Hamilton

Plaintiff

Action to recoverDemand \$ 97.06C. A. Ellis

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

- July 3 H. M. Hamilton appointed Guardian ad litem for George W. Brodrick, a minor, for the purpose of bringing this action.
- July 9 Complaint filed, summons issued. Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon the Defendant on the 8th day of July 1896 within the 5th township by Geo. M. Gibson a person of lawful age and citizen of said township County & State, competent and qualified who has made the proper affidavit of service.
- July 13 Compromise and offer to allow judgment filed. Answer filed.
- July 25 Case fixed for trial on Friday July 31st 1896 and Attorney's for Plaintiff and Defendant notified of same by mail.
- July 28 Amended Complaint filed. Affidavit of C. A. Ellis, of service, of answer, counter claim, and offer to allow judgment of default, filed.
- July 31 Original answer refiled by stipulation with amendments, as answer to amended Complaint.
- The time fixed for trial having arrived and the Parties and their Attorneys in court, after hearing the evidence in the said action, it is ordered that the Plaintiff have judgment for the sum of \$29.37 and that said Plaintiff pay the costs. Amount of said judgment paid in full by said Defendant.

C. A. Ellis Justice of the Peace
of said Township

No. 38

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California

Action Trespass

Wilson Weakley
Plaintiff

vs.

Padro Criberry
Defendant

Demand \$ 250.00

W. J. Searles
Firmen Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Welsh & Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1896	Feb. 12 th 1897 received of Wilson Weakley \$250.00 lost fee
July 25	Complaint filed. Summons issued.
Aug. 31	Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon defendant on the 25 th day of August 1896, in the County of Fresno, by Jay Scott, Sheriff.
Sept. 10	Memorandum filed. Answer filed.
Feb. 9 th 1897	Matter set for trial at 1 o'clock P.M. Feb. 19 th 1897 and the Attorneys so notified by mail.
Feb. 24	This action having come regularly on for trial, the Plaintiff being represented by W. J. Searles the defendant by R. E. Rhodes and Judge Pearson, ^{as counsel} by stipulation of counsel, complaint is amended by interlineation, and demand in prayer of same for attorneys fees is stricken out. Memorandum overruled, and defendant excepts. Wilson Weakley was duly sworn and examined as a witness. Plaintiff rests. Defendant moves for a nonsuit. Motion is denied, defendant excepts. The case is then submitted without further evidence.
<p>Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant the sum of Two hundred and nine dollars and twenty two cents, together with Plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of Twelve dollars and eighty five cents.</p> <p>Judgment rendered Feb. 19th 1897.</p> <p>O. H. C. Justice of the Peace.</p>	
Mar. 20	Notice of Appeal, and Affidavit of service of same by mail, filed. Undertaking on Appeal filed.

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

James R White and
J. S. James

Plaintiffs

vs.

Padro Driberry

Defendant

Action TrespassDemand \$ 150.00

Firman Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Welsh & Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

1896

DATE.

Feb. 16th 1897 Received of J. R. White \$5.00 Court fee

PROCEEDINGS.

July 25 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
Aug. 31 Summons returned and filed, as having
been served upon defendant on the 25th
day of August 1896, in the County of Fresno
by Jay Scott, Sheriff.
Sept. 10 Return filed. Answer filed.
Feb. 9th 1897, case set for trial at 10 o'clock am.
Feb. 19th 1897 and the Attorneys are so
notified by mail.
Feb. 24 This action having come regularly on for trial,
the plaintiffs being represented by Firman Church
& W. T. Searles, the defendant by R. E. Rhodes and
Judge Pearson, ^{as counsel} by stipulation of counsel complaint is
amended by interlineation, and demand in prayer
of same for attorneys fees is stricken out. J. R. White
and Wilson Keakley were duly sworn and examined as
witnesses. Return argued, and overruled, defendant
excepts. ^{On the trial} the following witnesses were examined J. R.
White & Wilson Keakley, Plaintiff rests, defendant moves
for nonuit, Motion is denied, defendant excepts.
Case submitted to the court for judgment.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises
aforesaid, it is ordered that the Plaintiffs do have and
recover of and from said defendant the sum of One hundred
and forty five dollars and fifty cents, together
with said Plaintiffs costs incurred in said action
amounting to the sum of Fourteen dollars and
five cents. Judgment rendered Feb. 19th 1897.

A. C. Justice of the Peace

Mar 20 Notice of Appeal and Affidavit of service of same filed.
" 20 Undertaking on Appeal filed

No. 42

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Antonio H. Lucio

Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Cardoza

Defendant

Action to recover on Promissory
Note

Demand \$ 50 00

Miles Wallace

Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter

Attorney for Defendant

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov. 28 Complaint filed, Summons issued,
" " Affidavit for Attachment filed, deposit
" " of \$50 00 made in lieu of Undertaking for
" " Attachment. Writ of Attachment issued.
Dec. 19 Summons returned and filed as having been served
" " upon the defendant on the 18th day of Dec, 1896, in the
" " County of Madera, State of California, by H. E. Bigelow
Dec. 19 Constable. Writ of Attachment returned and
filed.
Dec. 24 Answer filed.
Jan. 8th 1897, Trial set for January 15th 1897 at 11 o'clock
am. Plaintiff and defendant so notified by mail.
Jan. 15 The day and hour of trial having arrived and
" " the parties to the action in court, on being questioned
" " by the court announce that they are ready to pro-
" " ceed, defendant's attorney moves for dismissal
" " on the ground that the court has no jurisdiction,
" " motion denied; Antonio H. Lucio being sworn
" " testifies in said action, after hearing the
" " testimony of said Antonio H. Lucio, and the
" " Motion for dismissal being renewed, and
" " it appearing from the evidence that the action
" " had been brought in the wrong township,
" " therefore the motion is allowed, and it
" " is ordered that said action be dismissed without
" " prejudice and that the Plaintiff pay all
costs amounting to the sum of \$46.45
J. H. Cole Justice of the Peace

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of *The Fifth* Township,
County of *Maclera* State of California.

State of California.
Action *Relain & Helwery*

H. P. Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 2000

Elias Ommundsen

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE.

Dec 16 received of H. P. Anderson \$2.00 fees

Dec. 18 Complaint filed; Simmons issued;
Dec. 19 Simmons returned as having been served upon
" " the defendant at the County of Madera, on the 19th
" " day of Dec. 1896, by H. C. Bigelow, Constable.
Dec 21 Affidavit in claim and delivery filed, Undertaking
" " on claim and delivery filed.
Dec, 24 Now comes the defendant and answers to complaint - on
" " file herein and for cause of answer says that said
" " complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute
" " a cause of action against said defendant.
Jan. 20 Time for hearing argument on answer set for
" " Jan. 28th 1897, at 11 o'clock A.M. and the
" " parties to the action so notified by mail.
Feb. 16 Offer to compromise and allow judgment
" " filed. The plaintiff having previously
" " authorized the court to accept such offer
" " it is therefore ordered that the plaintiff
" " do have and recover judgment from said
" " defendant for the possession of that certain
" " harrow sued for, and for costs as follows
" " Constables fees \$6⁰⁰, Court fees \$4⁰⁰.
Feb. 16 Court still unpaid \$2⁰⁰

W. H. R. Justice of the Peace

August 29, 1897 Rec'd of defendant \$1⁰⁰ justice fees

No. 44

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

<u>F. E. Smith</u> vs. <u>Geo. W. Smiley</u>	Plaintiff Defendant	Action..... Demand \$ <u>17.50</u> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	----------------------------	---

1896 DATE.

Fees paid \$2.00 Dec. 24th 1896
PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 24 Complaint filed.

April 3rd 1897, On Motion of Plaintiff it is hereby
ordered that the above entitled action be dismissed
O.H.C., Justice of the Peace

a. g. Leardoga
or
Wilson Winkley +
Antonio F. Lucio

Continued from page 137

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises
aforesaid it is ordered that the Plaintiff do have and
recover of and from said Defendant the sum of One
hundred and eighty dollars together with said Plaintiff's
costs incurred in said action amounting to the further
sum of Thirty dollars and forty cents (\$38.40)

Judgment rendered April 2nd 1897.

Ten days stay of proceedings granted

O.H.C., Justice of the Peace

Apr. 7 Plaintiff's bill of costs filed.

Apr. 23 Execution issued,

June 15 Affidavit for Order of examination of judgment debtor, filed

No. 45

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Maclera State of California.

W. W. Fowler

Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Gilmore

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 40.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Fees paid \$1.00 Jan. 27th 1897 PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 27 Complaint filed,
Jan. 26 1898, Summons issued.

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of madera State of California

Action.....

W. Rigby, Jr.,

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 69.43

vs.

F. E. Blumont and Robert Ruskell
partners under the firm name of
Blumont & Ruskell

Defendant

Searles & Schild
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

March 15, Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking
for attachment filed. Summons issued.
Writ of Attachment issued.
July 7 On Motion of Plaintiffs Attorneys, (all costs
having been paid, to wit - \$18.50) it is here-
by ordered that the above named case be and
the same is hereby dismissed
O. H. C., Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

J. H. Pierson

Plaintiff

vs.

Al. F. Semeron

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 13 10

Everts & Ewing

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 23 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking
" " for Attachment filed. Summons issued.
" " Writ of Attachment issued.
" " Case settled and costs paid.

O. H. Leach, Justice of the Peace

Continued from page 149.

Mar. 30 Trial the plaintiff being represented by Wm J.
" " Searles Esq. and the defendant by John R. Kittrell
" " + John E. H. Edwards, the following jurors were
" " chosen and sworn to try the said cause, Geo.
" " M. Gibson, Arthur Stephens, Thomas W. Bayles,
" " Charles Weakley, L. W. Gray, W. A. Leochran, Wm H.
" " Tibbetta, M. B. Garner, E. F. Thompson, W. E.
" " Russell, Wm Reed and H. M. Murphy. The following
" " witnesses were sworn and examined, by plaintiff H. B.
" " Remfro. Mar. 31st plaintiff rests, defendant moves
Mar. 31 for Nonsuit. Motion is denied. Witnesses sworn and
" " examined for defendant were, Frank Pierson, Levis
" " Anderson, H. McElougall, James Weakley, Sam Crawford
" " and Anna Olson. Case is submitted by counsel
" " without argument. The Constable is duly sworn to
" " take charge of the jury. Upon the announcement of the jury
" " that they have agreed they are brought into court and the
" " roll being called they are found to be all present. Their
" " Foreman Geo. M. Gibson hands in the Verdict which is
" " filed and is as follows, We the jury in this cause find
" " for the defendant in the sum of Twenty dollars and fifty
" " cents (\$20 50). Plaintiff demands that the jury be poll-
" " ed, whereupon it is found that 10 answer yes and two
" " no to the question "is that your verdict?" The jury
" " is then by the court discharged.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises
(Continued on page 147)

No. 48

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California

B. J. Norris

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$ 15.00

H. H. Kriver

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

July 8 Complaint filed.

(continued from page 146)

aforesaid, it is ordered adjudged and decreed that Anna Olson the defendant, do have and recover of and from W. B. Renfro the said plaintiff, the sum of Twenty dollars and fifty cents, together with said defendants costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of \$48.00, it is further ordered that plaintiff shall pay the sum of \$20.00 being for constable and justices fees.

O. A. Cole, Justice of the Peace

April 12 Satisfaction of Judgment filed.

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

H. M. Lantz

Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Henderson &

John Henshaw

Defendant

Action

Demand \$163.25

W. F. Gram

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Rec'd of H. M. Lantz, fees \$2.00 July 28th 1897
" " " " " \$3.00 Aug. 4th 1897

PROCEEDINGS

- July 28 Complaint filed, Summons issued, Affidavit and
" " Undertaking for Attachment filed, Writ of Attachment issued,
" 30 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon
" " The defendants at the fifth Township, County of Madera,
" " State of California, on the 29th day of July 1897, by
" " H. E. Bigelow, Constable. Writ of Attachment returned and filed.
August 2 Answer filed,
" 5 Case set for trial at 1 o'clock P.M. August 14th 1897
" " and the parties are so notified by mail.
August 14 W. J. Henderson requests that the case be continued
" " for 2 weeks, and having filed no Affidavit the
" " same is denied.
August 14 This cause came on regularly for trial on the 14th
day of August 1897, having waited until 2 o'clock
P.M., W. F. Gram Esq., appearing as counsel for the Plaintiff,
The Defendants not appearing either by themselves or counsel,
The cause was tried before the Court, sitting without a jury,
whereupon W. F. Gram, L. E. Atkinson and Philip Ayler were
examined as witnesses on the part of the Plaintiff, and
the evidence being closed, the cause was submitted to the
Court for consideration and decision;
Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises afore-
said, it is ordered and decreed that H. M. Lantz, Plaintiff,
do have and recover of and from W. J. Henderson and John
Henshaw, The Defendants, the sum of One hundred and
sixty three dollars and ninety five cents, together with
Plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred in this
action, amounting to the sum of \$24.25
A. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace
August 28 Execution issued.
August 11 Execution returned as having been fully satisfied. Satisfaction of
Sept. 11 judgment filed.

A. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace.

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California

Action

H. M. Hamilton

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 62 50

Robert L. Hargrove

Attorney for Plaintiff

James W. Weakley

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Rec'd of H. M. Hamilton \$ 2.00 per Aug. 26th
Rec'd " " " " \$ 2.00 " Sept. 1st

August 26 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for
 " Attachment filed, Summons issued, Writ
 " of Attachment issued,
 28 Writ of Attachment returned and filed, Summons
 returned and filed as having been served upon the
 defendant at the Third Township, County of Madera, on
 the 27th day of August 1897, by J. W. Green, Constable
 Sept. 8 In this action the defendant - James W. Weakley, having been
 regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and
 answer the plaintiff's complaint filed herein, and the legal time
 for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having
 been filed, the default of said defendant James W. Weakley
 in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
 Sept. 10 The default of said defendant having been duly entered
 according to law: Now, on application of Robert L. Hargrove
 attorney for said plaintiff it is hereby ordered that
 judgment be entered herein against said defendant in
 accordance with the prayer of said plaintiff's complaint
 on file herein. Wherefore, by reason of the law and the
 premises aforesaid, it is ordered, that H. M. Hamilton
 plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant
 James W. Weakley the sum of sixty two dollars and fifty
 cents lawful money; together with said plaintiff's costs
 and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to
 the sum of (\$ 44 50) Forty four & 50/100 dollars, all in lawful
 money.

Judgment rendered September 10th 1897

Attest, Justice of the Peace

Sept. 20 Execution issued

Sept. 30 Execution returned all having been fully satisfied.

\$ 140.52

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

H. L. Miller

Plaintiff

vs.

James W. Weakley

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 103.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897

Rec'd of H. L. Miller \$125.55 Aug. 22

PROCEEDINGS.

- Aug. 26 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking
" " for Attachment filed, Summons issued,
" " Writ of Attachment issued.
" 28 Writ of Attachment returned and filed Summons
returned and filed as having been served upon the
defendant on the 27th day of August 1897 in the
County of Madera, by J. W. Green Constable
Sept. 8 In this action, the defendant James W. Weakley, having
been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear
and answer the plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the time
allowed by law for answering having expired, and no answer or
demurrer having been filed, the default of said defendant James
W. Weakley, in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
Sept. 11 The default of said defendant having been duly entered
according to law: Now, on application of H. L. Miller
plaintiff, by his Attorney Frank Loring it is hereby
ordered that judgment be entered herein against said
defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs
complaint on file herein. Wherefore, by reason of the law
and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, that H. L. Miller
plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant
James W. Weakley the sum of One hundred and three dollars
and fifty cents lawful money of the United States; Together
with said plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in
said action, amounting to the sum of (\$13.00) Thirteen
dollars, all in lawful money of the United States
Judgment rendered September 11th 1897
J. H. C. Justice of the Peace
Sept. 13 Execution issued
Sept. 30 Execution returned as having been satisfied.

\$125.55

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Elias Ommundsen

Plaintiff

vs.

James Weakley

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 43 50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS.

1897 DATE.

August 30

Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for
Attachment filed, Summons issued. Writ
of Attachment issued.

August 30

Writ of Attachment returned and filed.

Sept. 3

Summons returned and filed as having been
served upon the defendant on the 1st day of
September, 1897, in the 3rd Township, County of
Madera, by H. C. Bigelow Constable.

Sept 13

In this action, the defendant James H. Weakley, having been
regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and
answer the plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the
time allowed by law for answering having expired, and no
answer or demurrer having been filed, the default of said
defendant James H. Weakley, in the premises is hereby duly
entered according to law.

Sept. 13

The default of said defendant having been duly entered
according to law; Now, on application of Elias Ommundsen
plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered
herein against said defendant in accordance with the
prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid,
it is ordered, that Elias Ommundsen plaintiff do have
and recover of and from said defendant James H. Weakley
the sum of Forty Three dollars and fifty cents; Together
with said plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in
said action, amounting to the sum of (\$ 14.25)

Judgment rendered September 13th 1897.

A. H. C., Justice of the Peace.

Sept. 13

Execution issued

87.07

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Andrew Jackson Duncan
Plaintiff
vs.
L. A. Ellis
Defendant

Action
Demand \$ 299.00
Robert L. Hargrove
Attorney for Plaintiff
W. H. Larew
Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE. Recd of A. J. Duncan \$2.00 per before filing of complaint
" " " " \$3.00 " PROCEEDINGS 1897

Sept. 30 Complaint filed. Affidavit and Undertaking for
" Attachment filed. Summons issued. Writ of
" Attachment issued.
Oct. 5 Answer filed. Case set for trial October 9th at
" 10 o'clock a.m. and the parties and their attorneys
" are so notified by mail.
Oct. 7 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon
" the defendant personally at the Fifth Township, County of
" Madera, on the 1st day of October, 1897, by H. E. Bigelow
" Constable.
Oct. 11 This cause came on regularly for trial on the 9th day of October, 1897,
" B. W. Child Esq., appearing as counsel for the plaintiff, and W. H. Larew Esq., for
" the defendant. A trial by jury having been expressly waived by the counsel
" for the respective parties, the cause was tried before the court, sitting with-
" out a jury, whereupon A. J. Duncan, Thomas Bennett, H. M. Hamilton and Rus-
" sell Loff were examined as witnesses on the part of the plaintiff, and L. A. Ellis,
" David Pray, A. S. Ellis and George Kendall on the part of the defendant, upon
" cross examination of the plaintiff, the defendant offers in evidence a written
" document and asks to have same marked defendant's exhibit No. 1, objection,
" Overruled. Exception. Plaintiff case being closed. Defendant moves for a
" Verdict. Upon the grounds, 1st That the evidence of the plaintiff
" shows or tends to show that the court has no jurisdiction of the subject mat-
" ter of this action. 2nd That the complaint does not state facts sufficient
" to constitute a cause of action. 3rd That there is a fatal variance between
" the allegations of the complaint and the proofs offered in this, that the
" evidence of the plaintiff shows or tends to show an express contract
" and that no such contract is alleged or pleaded in plaintiff's complaint.
" Motion is denied. Defendant Excepts. L. A. Ellis, David Pray, A. S. Ellis
" and George Kendall were examined as witnesses on the part of the defendant,
" and the evidence being closed, the cause is submitted to the court for consid-
" eration and decision; which is as follows.
" Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered,
" adjudged and decreed, that Andrew Jackson Duncan the plaintiff, do have
" and recover of and from L. A. Ellis the defendant, the sum of One Hun-
" dred and twenty & 5/100 dollars lawful money of the United States;
" together with said plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred in
" said action, amounting to the sum of (\$ 38.30) Thirty eight & 3/100
" dollars all in lawful money of the United States.
" Judgment rendered October 9th 1897.
" O. A. Child, Justice of the Peace

Oct. 14 Notice of Appeal filed. Defendant deposits (\$ 258.80)
" Two Hundred Fifty Eight & 8/100 dollars, in lieu of
" Undertaking on Appeal.
" O. A. Child, Justice of the Peace.

No. 54

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

County of Madera

Township,

State of California

H. W. Titcomb

vs.

Plaintiff

W. R. McFrees

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 30³⁴

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Paid of H. W. Titcomb \$1.00 fees before filing of complaint

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 23 Complaint filed.
 Sept 15, 1898. Summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

J. L. Burtin

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Scoville

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 60⁰⁰

W. C. Wallace

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 25 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed, Summons issued. Writ of Attachment issued ^{Oct. 26th} Summons returned and filed as having been served upon the defendant at the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 26th day of October, 1897 by H. E. Bigelow, Constable.

Oct. 2 In this action, the defendant Charles Scoville, having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, the default of said defendant Charles Scoville, in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.

The default of said defendant having been duly entered according to law: Now, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered herein against said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs complaint on file herein.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, that J. L. Burtin plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant Charles Scoville the sum of Sixty dollars; together with said plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of \$ 9⁵⁰

Nov. 19 Satisfaction of judgment filed.

A. H. Cole

Justice of the Peace

No. 56

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

W. S. Ward

Plaintiff

vs.

W. F. Aram

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

H. L. Emerson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

Dec. 9th Recd. fees \$2.00
 Jan. 27th 1898 Recd. fees \$2.00 PROCEEDINGS of paper

Dec.

9

Complaint filed. Affidavit and Undertaking
 for Attachment filed. Summons issued.
 Writ of Attachment issued.

Feb.

26,

1898, Summons returned and filed as having been
 served upon the defendant in the Fifth Township,
 County of Madera, on the 23rd day of February, 1898
 by H. E. Bagelow Constable.

Feb.

28

Memorandum filed.

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>Robert - Ruskell</u>		Action.....
vs.	Plaintiff	
<u>H. M. Hamilton</u>		Demand \$ 10 ⁰⁰
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

Jan 22 Received of R. Ruskell \$2⁰⁰ fees
PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 22 Complaint filed.

No. 58

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action

J. H. Morris

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 90⁰⁰

W. R. McFrees

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

rec'd filed Jan. 25,

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 25- Complaint filed.

Bates P. O., Madera Co., January 4th 1900.

Mr. A. M. Bradley,
Fresno, Cal.

My dear Sir:-

Upon looking in my Docket I find that the judgment in the case of A. M. Bradley vs W. J. Henderson has been assigned to George Schorling of Fresno, and I must therefore decline to issue an execution to you, unless you can show that the judgment again belongs to you.

Yours very truly
Ernest Klette.

Fresno June 6th 1900

Please issue the execution in the above case and send it to Mr Bradley as requested by him as he is looking after the matter for me.

Geo Schorling

26

27

28

and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of (\$785) Seven & 85/100 dollars.

Judgment rendered March 8th 1898.

A. H. B., Justice of the Peace of said Township,

Jan 8th 1900. Execution issued.

les
niff

lant

d.

served
unship,
& by

ing
batted
ile
of hav-
been
son,
ing to

tered
judgment
lance
file

s afore-
xander
nd from
ed and
tipps costs

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

H. B. Remfro

vs.

Plaintiff

Anna Olson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 125⁰⁰

Wm J. Searles

John R. Kuttrell and
John E. H. EdwardsAttorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

1898

Mar. 9th Paid \$2⁰⁰ fee of H. B. Remfro.
Mar. 30th " \$3⁰⁰ " PROCEEDINGS

- Mar. 9 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed, Summons issued, Writ of Attachment issued.
- Mar. 9 Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon the defendant personally at the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 9th day of March 1898, by H. E. Begilow Constable.
- Mar. 16 Summons filed.
- Mar. 17 Demand for bill of particulars filed, service of same having been admitted Mar. 16th 1898 by Wm J. Searles Atty for Plaintiff.
- Mar. 17 Bill of Particulars filed, Affidavit of service of same filed.
- Mar. 23 Summons withdrawn, Answer filed.
- Mar. 24 Case set for trial March 30th 1898, at 10 o'clock a.m., and the plaintiff and defendant and their attorneys so notified by mail.
- Mar. 30 Now comes defendant and asks the permission of the court to file an amended answer herein. Whereupon it is ordered that the defendant be allowed to file an amended answer. Defendant demands a jury trial, Verdict issued, Verdict returned and filed, twelve talemens having been summoned, Plaintiff asks leave of the court to file an amended complaint. Leave is granted to file amended complaint. Amended Answer filed. Amended complaint filed. Now comes the defendant herein and for answer to plaintiffs amended complaint herein, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation in said amended complaint contained, and further answering plaintiffs said amended the defendant avers and sets up the counter claim in whole and in every part as she has averred the same in her amended answer made and filed to plaintiffs original complaint herein, which said amended answer was filed by defendant March 30th 1898, and is referred to and made a part of defendant's answer to plaintiffs said amended complaint. This case coming on for

Continued on page 146

P,

Alexander M. Bradley
W. J. Henderson
1898
Feb.
"
"
Mar.
"
"
Mar.
"
Mar.

1 IN THE JUSTICE'S COURT OF THE FIFTH TOWNSHIP OF THE COUNTY
 2 OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
 3 Alexander M. Bradley.
 4 Plaintiff.
 5 VS
 6 W. J. Henderson.
 7 Defendant.

des
laintiff
endant

8 For value received I hereby sell
 9 assign, transfer, set over and deliver to George Schorling of Pres
 10 no City, California, all of my right, title and interest in and to
 11 the judgment in the above entitled case, and to all money due me
 12 thereunder.

led.
erved
Township,
98 by

13 Dated this the 28th day of *June* A.D. 1898.

14 *AM. Bradley*
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21

aving
failed
file
ing hav-
been
erson,
ling to

22
 23
 24
 25

entered
Judgment
ndances
file

26
 27
 28

s afore-
xander
nd from
ed and
tiffs costs

and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of (\$7 85) Seven & 85/100 dollars.

Judgment rendered March 8th 1898.

O. H. B., Justice of the Peace, of said Township,

Jan 8th 1900. Execution issued.

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of MaderaTownship,
State of California

H. B. Renfro

Plaintiff

vs.

Anna Olson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 125⁰⁰

Wm J. Searles

John R. Kuttrell and

John E. Edwards

Attorney for Defendant

1898

Mar. 9th Rec'd \$2⁰⁰ per of H. B. Renfro.
Mar. 30th " " \$3⁰⁰ " " PROCEEDINGS

- Mar. 9 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed, Summons issued, Writ of Attachment issued.
- Mar. 9 Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon the defendant personally at the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 9th day of March 1898, by H. E. Begilow Constable.
- Mar. 11 Return filed.
- Mar. 17 Demand for bill of particulars filed, service of same having been admitted Mar. 16th 1898 by Wm J. Searles Atty for Plaintiff.
- Mar. 17 Bill of Particulars filed, Affidavit of service of same filed.
- Mar. 23 Return withdrawn, Answer filed.
- Mar. 24 Case set for Trial March 30th 1898, at 10 o'clock a.m., and the plaintiff and defendant and their Attorneys so notified by mail.
- Mar. 30 Now comes defendant and asks the permission of the court to file an amended answer herein, Whereupon it is ordered that the defendant be allowed to file an amended answer, Defendant demands a jury trial, Verdict issued, Verdict returned and filed, twelve talemens having been summoned, Plaintiff asks leave of the court to file an amended complaint. Leave is granted to file amended complaint. Amended Answer filed. Amended complaint filed. Now comes the defendant herein and for answer to plaintiffs amended complaint herein, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation in said amended complaint contained, and further answering plaintiffs said amended the defendant avers and sets up the counter claim in whole and in every part as she has averred the same in her amended answer made and filed to plaintiffs original complaint herein, which said amended answer was filed by defendant March 30th 1898, and is referred to and made a part of defendant's answer to plaintiffs said amended complaint. This case coming on for
- Continued on page 196

County of Madera State of California.

Alexander M. Bradley
Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Henderson
Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 158.50

Van Meter & Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

Feb. 28th Rec'd \$2.00 fees of Plaintiff
PROCEEDINGS.

- Feb. 28 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
" " Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.
" " Writ of Attachment issued.
Mar. 2 Summons returned and filed as having been served
" " upon the defendant personally at the Fifth Township,
" " County of Madera, on the 1st day of March 1898 by
" " H. C. Bigelow Constable.
Mar. 8 In this action, the defendant W. J. Henderson, having
been regularly served with process, and having failed
to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file
herein, and the time allowed by law for answering hav-
ing expired, and no answer or demurrer having been
filed, the default of said defendant W. J. Henderson,
in the premises is hereby duly entered according to
law.
Mar. 8 The default of said defendant having been duly entered
according to law: Now it is hereby ordered that judgment
be entered herein against said defendant in accordance
with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file
herein.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises afore-
said, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that Alexander
M. Bradley the Plaintiff, do have and recover of and from
W. J. Henderson the defendant, the sum of One hundred and
Fifty eight & 50/100 dollars, together with said Plaintiffs costs
and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the
sum of (\$78.50) Seven & 50/100 dollars.

Judgment rendered March 8th 1898.

A. H. B. Justice of the Peace of said Township,

Jan 8th 1900. Execution issued.

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of MaderaTownship,
State of California

H. B. Rempro

Plaintiff

vs.

Emma Olson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 125.00

Wm J. Searles

John R. Kirtland and
John E. EdwardsAttorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

1898

Mar. 9th Rec'd \$2.00 fee of H. B. Rempro.
Mar. 30th " " \$3.00 " " PROCEEDINGS

- Mar. 9 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed, Summons issued, Writ of Attachment issued.
- Mar. 9 Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon the defendant personally at the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 9th day of March 1898, by H. E. Begilow Constable.
- Mar. 11 Return filed.
- Mar. 17 Demand for bill of particulars filed, service of same having been admitted Mar. 16th 1898 by Wm J. Searles Atty for Plaintiff.
- Mar. 17 Bill of Particulars filed, Affidavit of service of same filed.
- Mar. 23 Return withdrawn, Answer filed.
- Mar. 24 Case set for trial March 30th 1898, at 10 o'clock a.m., and the plaintiff and defendant and their Attorneys so notified by mail.
- Mar. 30 Now comes defendant and asks the permission of the court to file an amended answer herein, Whereupon it is ordered that the defendant be allowed to file an amended answer, Defendant demands a jury trial, Verdict issued, Verdict returned and filed, twelve talemens having been summoned, Plaintiff asks leave of the court to file an amended complaint. Leave is granted to file amended complaint. Amended Answer filed. Amended complaint filed. Now comes the defendant herein and for answer to plaintiffs amended complaint herein, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation in said amended complaint contained, and further answering plaintiffs said amended the defendant avers and sets up the counter claim in whole and in every part as she has avers the same in her amended answer made and filed to plaintiffs original complaint herein, which said amended answer was filed by defendant March 30th 1898, and is referred to and made a part of defendant's answer to plaintiffs said amended complaint. This case coming on for
- Continued on page 146

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

S. J. Barkley

Plaintiff

vs.

A. M. Bradley

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 31 73/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

Recd of Dist. Mar. 24th \$200 paid
PROCEEDINGS.

- Mar. 24 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
" " Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment
" " filed. Writ of Attachment issued.
Mar. 29 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon A. M. Bradley the defendant, in the County of Fresno on the 28th day of March 1898, by John Albin Jr. a person who is competent and qualified and who has made the proper Affidavit of service.
April 18 In this action, the defendant A. M. Bradley, having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, the default of said defendant A. M. Bradley, in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
June 7 It appearing to the court that the summons herein was served upon the defendant in the County of Fresno without a certificate having been thereto attached by the County Clerk of the County of Madera as to the official character of the justice as required by Section 849 Code of Civil Procedure, and that the default herein entered was so entered through inadvertence and mistake, and the plaintiff having applied to the court to have said entry of default set aside and the summons withdrawn from the files of the court and redelivered to plaintiff in order that the same may be properly served upon said defendant. It is hereby ordered that plaintiffs motion be granted, said entry of default set aside, and the summons herein withdrawn from the files and redelivered to plaintiff.
June 17 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon A. M. Bradley the defendant, in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 14th day of June 1898, by John R. Holbert a person who is competent and qualified and who has made the proper Affidavit of service.

Continued on P. 161

No. 62

Township,

In the Justice's Court of *The Fifth*
County of *Madera* State of California

Adam Bollinger

Plaintiff

vs.

John Hloe

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ *50 00*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

Mar. 29 Complaint filed, Summons issued.

Mar. 29 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon Pedro Chatham the defendant in the County of Fresno, on the 29th day of March 1898 by H. E. Bigelow Constable.

Mar. 30 Case dismissed on motion of plaintiff, he having first paid all costs.

A. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace

Continued from page 160

1898

S. J. Barkley vs A. M. Bradley

June 18 Now comes the defendant by his attorney E. S. Van Meter, and for answer to the complaint of the plaintiff herein, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation in the said complaint contained.

June 29 Case set for trial, on the 8th day of July, 1898, at 10 o'clock A.M. and the plaintiff, and defendant's attorney so notified by mail.

July 8 This cause came on regularly for trial on the 8th day of July, 1898, at 11 o'clock A.M. The plaintiff appearing for himself, The defendant neither appearing by himself or counsel, The cause was tried before the court, sitting without a jury, whereupon S. J. Barkley and Geo. W. Scott, were sworn and examined as witnesses on the part of the Plaintiff, and the evidence being closed, the cause was submitted to the court for consideration and decision:

Wherefore; by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that S. J. Barkley the plaintiff, do have and recover of and from A. M. Bradley the defendant, the sum of Thirty one dollars & Seventy three cents together with plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action, amounting to the sum of \$17.75.

Judgment rendered July 8th 1898.

A. H. Cole, Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

J. R. Walworth		} Action.....
vs.	Plaintiff	
W. Henderson		} Demand \$ 271.00
	Defendant	
		Wm J. Searles
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April, 1st, 1898, Complaint filed, Summons issued,
Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed,
Writ of Attachment issued.

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California

Action

Teofilo Lopez

Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Brewitt

Defendant

Demand \$105⁰⁰

F. H. Gallardo

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Defendant

1898

Apr. 24, record of plaintiff \$2⁰⁰ fee

PROCEEDINGS.

- April 24 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
- May 19 Answer filed.
- May 31 Ex-parte Motion for trial with plaintiff being allowed to introduce testimony alone without any testimony on the part of defendant. Motion aforesaid taken under advisement.
- June 4 Whereas the Motion filed May 31st was without notice to defendant, it is now ordered that said Motion be disregarded, and that the case stand the same as before said Motion was filed.
- " 1899. " Bill of exceptions signed and filed.
- Nov. 20 ~~Bill of~~ Proceedings on second ex-parte motion of Plaintiff, to set aside order of Justice Cole filed May 31, 1898. This 2nd ex-parte motion of plaintiff is in writing and filed. The Court took this matter under advisement (under the objections and exceptions of the plaintiff) untill December 1st 1899 at 2 o'clock P.M.
- Nov. 26 Bill of exceptions signed and filed.
- Nov. 28 Second ex-parte motion, filed November 20th, is hereby overruled and denied.

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

B. T. Arnold

Plaintiff

vs.

E. N. Peckinpah

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 80.00

F. A. Free

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm J. Searles

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May

16

Complaint filed, Summons issued.

May

27

Summons returned and filed as having been served upon E. N. Peckinpah the defendant, in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 25th day of May 1898 by H. E. Bigelow Comtable.

May

30

Stipulation extending time to answer filed.

June

4

Answer filed.

June

25

Case set for trial, on the 9th day of July 1898, at 10 o'clock A.M. and the plaintiff and defendant so notified by mail.

July

9

This cause came on regularly for trial, on the 9th day of July 1898, at 10 o'clock A.M. F. A. Free Esq. appearing as Counsel for the Plaintiff, and Wm J. Searles Esq. as counsel for the defendant, the following witnesses were sworn and examined on ~~the~~ behalf of the plaintiff, C. F. Embanks, E. N. Peckinpah, James Chamberlain, B. T. Arnold, and H. E. Bigelow. Papers in the case of Arnold vs. Green on file in the office of the County Clerk of Madera County offered ^{and admitted} in evidence subject to withdrawal at close of trial. Plaintiff rests; Defendant moves for Nonmit; Motion denied; J. E. Peckinpah sworn and examined of behalf of defendant; Defendants proposed Exhibit No. 1 offered in evidence; Objected to; Objections sustained; The evidence being closed, the cause was submitted to the Court for consideration and decision;

July

18

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and decreed that the Plaintiff B. T. Arnold, do have and recover of and from the defendant E. N. Peckinpah, the sum of Forty dollars and forty seven cents, together with plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of \$267.47.

Judgment rendered July 9th 1898.

J. H. C. Justice of the Peace.

July

25

Notice of Appeal filed.

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

W. S. Ward

Plaintiff

vs.

O. H. Williams

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 100.00Wm J. Searles
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898

may 24 rec'd per of W.S. Ward #222 PROCEEDINGS.

- May 24 Complaint filed, Affidavit and Undertaking
" " for Attachment filed. Summons issued, Writ
of Attachment issued.
- May 27 Summons returned and filed as having been served
upon O. H. Williams the defendant in the Fifth Township,
County of Madera, on the 26th day of May 1898 by ~~to~~
~~to~~ ~~Strivers~~ H. E. Bigelow Constable.
- May 31 On motion of Plaintiff the above action is
dismissed, the same having been settled out
of court.

O. H. Searles

Justice of the Peace

Arnold vs. Pickinpah, continued

from page 194.

- July 26 Undertaking on appeal filed.
- July 27 Notice of exception to sureties and proof of service filed.
- July 30 Notice of justification of sureties filed.
- July 30 Undertaking on appeal filed and approved.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action	
<u>J. H. Correll</u> Plaintiff	Demand \$ <u>8 10</u>
vs.	
<u>W. M. Cutts</u> Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1898	DATE.	Rec'd of J. H. Correll \$2.00 for May 31 1898 PROCEEDINGS.
May	31	Complaint filed, Summons issued, Affidavit for Attachment filed. Warrant of \$50.00 made with the court in lieu Undertaking for Attachment. Writ of Attachment issued.
May	31	Case settled out of court and dismissed at request of plaintiff all costs having been paid.

O. H. [Signature]
Justice of the Peace

No. 68

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action in Assumpsit

John Bittern

Plaintiff

vs.

William Reed and

James Harris

Defendants

Demand \$ 41.91

W. H. Larew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Everts and Ewing

Attorneys for Defendants

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- July 8 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
 July 9 Summons returned and filed as having been served upon William Reed and James Harris the defendants, in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, on the 9th day of July, 1898, by H. E. Bigelow Constable.
 July 14 Returner filed.
 July 19 Returner overruled. Answer filed.
 July 23 Case set for trial on the 28th day of July 1898 at 10 o'clock A. M. and the parties and their attorneys so notified by mail.
 July 28 Defendant moves for a continuance and Affidavit filed for same, Motion denied. Defendant excepts.
 July 28 This cause came on regularly for trial, on the 28th day of July, 1898, at 10 o'clock A. M., W. H. Larew Esq., appearing as counsel for the plaintiff, and — Ewing Esq., as counsel for the defendant, the following witnesses were sworn and examined on behalf of the plaintiff, John Bittern and W. L. Cornell, on cross examination of plaintiff defendants exhibit No. 1 offered and admitted in evidence. Plaintiff rests. Defendant moves that the case be dismissed, Motion denied. Exception. Wm Reed & James Harris sworn and examined on behalf of defendants. The evidence being closed, the cause was submitted to the Court for consideration and decision.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is adjudged that the action is premature, and that no cause of action has at this time accrued, and it is ordered that defendants have judgment for costs.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

Action

John Keating

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 6 50/100

W.B. Peters, John Hanshaw and H.F. Baker
Partners under the firm name of
"The White Oak Mining Co."

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 13 Complaint filed, Summons issued, Affidavit and
" Undertaking for Attachment filed. Writ of Attachment
" issued
" 15 Answer filed.
" 14 Summons returned and filed as having been served
upon H.F. Baker one of the defendants, in the Fifth
Township, County of Madera, on the 13th day of August
1898, by H. C. Bigelow, constable.
Sept 14 Case settled out of court and costs paid in full

C. H. Baker

Justice of the Peace

No. 70

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action

John Keating

Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Peters, John Hanshaw,
and F. H. Baker

Defendant

Demand \$ 100.00

Everts & Ewing

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Aug 17 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
" 18 Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.
" " Writ of Attachment issued.
Aug 20 Writ of Attachment returned and filed.
Aug 24 Now comes F. H. Baker defendant, and makes answer
" " for himself to complaint on file herein, and denies
" " orally and specifically each and every allegation
" " said complaint contained,
" " same returned and filed as having been served
" " on F. H. Baker one of the defendants, in the Fifth Township,
" " of Madera, on the 19th day of August, 1898, by H. E.
" " Lowlevinstable, and upon W. S. Peters, one of the defendants,
" " in County of Alameda, on the 23rd day of August, 1898.
" " him B. White, Sheriff of Alameda County.

WILL J. KROHN,

Democratic and People's Party
Nominee for

Tax Collector,

MADERA COUNTY, CAL.

Your Support Respectfully Solicited
Election Nov. 8, '98.

MADERA TRIBUNE PRINT

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

John Keating

Plaintiff

vs.

W.B. Peters, John Hanshaw and F.H. Baker
Partners under the firm name of
"The White Oak Mining Co."

Defendants

Action

Demand \$ 6 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 13 Complaint filed, Summons issued, Affidavit and
" Undertaking for Attachment filed. Writ of Attachment
" issued
" 15 Answer filed.
" 16 Summons returned and filed as having been served
upon F.H. Baker one of the defendants, in the Fifth
Township, County of Madera, on the 13th day of August
1898, by H. C. Bigelow, constable.
Sept 14 Case settled out of court and costs paid in full

A. H. Baker

Justice of the Peace

Summons	50
Mileage	1.75
Attachment	2.25
Mileage	1.00
Summons	50
Attachment	2.00
"	1.00
"	1.00
Justice fees	9.25
	33.25
	241.25

No. 70

In the Justice's Court of The Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action

John Keating

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 100.00

vs.

W. S. Peters, John Hanshaw,
and F. H. Baker

Defendants

Everts & Ewing

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Aug 17 Complaint filed, Summons issued.
 " 18 Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.
 " " Writ of Attachment issued.
 Aug 20 Writ of Attachment returned and filed.
 Aug 24 Now comes F. H. Baker defendant, and makes answer
 " " for himself to complaint on file herein, and denies
 " " generally and specifically each and every allegation
 " " in said complaint contained.
 Aug 29 Summons returned and filed as having been served
 upon F. H. Baker one of the defendants, in the Fifth Township,
 County of Madera, on the 19th day of August, 1898, by H. E.
 Bigelow levystable, and upon W. S. Peters, one of the defendants,
 in the County of Alameda, on the 23rd day of August, 1898,
 by Calvin B. White, Sheriff of Alameda County.

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

J. H. Howney and
J. H. Woods

Plaintiffs

vs.

Frank Simmons

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 1650

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 30 Complaint filed, Summons issued. Affidavit
and Undertaking for Attachment filed. Writ
of Attachment issued.
Sept 7 Writ of Attachment returned and filed.

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California

Action

W. A. Cochran

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

J. W. Sayles

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 15

Now comes W. A. Cochran and makes claim that he is the owner of certain animals, to-wit: One black cow, marked with a crop in left ear and two splits in the right, branded WC on left hip. Three ^{red} calves about nine months old same mark and brand as cow, the said animals having been taken up as strays by one J. W. Sayles, and being now in the possession of said J. W. Sayles, he now asks that he the said W. A. Cochran be awarded possession of the said animals.

Oct. 15

W. A. Cochran, and J. W. Sayles being present the court proceeds with the matter at once, the said parties were both sworn and examined as witnesses in the matter.

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the premises afore-said it is ordered that the cattle herein mentioned be given into the possession of the said W. A. Cochran upon the payment by him of the sum of \$2.50 and the further sum of 15 cts. per head per day for every day after date until the whole amount is paid in full.

A. H. Cole

Justice of the Peace.

Oct. 15

Costs and compensation paid in full.

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

J. H. Ward

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. M. Gibson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- Oct 26 Now comes J. H. Ward and makes claim that he is the owner of and entitled to the possession of certain animals to-wit: eight head of cattle described as follows, Two red cows, one speckled heifer, one red heifer, one brindle heifer, one red calf and one roan calf, all marked with a crop off of left ear and split in right ear. Marked ~~W~~ ^W one red cow same mark as above, branded W. One bay horse no visible brand. The above described animals being now in the possession of one Geo. M. Gibson who claims to hold them as estrays, this claim is made for the purpose of obtaining possession of same.
- Oct 26 The parties being present, the court proceeds at once in the matter, J. H. Ward, Geo. M. Gibson and G. A. Lee were sworn and examined as witnesses.
- Oct 26 After hearing the evidence it is ordered by the court that the above described animals be given in to the possession of said J. H. Ward, upon the payment by him of the sum \$255, and the sum of 16 cts per head per day for every day hereafter until he pays for same and takes possession of said above described animals.

C. H. Lee

Justice of the Peace

No. 74

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Amanda Wagner

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. M. Gibson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

hlec

3

Now comes Amanda Wagner and makes claim that she is the owner of and entitled to the possession of a certain calf, described as follows, black red in color, marked with a crop and an under half crop and a split in each ear, branded, G W,

The said calf being now in the possession of one Geo. M. Gibson an employee of one M. B. Garner who claims to hold them as estrays.

This claim is made for the purpose of obtaining possession of said calf.

The parties being present, the court proceeds at once in the matter, Jacob Wagner & Geo. M. Gibson were sworn and examined as witnesses.

After hearing the evidence it is ordered by the court that the above described animal be given into the possession of said Amanda Wagner, upon the payment by her of the sum of .30^{cts} and the further sum of 15^{cts} per. day hereafter until she take said animal and pays for same.

[Signature]

Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

Action

H. L. Titcomb

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 149.00

vs.

H. R. Lamb, L. F. Dyer
and D. Hughes

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1898 DATE. Fee \$2.00 paid PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 26 Complaint filed.

28 Summons issued.

Feb. 7 1899. Summons returned as having been ^{personally} served upon L. F. Dyer
" on the 21st day of January 1899 in the City and County
" of San Francisco, and upon H. R. Lamb and D. Hughes
" on the 1st day of February 1899 also in the City and County of
" San Francisco, by Lee D. Windrem a male citizen of the
" United States, above the age of eighteen years and not a
" party to the above entitled action, and who has made the prop-
" er Affidavit of service.

March 1 In this action, the defendants H. R. Lamb, L. F. Dyer and D. Hughes
" es having been regularly served with process, and having failed to
" appear and answer the plaintiff's complaint on file here-
" in, and the time allowed by law for appearance having expired,
" and no answer or Demurrer having been filed, the default of
" said defendants H. R. Lamb, L. F. Dyer and D. Hughes, in the case
" is duly entered according to law.

March 2 On motion of H. L. Titcomb, the plaintiff, it is hereby or-
" dered that judgment be entered herein in accordance against
" said defendants, in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiff's
" complaint on file herein. Wherefore by reasons of the law and
" the premises aforesaid, it is ordered that the plaintiff do have and
" recover from said defendants the sum of one hundred and forty
" nine dollars and eleven cents (\$149.00) together with all costs,
" Amounting to \$4.00 Justice fees, \$2.00 for copies of papers,
" and \$5.00 for service of papers, making a total of \$110.00.

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California

H. H. Kennedy
vs.

Plaintiff

Action to recover possession of
estrays

Demand \$

George M. Gibson

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Jan.

16

Now comes H. H. Kennedy who being duly sworn, testifies and makes claim, that he is the owner of one certain red and white cow, marked with crop in the left ear, and downfall in the right, and branded TX, and one red calf, no mark or brand, now in the possession of George M. Gibson. The parties being present the court proceeds with the case at once, and George M. Gibson testifies that said animals were taken up as estrays and that he has claim against said animals for keeping same, for five days and for cost of recording notice of taking up.

The evidence being all in it is adjudged by the Court that H. H. Kennedy pay to George M. Gibson the sum of (\$1.50) one dollar and fifty cents, for the keeping of said cattle, and the sum of (50) fifty cents as fees to the County Recorder for recording notices, and that he pay all costs, amounting to (\$1.00) one dollar, justice fees. And that George M. Gibson give to H. H. Kennedy the possession of said cattle as herein before described.

Ernest Klette.

Justice of the Peace Fifth Township.

No. 77

In the Justice's Court of the Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Mrs. I. H. Ward

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. M. Gibson

Defendant

Action to recover the possession
of strays.


Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 18/99 Now appears Mrs. I. H. Ward who first being sworn, makes claim that she is the owner of two head of cattle now in the possession of Geo. M. Gibson. The parties being present the Court proceeded with the case at once, and Geo. M. Gibson testified that such cattle were held as strays, and that he had claim against said cattle for keeping seven days, and for cost of recording notices of taking up. The cattle were described as being one white cow branded , and one yearling calf.

After hearing the evidence it is ordered by the Court that Geo. M. Gibson deliver to Mrs. I. H. Ward the possession of said cattle upon the payment by her of the sum of (\$2⁶⁰) two dollars and sixty cents, and that she pay the further sum of 15 cts. per head per day untill she take said animals away.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace.

No.

78

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California

Charles Strombeck

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo M. Gibson

Defendant

Action to recover possession of
estrays.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 1899

Now appears Charles Strombeck, who being by me first duly sworn testifies and makes claim that he is the owner of one red cow marked under-half crop in each ear and branded ^{now in the possession of Geo. M. Gibson} T₁ and he further testifies that he is agent for Gilbert Fissette of Coarse Gold, and that he was authorised by said Gilbert Fissette to make claim to recover one red and white steer marked with two swallow-forks in each ear and branded G F on right hip, also in the possession of Geo. M. Gibson, said cattle being held as estrays. This action is for the purpose of recovering the possession of said cattle. The parties being in Court the matter was proceeded with at once. Geo. M. Gibson was sworn and testified that he had charges against said cattle for keeping twenty-one days and for recording two notices with marks and brands. The evidence all being before the Court it is adjudged that Charles Strombeck pay to Geo. M. Gibson the sum of \$7.30 and that Geo. M. Gibson thereupon deliver to Charles Strombeck ^{the possession of} said cattle as herein above described. The money having been paid, it is so ordered by,

Ernest Klette,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

Joseph Enos

vs.

Plaintiff

Action to recover the possession of

estrays.

Demand \$

L. M. Gole

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1899 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb.

8

Now appears Joseph Enos, who being by me duly sworn, testifies and makes claim that he is the owner of ten head of cattle described as follows: one red cow no mark and brand visible; one spotted brindle cow no mark or brand visible; one redish brindle cow, no mark or brand visible; one black cow no visible mark or brand; one red cow no visible mark or brand; one cow no visible mark or brand; one roan cow marked crop and split in right ear no visible brand; one red cow marked with split in right ear, no visible brand; one spotted cow marked with crop in right ear and under-bit in left ear, no visible brand; one yellow cow no visible mark or brand. Said cattle being in the possession of L. M. Gole being held as estrays. Hearing set for Saturday February 11th 1899 at two P. M. Defendant notified of proceedings and time of hearing.

Feb.

11

On motion of plaintiff, the matter having been settled out of court, it is ordered that the case be dismissed.

Ernest Klette,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 88

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Murphy & Brassil

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. M. Gibson

Defendant

Action to recover possession of
estrays.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 18. Personally appeared before me J. Walsh who being
" by me duly sworn testifies that he is acting ^{as agent} for
" the firm of Murphy & Brassil and was by them author-
" ized to make claim ^{and did make such claim} to recover possession of four head of
" cattle branded MB and marked with upper half crop ^{and sit}
" each ear, now in the possession of Geo. M. Gibson, such
" cattle being held as estrays. The matter was proceeded with
" at once and the claim of Geo. M. Gibson was submitted
" to the Court said claim amounting to \$122 for the
" keeping of said cattle. The matter having been all sub-
" mitted it is adjudged by the Court that J. Walsh pay
" to Geo. M. Gibson the sum of \$122 and that Geo. M. Gibson
" thereupon deliver to J. Walsh the possession of said cattle.
" The money having been paid it is so ordered.
Ernest Blatte
Justice of the Peace.

No. 81

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

L. M. Gole

Plaintiff

vs.

Fourteen horses, Four bolls
and one mule.

Defendant

Action

Demand \$150

H. H. Helch

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
April

14

Complaint filed, Summons issued.

"

"

27

On motion of plaintiff L. M. Gole this case is ordered
dismissed, the matter having been settled out of Court
and all cost to be paid by L. M. Gole, plaintiff.

"

"

"

Ernest Klette.

Justice of The Peace of said
Township.

No. 82

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Franklin Miller and
Eathrene Miller
vs.
James A. Harris, Wm. Reed
and J. S. Manley

Plaintiffs

Defendants

Action

Demand \$1500

Attorney for Plaintiff

George A. Ewing
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
April 20 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
April 25 Summons returned as having been ^{personally} served on James A. Harris, and Wm. Reed on the 24th day of April 1899 in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, and upon J. S. Manley partner of James A. Harris and Wm. Reed, in the County of Fresno State of California on April 25th 1899, by H. Q. Bigelow, Constable of the Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, an officer qualified to serve said summons.
" 29 The time in which defendants James A. Harris and Wm. Reed are to make an appearance is extended until, and including May 15th 1899.
May 15 Answer filed for all defendants.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Elias Hammurden

Plaintiff

vs.

James A. Harris & Wm. Reed and
J. S. Manley.

Defendants

Action to recover for labor
performed.

Demand \$ 19.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Goverts and Goring
Attorney for Defendants

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1899 April 20	Complaint filed. Summons issued
" " 25	Summons returned as having been personally served on James A. Harris and Wm. Reed on the 24th day of April 1899 in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, and upon J. S. Manley, partner of James A. Harris and Wm. Reed, in Fresno County of Fresno State of California on April 25th, 1899, by H. E. Bigelow, Constable of Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, an officer qualified to serve said summons.
April 29	Defendants James A. Harris and Wm. Reed given until, and including May 15th 1899 in which to make an appearance.
May 15	Answer filed for all defendants.
May 16	Case set for trial on Tuesday May 30 1899. at ten o'clock A. M.
May 17	Whereas it appears that the court was in error in setting this trial for May 30 as said May 30 is a legal holiday, therefore this case is continued until May 31, 1899 at 10 A. M.
May 27	On motion of plaintiff the trial of this case is postponed indefinitely.
Oct 18	This case is set for trial at 11 o'clock A. M. on October 25th 1899. Counsel notified in writing of time of trial.
Oct 24	On motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed, the matter having been settled out of court. E. West Klette Justice of the Peace.

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

C. H. Cole

Plaintiff

vs.

Fredrick Bowman

Defendant

Action to recover for services
performed.

Demand \$ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 14 1899	Complaint filed. Summons issued.
" 15	Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed.
" "	Writ of Attachment issued.

No. 85

In the Justice's Court of *the Fifth* Township,
County of *Madera* State of California.

James H. Downey and
J. F. Woods

Plaintiffs

vs.

Peter Simons & Co.

Defendants

Action *on account*Demand \$ *211.45*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1899.

June 26

received \$2.00 fees.

PROCEEDINGS.

Complaint filed.

No. 86

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

Action assumpsit

John Noble

Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Healy

Defendant

Demand \$ 5. & interest

H. H. Sarew

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. L. Hargrove

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- 1899.
- April 25 Complaint filed and summons issued.
Summons returned duly served in the first Township, on defendant Henry Healy, by Deputy Constable George F. Warner on the 25th day of April 1899.
- April 28 Demurrer filed by R. L. Hargrove attorney for plaintiff. Demurrer set for hearing May 2nd 1899 at 10 o'clock A. M. and counsel notified by writing of the setting of the hearing also an affidavit was filed same day (April 28th 1899) by George Healy alleging himself to be the natural guardian of the defendant Henry Healy and demanding a change of venue on the grounds of bias of the justice.
- May 2 Now on the 2nd day of May 1899, this cause of action coming on for to be heard, and after waiting more than an hour, after the time set by the Court for a hearing the demurrer, and no appearance having been made by the defendant or his attorney, the Court overrules the demurrer interposed in this case.
- On the matter of the motion for change of venue made by George Healy, the Court is of the opinion that George Healy is not the natural guardian of the defendant, under the law; and no allegation or proof being offered that the said George Healy has been appointed guardian by any Court of record, the said motion for change of venue is hereby denied.
- May 10 Answer filed on May 10th, 1899.
- July 3 And on July 3rd this cause was set for hearing on July 5th, 1899, at 10 o'clock A. M. and notice thereof in writing served on the respective counsels.
- July 5 On July 5th both parties appeared in Court and the defendant files affidavit, and moves the Court for a change of the place of trial, and after considering the same the Court grants the motion, and orders the case
- (Continued on page 186)

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

State of California.

		Action
vs.	Plaintiff	Demand \$
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

(Continued from preceding page)

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

To be transferred to the 5th Township and the Court of Justice Klette.

The defendant paid \$1. to the Justice and \$1.00 costs of constable, but refused to pay the costs incurred by plaintiff.

Certified Transcript by Archie McDonald.

July 6 Received and placed on file the above transcript of Docket from the Court of Archie McDonald.

Sept. 16 Case set for trial at 10 o'clock A. M. October 2nd, 1899.

Oct. 2 This case came on regularly for trial and after waiting one hour after time set for trial, and the defendant or his attorney failing to appear the case was proceeded with.

and signed by
Henry Healy
was given in
as evidence.

John Noble plaintiff was placed on the stand and gave testimony. ^{a note of \$5.00 made in favor of John Noble} There being no further evidence the Court gave judgment to plaintiff in the sum of five dollars with interest amounting to 30cts and all costs ^{paid by him} amounting to \$7.00 Justice fees.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace.

Oct. 2 Execution issued.

Oct. 18 Notice of Appeal filed. Undertaking ^{and stay bond} on Appeal filed.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Andrew Johnson

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.
Geo. E. Douglass and
Maggie Douglass

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Received \$

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Aug. 14 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
" 16 Summons returned as having been duly served
in the Fifth Township, ^{County of Madera} on defendants Geo.
E. Douglass and Maggie Douglass by Constable
H. E. Bigelow on the 16th day of August 1899.
Aug. 21 Answer filed.

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madema State of California

Galvin Bigelow

vs.

Plaintiff

Robert Clapp

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 34 ¹⁷/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1899

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 4 Complaint filed. Summons issued. Under-
taking and affidavit for attachment filed. Attach-
ment issued.

No. 98
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Elias Emmundsen

Plaintiff

vs.

H. P. Anderson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 12.00

H. H. Webb

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Nov. 13

Complaint filed. Summons issued.

No. 91
 In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

Action.....
 Demand \$ 111 50
L. G. Burns Plaintiff
 vs.
Joseph Wagner and
Louise Wagner Defendant
W. S. Baker Attorney for Plaintiff
Francis A. Lee Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sep 23, 1899. Complaint filed and summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Leonard.

Nov 9, 1899 Summons and complaint returned by the Constable served on each of the defendants Nov. 7th. 1899.

Nov. 10, 1899 Demurrer and affidavit for change of venue received from Defendants attorney and placed on file.

Nov. 15, 1899 Upon Affidavit of defendants it is hereby ordered that the place of trial of the above entitled case be changed from the Fourth to the Fifth Township, Madera County.

David Lockton,

Justice of the Peace, Fourth Township.

I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct transcript of my docket of in the above entitled case.

David Lockton

Justice of the Peace, Fourth Township.

Nov 25, 1899 Received and placed on file the above transcript of Docket.

Dec. 9 Affidavit ^{and motion} of defendants for change of venue filed.

Dec. 13 Motion for change of venue, and demurrer filed by defendants; set for a hearing at 2 o'clock P.M. December 21st, 1899.

Dec. 21 This is the time set for the hearing of the motion for a change of venue, and the demurrer on file in this cause, and the defendants or their attorney failed to appear. Whereas it appears to the Court that a change of venue cannot be granted a second time on the grounds specified in the affidavit, now

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Witness fees of Scott Bufford 88 miles traveled and one day attendance

Dec. 23

therefore it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled and denied. Demurrer taken under advisement.

"

"

Demurrer overruled. Answer filed.

"

"

Case set for trial on January 10th, 1900, at 10 o'clock

"

"

A. M. and notice thereof in writing served on the

"

"

Plaintiff and on the Defendants attorney.

"

"

Blank Subpoenas issued for both Plaintiff and defendants.

Jan. 10, 1900

This being the 10th time set for the trial of the above cause and both the parties and their attorneys being present the case was proceeded with.

H. S. Baker of Hoare & Gold appeared as attorney for the plaintiff and F. A. Fee of Madera as attorney for defendants. L. G. Burns, and Scott Bufford were sworn and testified in behalf of plaintiff, and Mrs. Joseph Wagner, Joseph Wagner, and Joseph Wagner Jr. were sworn and testified in behalf of defendants. The testimony being all in the case was submitted to the Court without argument.

Whereas, by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed that said plaintiff L. G. Burns, do have and recover of said defendants Joseph Wagner and Louise Wagner the sum of twenty dollars and thirty two and one half cents in said actions together with plaintiffs costs incurred in said action amounting to \$20.32 Justice fees \$2.00 Constable fees, and \$4.00 witness fees.

Ten days stay of proceedings granted.

Judgment of \$20.32 and \$6.00 Justice fees satisfied.

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

G. H. Cole

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 23.35
100

John Bittern

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
Jan 29
Feb

Complaint filed. Summons issued.

Summons returned as having been served on John Bittern at Fifth Township, in the County of Madera, State of California on the 29th day of January 1900, by Geo. M. Gibson, a male resident of Fifth Township, over the age of twenty-one years and not a party to the above-entitled action, and who has made the proper affidavit of service.

Feb

5

It hereas the time has expired in which defendant had to answer, and as no answer has been received or filed, now therefor it is ordered that a default be, and the same is hereby entered up against said defendant.

Judgment entered for plaintiff for the sum of \$ 23.35
together with costs amounting to \$ 4.00

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madera

Fifth

Township,

State of California.

J. H. Douglass

Plaintiff

vs.
Elias A. Ellis

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 37.00

G. H. Cole
Attorney for PlaintiffW. H. Sarew
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- 1900
April 11 Complaint filed. Summons issued.
- " 17 Summons returned and filed, as having been served upon Elias A. Ellis the defendant, at and in the Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, on the 16th day of April 1900, by G. H. Cole, a person who is competent and qualified, and who has made the proper affidavit of service.
- April 21 Answer filed.
- May 3 Case set for trial at 10 A. M., May 11, 1900, and the parties, ^{and attys.} ~~noti-~~
^{in writing} filed thereof.
- " 11 This being the time for the trial of the above entitled cause but owing to the absence of Mr. Sarew atty. for defendant the case by stipulation of the parties was continued untill 1 P. M.
- 1 P. M. This being the time to which this case was continued to the court opened session. G. H. Cole atty. for plaintiff by leave of the court filed an amended complaint. Mr. Sarew still being absent a further continuance, by stipulation of the parties made in open court, was granted till 11 o'clock A. M. May 12, 1900.
- May 12 By stipulation of the parties a further continuance was granted untill 2 o'clock P. M. The parties all being in court the case was proceeded with. Mr. H. H. Sarew by leave of the court filed his original answer as an amended answer to the amended complaint. Mr. J. H. Douglass was placed on the witness stand and testified in his own behalf. Plaintiff rested his case. Defendant Elias A. Ellis testified in his own behalf. Case was argued by attys. By order of the court the Answer is amended by Mr. Sarew so as to conform to the evidence given. The court not being satisfied as to the law in the case the matter is taken under advisement untill 10 A. M. May 19th 1900.
- " 19 Judgment rendered against plaintiff and in favor of defendant for costs; ~~costs amounting to \$15.00 further fees and for service of summons~~
- " " Last line above stricken out as amendment to above judgment.

No. 94
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

Chas. D. Pitcomb

Action Account

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 25.35

Chas. E. Stevens

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July ⁹⁰⁰ 4 Complaint filed.

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

H. D. Pitcomb

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 4.45

vs.

Savage Lewis

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7, 1900 Complaint Filed.

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

H. A. Cochran

vs.

Plaintiff

P. M. Murphy

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 100.00

H. H. Cole

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. H. Lavee

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 1900
23
26

Complaint filed, Summons issued.
Summons returned ^{and filed} as having been served upon defendant P. M. Murphy in Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California, on the 25th day of July 1900, by H. E. Bigelow, constable of Fifth Township, of the County of Madera.

" " 31

In this action, the defendant P. M. Murphy having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, and no answer or demurrer having been filed, the default of said defendant P. M. Murphy in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.

July 31
Aug. 10

Answer filed.

now comes the plaintiff by his Attorney H. H. Cole and moves the court that the answer on file herein be stricken out, the same having been filed without notice to Plaintiff after default had been entered, the said default having not been set aside, and no motion having been made to set same aside.

" " 10

It is ordered by the court that said answer be stricken out.

Plaintiff asks that a time be fixed for hearing evidence in regard to the allegations contained in complaint on file herein.

It is ordered by the court that the matter be set for hearing at 4 o'clock P. M. this 10th day of August, 1900.

Subpoena issued to Plaintiff. Subpoena returned and filed as having been served on H. E. Hill, Sarah J. Cochran, and David Cochran.

The matter came on regularly for hearing at 4 o'clock P. M. August 10th, 1900. The following witnesses were sworn and examined as to the allegations contained in the complaint: H. E. Hill, H. A. Cochran, David Cochran and Sarah J. Cochran.

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered adjudged and decreed that H. A. Cochran, plaintiff, do have and recover of and from P. M. Murphy the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars together with costs and disbursements of Plaintiff in this action, amounting to five dollars justice fees, three and ¹⁰/₁₀₀ dollars witness fees and retainer fees.

Aug. 27

Notice of appeal filed. Undertaking and stay bond on appeal filed.

Ernest Klette
Justice of the Peace of said Township.

No. 97
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>H. D. Titombe</u>		Action.....
<u>vs.</u>	Plaintiff	
<u>George Malce</u>		Demand \$ <u>53.53</u>
	Defendant	<u>H. H. Cole</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 190011Complaint filed.

No.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____ State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

In the Justice's Court of
County of Maricopa

Fifth

Township,

State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Q. L. Johnson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. H. Cole

Attorney for Defendant

1899 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 28 Complaint filed charging Defendant with the crime
" " of Battery. Warrant of Arrest issued.
March 2 Warrant of Arrest returned and Defendant in Court, is by the
" " Court questioned as to his true name said my true name is Q. L.
" " Johnson. Defendant arraigned on said charge and by his Attorney
" " J. H. Cole waived being informed by the Court of his rights, and after
" " reading the complaint to him, Defendant enters a plea of not guilty.
" " Defendant demands a speedy trial by jury. Trial set for 2 o'clock P.M.
" " on Saturday March 4th 1899. Subpoena issued for Fredrick Bowman, Pete
" " Swaylander and Ernestine Sauerlandas witnesses for the People. venire
" " issued for twelve jurors.
March 4 This case came on regularly for trial to-day, the defendant being
in Court and being represented by his Attorney J. H. Cole. The fol-
lowing jurors were sworn to try the case: Wm. Lochran, G. E. Strivens,
James Douglass, G. F. Scott, G. N. Deal, H. Bugg, Wm. Bugg, Wm.
Keller, H. B. Williams, and F. W. Wetmore. Defendant tried on said
charge, Fredrick Bowman, Pete Swaylander, and Ernestine Sauerland
were examined as witnesses in behalf of the People, and Defendant
testified in his own behalf. After the evidence was all in the
case was submitted to the jury and an officer is sworn to take
charge of said jury. There after jury brings in a verdict
of "Not Guilty". Jury dismissed, Defendant discharged from
custody.

Ernest Kletter
Justice of the Peace

No. 104

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California

Action

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Fredrick Bowman

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1899	
March 2	Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of Assault with a deadly weapon. Warrant of Arrest issued.
" "	
March 4	Warrant of arrest returned, Defendant in Court, who asked his name Defendant said that his name was Fredrick Bowman. Complaint on file was read to defendant and he was thereafter duly informed of all his rights.
" "	
March 6	Case set for examination on Monday March 13th 1899 at 11 o'clock A.M.
" "	
March 9	On motion of the District Attorney, this case is ordered dismissed, for lack of sufficient evidence.
" "	

Ernest Klette.

Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Favere and
H. D. Scott.

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

A. H. Cole

Attorney for Defendant

1899

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- March 21 Complaint filed charging defendants with the crime of "Killing fish by means of explosives." Warrant of arrest issued.
- March 25 Warrant of arrest returned, defendants in court, are by the court questioned as to their true names, and stated respectively in reply, "my true name is Louis Favere" and "H. D. Scott." Defendants arraigned on said charge, and by the court duly informed of all their rights, and thereafter defendants plead "Not Guilty."
- March 29 Cases set for trial at 11 o'clock a.m. on Saturday April 1st 1899. Case postponed till 11 o'clock a.m. on April 5th 1899.
- April 5 Demurrer filed. Demurrer over-ruled as to the complaining witness not being qualified by law to file complaint. Demurrer sustained as to the jurisdiction of the court.
- April 5 Whereas it appears that the court has erred in setting the above case for trial, and whereas this court has no jurisdiction to try said case, it is ordered that the above proceedings be set aside. Defendants in court, and by the court duly informed of all their rights. Defendants waive time for examination and the examination is proceeded with forthwith. W. B. McManus, Mrs. F. M. Bollinger and Caroline Parker, were examined as witnesses in behalf of the People, and H. D. Scott and A. J. Shields in behalf of the defendants. After hearing the testimony of the above witnesses the District Attorney moved that the case be dismissed.
- Whereas it appears to the court that the evidence is not sufficient to justify holding the defendants for trial in the Superior Court, it is ordered that the above case be and the same is hereby dismissed, and the defendants discharged from custody.

Ernest Klette.

Justice of the Peace.

No. 106

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California
vs.
Arthur Stephens

Plaintiff
Defendant

Action.....

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899

April 17 Complaint filed charging defendant with adultery. Warrant
" " of arrest issued.
April 25 On motion of District Attorney, it appearing that
the complaint is defective, and also that there is
not sufficient evidence to secure a conviction, this case
is ordered dismissed.

Ernest Kletter
Justice of the Peace.

No. 109.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.
Maggie Douglass.

Defendant

Action Security to keep
the Peace.

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Aug 14

"

16

Complaint filed. Deposition of Andrew Johnson taken. Warrant of Arrest issued. Warrant of arrest returned and defendant in court. When asked her name defendant said "my name is Maggie Douglass". Defendant is duly informed by the Court of all her rights and thereafter entered a plea of "not guilty." Deposition of defendant taken.

Whereas it appears to the Court that there is not sufficient evidence to justify placing the defendant under bonds, it is ordered that the case be dismissed and the defendant discharged from custody.

Ernest Klette
Justice of the Peace.

No. 108

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.
John O'Neal

Defendant

Action Security to keep
the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. H. Cole

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Dec.

9.

Information filed. Deposition of S. P. Davis taken and filed. Warrant of arrest issued.

Dec.

12

Personally appeared in Court John O'Neal who asked that he be arraigned upon the charge against him. When asked his true name he replied that his true name was John O'Neal. After being informed of all his rights defendant entered a plea of "not guilty". Hearing set for 10 o'clock A.M. December 21st 1899.

Dec. 21

Subpoena issued in behalf of defendant for Byrd Taylor and Wm. Brown.

This case came on regularly for hearing. Depositions of Wm. Brown, Byrd Taylor, and S. P. Davis taken in behalf of the People. After the People closed their case J. H. Cole Attorney for defendant moves that the case be dismissed, and whereas it appears to the Court that there is no just reasons to fear the commission of the offence alleged to have been threatened, it is therefore ordered that the case be dismissed and the defendant discharged.

Ernest Klette
Justice of the Peace.

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madern

State of California.

Township,

Fifth

The People of the State
of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Action. Altering Brands.

Demand \$

George Pumpkin

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Dec. 11

Complaint filed, Warrant of arrest issued.

~~In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township, County of
Madern, State of California
The People of the State of California
Plaintiff.
John Doe McNaughton and Anna McNaughton.
Defendants.~~

Proceedings.

1901
May 25

207

~~Complaint filed charging defendants with the crime of Resisting a
public officer in the discharge of his duty. Warrant of arrest issued.~~

onship,

In the Justices Court of Fifth Town-
ship, County of Madera, State of California.

The People of the State
of California
vs
Plaintiff

Ed Price

Defendant.

for Plaintiff

for Defendant

I hereby acknowledge full satisfaction
of all ^{bonetable} fees due me in the above entitled
case.

H. E. Bigelow
Constable

issued.
ant in
his true
name is
charge, and

Dated Feb. 7, 1900.

by me Court duly informed of all his rights; and
thereafter defendant pleads not guilty.

Galvin Bigelow makes affidavit and that he is
the complaining witness in the above entitled action,
and he acknowledges that he has received full satis-
faction for the injury committed, and asks that said
charge against defendant be dismissed.

Thereafter upon payment of all costs, it is order-
ed by the Court that the case be dismissed and
the Defendant discharged. Costs collected applied to the
payment of Constable and Justice Fees in full.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace.

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madern

State of California.

Township,

The People of the State
of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Action *Altering Brands*

Demand \$

George Pumpkin

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1899
Dec. 11 Complaint filed, Warrant of arrest issued.

~~In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township, County of
Madern, State of California
The People of the State of California
Plaintiff.
John Doe McNaughton and Anna McNaughton.
Defendants.~~

Proceedings.

1901
May 27

~~Complaint filed charging defendants with the crime of Resisting a
public officer in the discharge of his duty. Warrant of arrest issued.~~

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California.

Plaintiff

vs.

E. d. Price

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
Jan.
Feb

24
6

Complaint filed. Warrant of arrest issued. Warrant of arrest returned and Defendant in Court, is by the Court questioned to his true name, and stated in reply "my true name is E. d. Price." Defendant arraigned on said charge, and by the Court duly informed of all his rights; and thereafter defendant pleads not guilty.

Galvin Bigelow makes affidavit and that he is the complaining witness in the above entitled action, and he acknowledges that he has received full satisfaction for the injury committed, and asks that said charge against defendant be dismissed.

Thereafter upon payment of all costs, it is ordered by the Court that the case be dismissed and the Defendant discharged. Costs collected applied to the payment of Constable and Justice Fees in full.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace.

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The people of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.
John Doe Dorety

Defendant

Action

Battery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
March 28 Complaint filed. Warrant of Arrest issued.

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Maggie Douglass

Defendant

Action

Insanity

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
April

5

^{Paid}
Complaint filed. Warrant of Arrest issued.

No 128.

^{Paid}
In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California.

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff.

William A. McNaughton and Anna McNaughton.
Defendants.

R. R. Fowler, Atty. for
plaintiff.

F. A. Fee.

Atty. for defendant.

Proceedings.

May 25

1901

Complaint filed charging defendants with the crime of an assault with a deadly weapon. Warrant of Arrest issued.

May

25

Warrant of Arrest returned. Defendants in Court. When asked their names defendants said that their true names are William A. McNaughton and Anna McNaughton. Complaint read to defendants and thereafter they are ~~made~~ informed of all their rights. Bail of William A. McNaughton fixed at \$1,000 (one thousand dollars). Anna McNaughton permitted to go on her own recognizance. Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A.M. June 4th 1901.

"

25

During the proceedings of the above entitled cause, Calvin Forey was by the Court adjudged guilty of a contempt of Court in interrupting and interfering with the proceedings of the case. Wherefore it is by the Court ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that Calvin Forey pay a fine of \$5 and that he be confined in the County Jail of the County of Madera for a period of twenty-four hours or until said fine is paid.

"

27

^{Hamilton}
Stipulation of attorneys whereby it is agreed that the hearing of this case shall be set for 10 o'clock A.M. June 1st 1901. received and filed. Case set for hearing at 10 o'clock A.M. May June 1st, 1901.

June 1

1

On motion of District Atty. it is ordered that this case be dismissed, there being a more serious charge pending against defendant for the same offence.

Ernest Kletter

Justice of the Peace of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of

Fifth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Ned Brown

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900

April

21

Complaint filed, Warrant of Arrest issued.

"

"

30

At the request of the District Attorney of Madera County a second Warrant of Arrest is issued and placed in the hands of the Sheriff of Madera County.

June

16

Warrant returned, Defendant in Court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name was Ned Brown. Defendant arraigned upon said charge and by the Court duly informed of all his rights and thereafter he is committed for examination to the Sheriff of Madera County. Examination set for hearing at 10 o'clock A. M. July 5th 1900.

July

5

This case came up regularly for hearing at 10 o'clock A. M. R. R. Fowler appeared for the People and no one appeared for Defendant. Frank Walker, Wilson & Heaps, Antone, James Hedder, Samuel Jensen, George Driver and John Walker were placed on the witness stand and gave evidence relating to the case. Whereas after hearing the evidence in the case it appears to the Court that there is sufficient proof to hold defendant for trial in the Superior Court, Now therefore it is ordered that said defendant Ned Brown be held to answer to the charge in the Superior Court, and that his bonds be fixed at \$2,000.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Florentine Topping

Defendant

Action

Insanity

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
May

26

Paid
Complaint Filed, Warrant of arrest issued.

Paid

No 181

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township, County
of Madera, State of California.

The People of the State
of California.

Plaintiff.

vs.

John Doe McNaughton.

Defendant.

Proceedings.

May 1901

24

Complaint filed charging defendant with battery. Warrant of arrest issued.

"

25

Warrant of arrest returned and filed. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is William A. McNaughton. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights, and thereafter on the 25th day of May 1901 defendant enters a plea of guilty.

Time for passing sentence was duly waived by defendant who asked to be sentenced immediately.

Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged as a punishment for this offence committed at Bellview, Madera County on May 23rd 1901, in wilfully and unlawfully striking and beating one S. L. Bear as charged in the complaint aforesaid, that you, the said defendant pay a fine of \$60 (sixty dollars) and that said defendant be imprisoned in the County Jail of the County of Madera for the term of thirty days, or until said fine is paid. Commitment issued.

Ernest Klotter

Justice of the Peace of said Township.

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Sherman

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
June
" "

16

Complaint filed. Warrant of Arrest issued.

17

Warrant returned, Defendant in Court. When asked his name Defendant said that his name was Wm. Sherman. Defendant arraigned on said charge and by the Court duly informed of all his rights. Defendant committed for examination to the Sheriff of Madera County. Examination set for hearing on July 5th 1900 at 2 o'clock P.M.

July

5

This being the time set for the hearing of the above case the matter was proceeded with. R.R. Fowler Esq. appeared as Atty in behalf of the People. Robert S. Hargrove Esq. atty for defendant failed to appear. ^{Complaint was read to defendant} Frank Shulte, Charley Williams, Jim Walker, Joe Winsman Jr. Katie Shulte, Maud Merrit, Anna Merrit and Tom Harris, were placed on the witness stand and gave testimony in the case. After hearing the testimony ^{of witnesses} it appears to the Court that there is sufficient evidence to justify holding the defendant. Now therefore it is ordered that said Defendant Wm. Sherman be held to answer to the charge in the Superior Court of Madera County, and that his bail be fixed at \$2,000.

Ernest Schette

July

30

Bail bond filed.

No. 116

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Barge Bethel

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Paid

July 1900
" "

5

Complaint filed, Warrant of arrest issued.

9

Defendant appears in Court and asks to be arraigned upon the charge, and is by the Court duly arraigned upon said charge. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Barge Bethel. Defendant duly informed of all his rights, and thereafter enters a plea of guilty. Defendant fined ~~costs~~ amounting to three dollars which is duly paid.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace of said Township

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of

County of

Madera

4th

Township,

State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Gamino, Frank
(an Indian) and Mike Johnson

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 18 1900

Complaint filed, Warrant of arrest issued for each defendant.

" " 21

Warrant for arrest of Charles Gamino returned, Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Charles Gamino. Defendant duly informed of all his rights. Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A.M. Aug. 2nd 1900.

" " 21

Warrants for arrest of Mike Johnson and Frank (an Indian) returned, Defendants in court. When asked their names defendants said respectively: "My true name is Mike Johnson" and "My true name is Frank". Defendants arraigned on said charge and by the court duly informed of all their rights. Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A.M. Aug. 2nd 1900.

Aug 3

This case came up regularly for hearing. R. R. Fowler appeared as attorney for the People. The defendants were not represented by attorney. Complaint was read to defendants. On motion of District Attorney the charges against Frank (an Indian) and Mike Johnson were dismissed so that they may appear as witnesses on the part of the People against defendant Charles Gamino. George, Whiskey Dick, Joe Kinsman, Wm. Sherman Jim Patterson, Wm. Walker and Tommy were examined as witnesses on the part of the People, and thereafter District Attorney R. R. Fowler moves that the court dismiss the charges against Defendant Charles Gamino.

Whereas it appears to the court that the evidence is not sufficient to justify holding defendant for trial in the Superior Court, now therefore it is ordered that the charge against said defendant be dismissed and that he be discharged from custody.
Ernest Klette J. P.

No. 118

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

Action

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Joseph Kinsman

Defendant

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

G. H. Cole

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 1900

2

Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of Assault with a deadly weapon. Warrant of arrest issued.

" "

3

Warrant returned and filed. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said "my true name is Joseph Kinsman." Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. It is ordered that defendant be admitted to bail in the sum of \$500.00.

" "

4

Bail bond filed.

" "

8

Case set for hearing at 10 o'clock A.M. Aug 21st, 1900.

" "

21

This case came up regularly for hearing. R. R. Fowler District Attorney appeared for the prosecution. G. H. Cole appeared for defendant. Wm. Sherman, Fanny Maggie, Hickey Dick, Fred Bowman, Pete Sweilander and Bert Roland were sworn and examined as witnesses on behalf of the People. The defense submitted their case without producing any testimony. It is moved by the District Attorney that the defendant be held to answer to the charge of Assault by means or force likely to produce great bodily injury. Whereupon it appears to the Court that there is sufficient evidence to justify holding the defendant for trial in the Superior Court. Now therefore it is ordered that said defendant be held to answer in the Superior Court of Madera County, to the charge of Assault by means or force likely to produce great bodily injury. Bail fixed at \$500. Defendant permitted to go on his own recognizance for 48 hours within which time he is to produce bail.

Ernest Klette J. P.

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Jim More

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

B. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

G. H. Cole

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1900
Aug.

2

Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of Assault with a deadly weapon. Warrant of arrest issued.

Aug.

5

Warrant of arrest returned. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Jim More. Complaint read to defendant, and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. It is ordered that defendant be admitted to bail in the sum of \$500.00.

" "

8

Case set for hearing at 11 o'clock A.M. Aug. 21st, 1900.

" "

21

This case came up regularly for hearing. B. R. Fowler District Attorney appeared for the prosecution. G. H. Cole appeared as Attorney for defendant. Mrs. Jim More, Annie Wilson & Geo. and H. E. Bigelow were sworn and examined as witnesses on behalf of the prosecution.

It here as it appears to the Court that there is not sufficient evidence to secure a conviction in the Superior Court. Now therefore it is ordered that the charge against said defendant be dismissed and that the defendant be discharged from custody.

Ernest Klette

Justice of the Peace.

No. 120

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Edward H. Hebrun

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

P. A. Tree

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

Paid

PROCEEDINGS.

1901
Mar. 8

Complaint Filed. ^{charged defendant with robbery.} An arrest issued. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name was Edward H. Hebrun. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. Examination set for hearing at eleven o'clock A. M., March 20, 1901.

Mar. 20

This case came up regularly for hearing. On motion of Deputy District Attorney, W. H. Lawrence Esq. the case is dismissed it appearing to the court that there is a defect in the complaint.

Ernest Klette,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.
Edward H. Hebrun

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

H. H. Sereu
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. A. Fee
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1901
Mar. 8 ^{Paid} Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of assault with deadly weapon with intent to commit Murder. Warrant of Arrest issued.

" " 14 Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Edward H. Hebrun. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. Examination set for hearing at one o'clock P.M. March 20th. 1901.

March 20 This case came on regularly for hearing on this 20th day of March 1901. Francis A. Fee Esq. appearing for defendant, and H. F. Sereu, Deputy District Attorney representing the People. The following witnesses were sworn and examined. Mrs. Ida Brewett, Miss Ida Tully, S. M. Brewett, Fred Tully, J. B. Jackson Mrs. Christiana Ray Fowler and defendant Edward H. Hebrun. The Atty. for the People stated to the court that the evidence shows the defendant to be guilty of an assault but a conviction thereon in the Superior Court ~~was not probable~~ and moved the court to dismiss the charge. Motion denied.

It appearing to me that the offence of assault with a deadly weapon to commit murder, has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe Edward H. Hebrun guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera, and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$1500 and that he be committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera until he give such bail.

E. Ernest Klette
Justice of the Peace, Fifth Township,
County of Madera, State of California.

No. 122
 In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
 County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of California } Action
 Plaintiff }
 vs. } Demand \$
Edward H. Heebren }
 Defendant }

F. A. Fee
 Attorney for Plaintiff
R. R. Fowler
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1901	Paid
March 20	Complaint filed charging defendant with Robbery. Warrant of arrest issued.
" "	Warrant of arrest returned, Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Edward H. Heebren. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. It is ordered that defendant be admitted to bail in the sum of fifteen hundred dollars (\$1500). Examination set for hearing at 10 o'clock A.M. on April 16th, 1901.
April 16	This case came on regularly for hearing on this 16th day of April 1901. R. R. Fowler District Atty. appeared for the People. F. A. Fee atty for defendant failed to appear. The following witnesses were sworn and examined. Mrs. Ida L. Brewett, Miss Ida Tully, Fred Tully, Mrs. Christiana and G. M. Brewett and defendant Edward H. Heebren. It appearing to me that the offence of assault Robbery has been committed, and that there is sufficient evidence cause to believe Edward H. Heebren guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera, and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of \$1500, and that he be committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera untill he give such bail. Ernest Rlette Justice of the Peace, Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California.

No. 183

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

H. T. Cox

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

Paid

PROCEEDINGS.

1901
April 3 Complaint filed charging defendant with the crime of Battery. Warrant of arrest issued.

April 9 Warrant returned. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name was H. T. Cox. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he was duly informed of all his rights. Defendant enters a plea of not guilty, and thereafter is permitted to go upon his own recognizance. Case set for trial at one o'clock P.M. April 16th 1901.

April 10 Jury trial demanded. venire issued

" " 16 This case came on regularly for trial on the 16th day of April. Neither side was represented by attorney. Frank Wetmore, S.M. Brewett, Chas. Neal, Henry Martz, Frank Snider, Geo. Douglass, Paul Douglass, Frank Cummings, Wm. Throver, and B. T. Morris were sworn as jurors to try the case. S. P. Davis, R. T. Graves, and John Cox were sworn and examined as witnesses in the case. And thereafter the jury brought in a verdict of not guilty. It is therefore ordered that the defendant be, and he is hereby discharged.

Ernest Sletten
Justice of the Peace.

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California

The People of the State of
California

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

John McManis, Clinton Hearland, Benjamin
Parrent, Oliver Chetwood, John Benson, Ethan
Allen, Theofilo Lopez, Fred Amerian
Eugene Amerian, John Doe, and Richard Roe.

Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Paid

+

1901
May

6 Complaint filed charging defendants with the crime of Assault with intent to commit Murder. Warrants of arrest issued.

may

6 Warrants of Arrest returned. Defendants Clinton Hearland, Benjamin Parrent, Oliver Chetwood, and Theofilo Lopez in Court. When asked their names defendants said that their true names are Clinton Hearland, Thomas Benjamin Parrent, Oliver Chetwood, and Theofilo Lopez respectively. Complaint read to defendants and thereafter they are duly informed of all their rights. Bail fixed in the sum of \$4,000 (four thousand dollars) for each defendant. Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A.M. May 11th 1901. Subpoena issued.

of May

7 Warrants for arrest of John McManis and Eugene Amerian returned. Defendants in court. When asked their names defendants said that their true names were John McManis and Eugene Amerian respectively. Complaints read to defendant and thereafter they are duly informed of all their rights. Bail fixed in the sum of \$4,000 (four thousand dollars) for each defendant. John McManis's case set for examination at 10 o'clock A.M. May 11th 1901. Case of Eugene Amerian set for examination at one o'clock P.M. May 11th 1901.

May

9 Warrants for the arrest of John Benson and Ethan Allen returned. Defendants in court. When asked their names defendants said that their true names are John Benson and Ethan Allen respectively. Complaint read to defendants and thereafter they are duly informed of all their rights. Cases set for hearing at one o'clock P.M. May 11th, 1901. Bail fixed in the sum of two thousand dollars (\$2,000) for each defendant.

may

10 Warrant for the arrest of John Doe returned. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is George J. Muller. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. Bail fixed at \$4,000 four thousand dollars.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 11

Case set for examination at 10 A.M. May 11th, 1901.
 This case came on regularly for hearing, as to a part of the defendants. R. R. Fowler Esq appeared for the People and F. A. Fee, Esq and Sam Hinds represented the defendants. By stipulation of the Attorneys it is agreed that all the defendants in this case be examined together at this time. Mr. Searhart was sworn as court reporter.
 H. B. Sellars, Alonzo Sellars, Elaud Sellars, George Boerstadt, John Benson, Benjamin Parrent, George Fraser, and Fred Noble were sworn and examined as witnesses on the part of the prosecution. The defence placed no witnesses on the stand. I order that the charge against Geo. J. Muller, and Ethan Allen be dismissed as there is no evidence to connect them with this offence.

It appearing to me that the offence of An assault by means and force likely to produce great bodily injury has been committed, and that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named John McManis, Clinton Harland, Benjamin Parrent, Oliver Whitwood, John Benson, Theodor Lopez, and Eugene Ancevan guilty thereof, I order that they be held to answer to the same, and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of (\$500) five hundred dollars each. And they be committed to the Sheriff of the County of Madera until they give such bail.
 Ernest Klette.

Justice of the Peace, Fifth Township, County of Madera, State of California.

No. 125-

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Fifth Madera State of California

The People of the State of Cal-
ifornia

Plaintiff

vs.

Arthur Anserian

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 1901

Complaint filed charging defendant with an assault with intent to commit Murder. Warrant of Arrest issued.
Warrant of arrest returned. Defendant in Court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Arthur Anserian. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he was duly informed of all his rights. Bail fixed in the sum of \$4000 (four thousand dollars) Case set for examination at 1 o'clock P.M. May 11th 1901.

May

Case postponed indefinitely. By stipulation of the Attorneys it is agreed that the examination may be held in Madera. Order that his bail be reduced to the sum of (\$300) three hundred dollars.

Sept 30

On motion of the District Attorney this case is ordered dismissed there being no evidence to justify holding defendant.

Ernest Blatte

ship.

Justice of the Peace of said Town

In the Justice's Court of Fifth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of
California
vs.
Albert Nordgreen and John
Holten.
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action

Demand \$

R.R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. B. Wallace

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1901
May

17

Paid \star
Complaint filed charging defendant with crime of grand larceny. Warrant of arrest issued.

" "

17

Warrant for arrest of Albert Nordgreen returned. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is Albert Nordgreen. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. Bail fixed in the sum of \$500. (five hundred dollars). Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A. M. May 29th 1901.

" "

18

Warrant for arrest of John Holten returned. Defendant in court. When asked his name defendant said that his true name is James Holten. Complaint read to defendant and thereafter he is duly informed of all his rights. Bail fixed in the sum of \$500. (five hundred dollars). Case set for examination at 10 o'clock A. M. May 29th 1901.

May

29

This case came up regularly for examination. R.R. Fowler District Atty. appeared for the People. H. B. Wallace Esq. appeared for defendants. By stipulation of attorneys it is agreed that there be no court reporter. Edward Topping, Delores Topping, Jose Lugo, E. H. Stevens, Alexander Markle, Mathew Markle, Wm. Brown, Ed. Williams, John T. Wilson, Tom. Kennedy, Philip Alviss, Lena Baker, Harmon Bigelow, Albert Nordgreen, James Holten, Walter Taylor, John Taylor, Albert Gustafson and Charles Stuart were sworn and examined as witnesses.

Wherefore it appears to the court that there is not sufficient evidence to hold the defendants, there being no intent to steal, it is by the court ordered that the case be dismissed and the defendants discharged from custody. Bail exonerated.

Ernest Kletta

Justice of the Peace for said Township.

),

atiff

lant

me

nd-

that

ad

l

red

may

t

his

nt

red

cam

-

u,

n

,

ere

f

rg-

+

*

r

viso, Lena 18a
e 18a 18a

